AGENDA<br>Monday, November 6, 2023 at 1:30 p.m.<br>Primary Location:<br>County Administration Center, Board of Supervisors Chambers<br>Room 1070, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah<br>Teleconference Locations:<br>Fort Bragg City Hall, 416 N. Franklin St., Fort Bragg<br>Point Arena City Hall, 451 School St., Point Arena<br>Caltrans District 1, 1656 Union St., Eureka<br>General Public Teleconference:<br>Zoom videoconference link is provided by request. Please submit access request to info@mendocinocog.org or call MCOG Administration at (707) 463-1859.<br>Audio Call-in Option: 1 (669) 900-6833 (in CA)<br>Meeting ID: $86709549753 \quad$ Passcode: 586648<br>Attachments Posted<br>Board of Directors - Mendocino Council of Governments (mendocinocog.org)<br>Additional Media<br>For live streaming and later viewing:<br>Mendocino County Video or find<br>YouTube link at http://www.mendocinocog.org under Meetings

The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) meets as the Board of Directors of:
Mendocino Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) and Mendocino County Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (SAFE)

NOTICE: As of March 2023, the Mendocino Council of Governments returns to in-person meetings, in a new format. Staff and a potential quorum will meet in the Board of Supervisors chambers in Ukiah. Also, board members will join remotely by teleconference (audio and video) from City Hall locations in Fort Bragg and Point Arena. Policy Advisory Committee member(s) will join from Caltrans District 1 office in Eureka. The general public may join from any of these posted locations or by calling in to the teleconference.

Several ways to make public comments to MCOG's Board of Directors are available:

- In advance of the meeting: comments may be sent by email to info@mendocinocog.org or by using the form at https://www.mendocinocog.org/contact-us, to be read aloud into the public record. Please submit by 10:00 a.m. on the meeting date to ensure comments are received timely, and include the agenda item number(s) addressed.
- During the meeting: make oral comments in person, or on the conference call by phone or video, when public comment is invited by the Chair.

Thanks to all for your interest and cooperation.

## NOTE: All items are considered for action unless otherwise noted.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call
2. Assembly Bill 2449 Notifications and Considerations - to receive and address requests from Board members to participate in the meeting from a non-posted location, subject to conditions set forth in AB 2449
3. Convene as RTPA
4. Recess as RTPA - Reconvene as Policy Advisory Committee

## CONSENT CALENDAR

The following items are considered for approval in accordance with Administrative Staff, Committee, and/or Directors' recommendations and will be enacted by a single motion. Items may be removed from the Consent Calendar for separate consideration, upon request by a Director or citizen.
5. Approval of October 2, 2023 Minutes
6. Third Amendment to Fiscal Year 2023/24 RTPA Budget: Adoption of Resolution No. M2023-11 Revising Allocation of 2023/24 LTF, STA, and FY 2022/23 Carryover Capital Reserve Funds to Mendocino Transit Authority - to reduce Local Transportation Funds allocation to funds available
7. Technical Advisory Committee Recommendation of October 25, 2023: Approval of Third Amendment to Fiscal Year 2023/24 Transportation Planning Overall Work Program (OWP)
8. Authorization of Executive Director to Complete the Redwood Region RISE (Resilient Inclusive Sustainable Economy) High Road Transition Collaborative Collective Partnership Agreement Letter and to Participate in the HRTC Voting Member Block

## PUBLIC EXPRESSION - Please refer to notice at top of this Agenda.

9. Participation is welcome in Council meetings. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person and not more than ten minutes per subject, so that everyone can be heard. "Public Expression" time is limited to matters under the Council's jurisdiction that may not have been considered by the Council previously and are not on the agenda. No action will be taken. Members of the public may comment also during specific agenda items when recognized by the Chair.

## REGULAR CALENDAR

10. Review/Discussion/Direction: Draft 2024 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)
11. Review/Discussion/Direction: SB 125 - Transit \& Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) and Zero Emission Transit Capital Program (ZETCP) Draft Allocation Plan
12. Technical Advisory Committee Recommendation of October 25, 2023: Approval of Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) Policy and Call for Projects - Federal funding for infrastructure projects

## RATIFY ACTION

13. Recess as Policy Advisory Committee - Reconvene as RTPA - Ratify Action of Policy Advisory Committee

## REPORTS

14. Reports - Information - No Action
a. Caltrans District 1 - Projects Update and Information
b. Mendocino Transit Authority
c. Great Redwood Trail Agency
d. MCOG Staff - Summary of Meetings

# e. MCOG Administration Staff <br> i. Covelo SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail Project - verbal report <br> ii. California Transportation Commission (CTC) Town Hall Meeting Hosted by MCOG in Fort Bragg, April 17-18, 2024 - verbal report <br> iii. Miscellaneous <br> iv. Next Meeting Date - Monday, December 4, 2023 <br> f. MCOG Planning Staff <br> i. Feasibility Study - Mendocino Transit Authority's Ukiah Transit Center - verbal report <br> ii. Grant Award Announcements and Reports - verbal report <br> iii. Miscellaneous <br> g. MCOG Directors <br> h. California Association of Councils of Governments (CALCOG) Delegates 

## ADJOURNMENT

15. Adjourn

## AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) and TRANSLATION REQUESTS

Persons who require special accommodations, accessible seating, or documentation in alternative formats under the Americans with Disabilities Act, or persons who require interpretation services (free of charge) are advised to contact the MCOG office at (707) 463-1859, at least five days before the meeting.

Las personas que requieren alojamiento especial, asientos accesibles, o documentación en formatos alternativos de acuerdo con la Ley de Estadounidenses con Discapacidades, o personas que requieren servicios de interpretación (sin cargo) deben comunicarse con MCOG (707) 463-1859, por lo menos cinco días antes de la reunión.

## ADDITIONS TO AGENDA

The Brown Act, Section 54954.2, states that the Board may take action on off-agenda items when:
a) a majority vote determines that an "emergency situation" exists as defined in Section 54956.5, or
b) a two-thirds vote of the body, or a unanimous vote of those present, determines that there is a need to take immediate action and the need for action arose after the agenda was legally posted, or
c) the item was continued from a prior, legally posted meeting not more than five calendar days before this meeting.

## CLOSED SESSION

If agendized, MCOG may adjourn to a closed session to consider litigation or personnel matters (i.e. contractor agreements). Discussion of litigation or pending litigation may be held in closed session by authority of Govt. Code Section 54956.9; discussion of personnel matters by authority of Govt. Code Section 54957.


October 30, 2023

To: MCOG Board of Directors
From: Janet Orth, Deputy Director \& CFO
Subject: Consent Calendar of November 6, 2023

The following agenda items are recommended for approval/action.
5. Approval of October 2, 2023 Minutes - attached
6. Third Amendment to Fiscal Year 2023/24 RTPA Budget: Adoption of Resolution No. M2023-11 Revising Allocation of 2023/24 LTF, STA, and FY 2022/23 Carryover Capital Reserve Funds to Mendocino Transit Authority - to reduce Local
Transportation Funds allocation to funds available - MTA has submitted a revised claim for LTF to conform with MCOG's revised budget, from \$4,353,031 to \$3,945,399, a difference of \$407,632.

- Staff report and draft resolution with exhibit attached

7. Technical Advisory Committee Recommendation of October 25, 2023: Approval of Third Amendment to Fiscal Year 2023/24 Transportation Planning Overall Work Program (OWP) - This would add MCOG's recently awarded Caltrans Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) Discretionary Grant to Update/Expand Local Road Safety/Action Plans within Mendocino County. Total OWP funding will increase from $\$ 1,277,012$ to $\$ 1,377,012$, an increase of $\$ 100,000$.

- Staff report and amended financial summaries and Work Element pages attached

8. Authorization of Executive Director to Complete the Redwood Region RISE (Resilient Inclusive Sustainable Economy) High Road Transition Collaborative Collective Partnership Agreement Letter and to Participate in the HRTC Voting Member Block - This item might need to be pulled from Consent for no action, pending further information from County of Mendocino that may affect participation.

- Staff report and Agreement Letter attached

MINUTES<br>Monday, October 2, 2023<br>Primary Location:<br>County Administration Center, Board of Supervisors Chambers<br>Room 1070, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah<br>Teleconference Locations:<br>Fort Bragg City Hall, 416 N. Franklin St., Fort Bragg<br>Point Arena City Hall, 451 School St., Point Arena<br>Caltrans District 1, 1656 Union St., Eureka<br>General Public Teleconference by Zoom<br>ADDITIONAL MEDIA:<br>Mendocino County Video or find<br>YouTube link at http://www.mendocinocog.org under Meetings

# The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) meets as the Board of Directors of: <br> Mendocino Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) and Mendocino County Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (SAFE) 

1. Call to Order and Roll Call. The meeting was called to order at $1: 30$ p.m. with Directors present: John Haschak, Mike Carter, Josephina Duenas, and Greta Kanne in Ukiah; Dan Gjerde in Fort Bragg; Jeff Hansen in Point Arena; and Tatiana Ahlstrand (Caltrans/PAC) in Eureka. Bernie Norvell was excused. Chair Gjerde presiding.

Staff present in Ukiah: Nephele Barrett, Executive Director; Janet Orth, Deputy Director \& CFO; Loretta Ellard, Deputy Planner; James Sookne, Program Manager; Lisa Davey-Bates, Transportation Planner; and Jody Lowblad, Administrative Assistant.
Staff present by Zoom: Alexis Pedrotti, Program Manager; and Michael Villa, Project Coordinator.
2. Assembly Bill 2449 Notifications and Considerations. This item is to receive and address requests from Board members to participate in the meeting from a non-posted location, subject to conditions set forth in AB 2449. There were no such requests.
3. Discussion/Direction and Possible Action to Approve Engagement of Consultant for Procurement of Comprehensive Staffing to Start Fiscal Year 2024/25. Executive Director Barrett summarized her written staff report. Regional Analysis \& Planning Services (RAPS), a non-profit extension of Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG), had submitted a proposal for consideration by the Council. In July, prior to the written proposal, the Executive Committee was favorable to the approach, though did not make a recommendation, pending more information to be reported to the full Council. Staff did not make any recommendations, as appropriate, only providing information. Ms. Barrett introduced Maura Twomey and Diane Eidam of RAPS, present on the teleconference, to discuss this item further. Ms. Twomey described her role as Executive Director of AMBAG and RAPS as its nonprofit arm. Ms. Eidam is a consultant who has worked with RAPS for ten or more years.

In Board discussion, Chair Gjerde noted the committee meeting and summarized the last procurement for MCOG staffing in 2014, which required significant County staff time. The County
does not have sufficient staff capacity available to conduct another procurement. He asked RAPS about their experience. Ms. Twomey summarized their credentials and cautioned to be mindful of the inherent conflict of interest issue for MCOG's contracted staff.

Director Haschak asked about costs noted in the staff report. Ms. Barrett explained the corrected figures received from RAPS after the agenda packet was issued, at significantly less cost than anticipated, for a total price of $\$ 3,800$.

Director Haschak asked about steps in the process. Ms. Twomey outlined procedures they would take, including development of the Requests for Proposals, evaluation of proposals received with Board input, and potential assistance with contract negotiations.

There was no further discussion and no public comments received.
Upon motion by Haschak, second by Carter, and carried unanimously on roll call vote (6 Ayes - Haschak, Carter, Kanne, Hansen, Duenas, and Gjerde; 0 Noes; 0 Abstaining; 1 Absent Norvell): IT IS ORDERED that the Chair is authorized to sign a contract with Regional Analysis \& Planning Services at $\$ 3,800$ for procurement of Administrative and Planning staff services with Executive Committee involvement in the process.

## 4. Convene as Convene as RTPA

## 5. Recess as RTPA - Reconvene as Policy Advisory Committee

6 - 9. Consent Calendar. Staff reported minor corrections to \#6 and \#9. Upon motion by Carter, second by Haschak, and carried unanimously on roll call vote (7 Ayes - Haschak, Carter, Kanne, Hansen, Duenas, Ahlstrand/PAC and Gjerde; 0 Noes; 0 Abstaining; 1 Absent - Norvell): IT IS ORDERED that consent items are approved as amended.
6. Approval of August 14, 2023 Minutes - as amended to correct a name spelling, Page 3
7. Adoption of Resolution Approving the FY 2023/24 Project List for the California State of Good Repair Program - Mendocino Transit Authority - Ukiah Transit Center

Resolution No. M2023-09<br>Approving the FY 2023/24 Project List for the<br>California State of Good Repair Program<br>(Reso. \#M2023-09 is incorporated herein by reference)

8. Technical Advisory Committee Recommendation of September 27, 2023: Approval of Second Amendment to Fiscal Year 2023/24 Transportation Planning Overall Work Program (OWP) - To add a Caltrans grant award of $\$ 190,340$ for the Noyo Harbor Multimodal Circulation Plan and to add \$14,690 from Project Reserve to City of Fort Bragg's Central Business District Parking Evaluation project as requested. Total OWP funding increased from $\$ 1,086,672$ to $\$ 1,277,011$, an increase of $\$ 190,340$. The Executive Director is authorized to sign certifications and a revised OWP Agreement as needed and forward to Caltrans as required.
9. Adoption of Addendum to the 2017 Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Covelo State Route 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail - as amended to update address of MCOG's office location. This second addendum identifies changes to the project, limited to the area around the Mill Creek bridge crossing and a trail realignment adjacent to Round Valley Indian Reservation Headquarters Cemetery.
10. Public Expression. Mr. Dave Shpak of Gualala complimented Mendocino County Department of Transportation for recent road work done on several projects in the South Coast area of the county.
11. Public Hearing: Adoption of Resolution Approving Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 Grant Program Regional Priority List. Program Manager Sookne discussed the two project proposals submitted under this program to provide transportation services for the elderly and disabled, as described in his written report. MCOG as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency is required to score proposals for traditional capital projects, and not for the expanded program of operating assistance. One application was received for a traditional vehicle acquisition project from Willits Senior Center, as documented in the draft resolution and the list with scoring results. Several other applications were submitted for operations funding, with no action required by the Council.

The Chair opened the public hearing at 1:49 p.m. No one in the board chambers wished to speak, and no comments were heard from the teleconference. The hearing was closed at 1:50 p.m.

In board discussion, Director Haschak asked about scores for the project, which appeared to be relatively low at 77 points for each of two vehicle replacements. Mr. Sookne responded that scoring is entirely objective from data provided, with little flexibility. Ms. Barrett added the scores were not reflective of services provided by the senior center, and that all rural services score lower than others due to fewer rides provided. She said Willits Senior Center was doing an excellent job.

Upon motion by Haschak, second by Kanne, and carried unanimously on roll call vote (7 Ayes - Haschak, Carter, Kanne, Hansen, Duenas, Ahlstrand/PAC and Gjerde; 0 Noes; 0 Abstaining; 1 Absent - Norvell): IT IS ORDERED that the following resolution is adopted, the Executive Director is authorized to sign the required documentation, and staff is authorized to forward the executed resolution and required documents to Caltrans for further processing.

## Resolution No. M2023-10

Approving FTA Section 5310 Grant Program Regional Application Scoring \& Priority List (Reso. \#M2023-10 is incorporated herein by reference)
12. Second Amendment to Fiscal Year 2023/24 RTPA Budget - to reconcile FY 2022/23 Local Transportation Funds (LTF) shortfall and LTF Reserve withdrawals with FY 2023/24 LTF available for allocation. Ms. Orth reviewed her written report and recommendation in detail, characterized as a clean-up amendment for full transparency of dealing with the budget shortfall. She reported the LTF budget of $\$ 5,137,383$ less actual revenues of $\$ 4,543,795$, for a shortfall of $\$ 593,588$ or 11.6 percent. She then presented the Fiscal Year 2023/24 budget summary spreadsheet with two methods of calculating and making transfers between funds, both with the same result of an overallocation to Transit of $\$ 407,632$. She recommended the second option, "Method B," as most consistent with the adopted Reserve policy and would provide a cleaner audit trail. The recommendation assumes the Council would keep the LTF Reserve at 15 percent or $\$ 735,000$ (the minimum per policy is five percent). By making amendments one step at a time, starting with this reconciliation, MTA would be advised of the amount available for a revised claim, for a subsequent amendment to resolve the over-allocation. While Fiscal Year 2021/22 ended with a healthy surplus, that revenue went toward covering the 2022/23 shortfall and making whole MTA's allocation, not flowing forward to 2023/24 as it normally would.

In board discussion, Director Kanne asked for confirmation that MTA received its full allocation of funds for Fiscal Year 2022/23 and this report means less funding for MTA than anticipated in 2023/24. Ms. Orth concurred with that statement.

Jacob King, MTA Executive Director, commented briefly that now the amount of actual funding available is known, MTA will decide how best to manage its budget and work with MCOG staff to submit a revised claim for Fiscal Year 2023/24.

Director Carter moved to approve the staff recommendation, seconded by Duenas. Discussion on the motion: Director Kanne favored keeping the LTF Reserve at 15 percent for FY 2023/24 as prudent, and appreciated staff's recommendation. The motion carried unanimously on roll call vote ( 7 Ayes - Haschak, Carter, Kanne, Hansen, Duenas, Ahlstrand/PAC and Gjerde; 0 Noes; 0 Abstaining; 1 Absent - Norvell): IT IS ORDERED that staff's reconciliation of Local Transportation Funds (LTF) available for allocation in 2023/24 is approved, using the recommended "Method B" to cover the FY 2022/23 shortfall entirely from LTF Reserve funds, as a second FY 2023/24 Budget Amendment.

## 13. Recess as Policy Advisory Committee - Reconvene as RTPA - Ratify Action of Policy Advisory Committee. Upon motion by Haschak, second by Kanne, and carried unanimously on roll call vote (6 Ayes - Haschak, Carter, Kanne, Hansen, Duenas, and Gjerde; 0 Noes; 0 Abstaining; 1 Absent - Norvell): IT IS ORDERED that the actions taken by the Policy Advisory Committee are ratified by the MCOG Board of Directors.

## 14. Reports - Information

a. Caltrans District 1 - Projects Update and Information. Director Ahlstrand reported on recent grant activity, congratulating both MCOG and City of Ukiah for awards to the Noyo Harbor Multimodal Circulation Plan and School Street Multimodal Transportation Study, respectively. There will be a call for FY 2024/25 transportation planning grant applications on October 5, followed by a districtwide workshop and open office hours to assist. $\$ 53.4$ million is available for Sustainable Transportation and Climate Adaptation. Clean California local grant awards will be announced this week. The August Dump Days events were a huge success. Free dump vouchers will be available in November at Pumpkin Fest in Ukiah, and in December at Harwood Hall in Laytonville, first-come-first-served until gone. Middletown and Caspar dump days are being planned, and other suggestions are welcome. Littering and graffiti cleanup jobs are providing employment. The Covelo Clean California project will start this year.
Completion of the Pudding Creek Bridge project in Fort Bragg is expected by end of month.
b. Mendocino Transit Authority. Executive Director King reported working with PG\&E on installation of an electric vehicle charger, somewhat delayed due to supply chain issues. Otherwise things are going smoothly. MTA will be tightening its budget as discussed.
c. Great Redwood Trail Agency. Director Haschak reported on a meeting in August to review another round of applications for the Executive Director position. Next meeting October 9.
d. MCOG Staff - Summary of Meetings. Ms. Barrett referred to the written report.
e. MCOG Administration Staff
i. Covelo SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail Project. Ms. Barrett reported construction is progressing with paving, fencing and culvert work over the next couple of weeks. Two locations are paused including the pedestrian bridge over Mill Creek and an area of archeological discovery; tribal monitors are onsite with Caltrans' archeologist, following tribal procedures. This will now be a two-year construction project. The bridge will not be finished, however the rest of trail will be available for public use this winter. The project is mostly complete, including mitigation measures. A small segment at the casino will be on hold pending relocation of a utility guywire. The project is moving along fairly well.
ii. RuralREN (Regional Energy Network). Ms. Barrett reported on this new entity comprising mostly non-contiguous counties, of which Mendocino and Lake are subcontractors initially. Administrative roles and procedures, as well as implementation plans for each program are
being finalized. No reimbursable work can begin until after January 1, 2024, pending California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) actions concerning the budget. Details are being worked out by the partner members over the next couple of months.
iii. Senate Bill 125 - Transit \& Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) and Zero Emission Transit Capital Program (ZETCP). Ms. Barrett reported on this trailer bill in the 2023 State Budget Act. There are two different, related funding streams, adding to the existing TIRCP and creating the ZETCP. Unlike most funds, these will not be reimbursable, but distributed directly to RTPAs by formula. The regional agency is to administer, program and report on those funds, and to determine which projects receive funding allocations. A total of $\$ 11.6$ million is expected for Mendocino County over a four-year period. The first year's initial allocation is expected by April 2024, with $\$ 4.8$ million of TIRCP and a lesser amount for the ZETCP. There will be significant work responsibilities for MCOG. Staff will meet with MTA staff to develop a proposed allocation plan, due December 31. Draft and final versions are anticipated on MCOG’s November and December agendas for Council approval. Projects may include tradition capital, zero emissions capital, and operations. The guidelines call for a regional strategy using all available transit funding. MCOG will be responsible for annual reporting and posting data such as monthly ridership.

Board questions and discussion followed. It was noted that the slightly higher funding amounts reported were more current than CALCOG's estimates in the agenda packet. The Ukiah Transit Center would be an eligible project, as would micro-transit services identified in MCOG's recent Mobility Solutions study.
iv. Miscellaneous. None.
v. Next Meeting Date. Monday, November 6, 2023.
f. MCOG Planning Staff
i. Feasibility Study - Mendocino Transit Authority's Ukiah Transit Center. Deputy Planner Ellard summarized her written report. Three top sites were presented to MTA's board on August 30 with the preferred site located on North Orchard Avenue, just north of Kohl's. Board members toured all three sites and voted at a special meeting to select the recommended site. The final report for this planning project is due by December.
ii. Sustainable Transportation Planning Program and Recent Grant Awards. Ms. Ellard noted the two grant awards of $\$ 215,000$ for a Noyo Harbor Multimodal Circulation Plan and $\$ 258,000$ for Ukiah’s School Street Multimodal Transportation Study, from this highly competitive program. 161 applications were received and just 90 awarded grants, or $56 \%$.
iii. Miscellaneous. Ms. Ellard reported staff is continuing to work with MTA on its Short Range Transit Development Plan. The consultants will perform technical tasks and stakeholder outreach; a first workshop was held inland and the next is planned for December on the coast. A draft Plan is due in early January.
g. MCOG Directors. Director Haschak reported the Covelo Municipal Advisory Committee’s interest in the new trail and excitement for its completion. Thanks to all involved.

Director Kanne reported Willits City Council sent a letter to Caltrans formally declining the proposed South Main Street "road diet." Ms. Barrett had spoken at a recent City Council meeting about the need to stay true to planning efforts; however the community is divided on the subject so the City opted not to proceed with the project. Meanwhile, construction of Willits’ segment of the Great Redwood Trail is expected to begin next spring.
h. California Association of Councils of Governments (CALCOG) Delegates. Director Haschak reported much discussion of SB 125, along with other legislation such as Brown Act bills for flexibility of remote public meetings.
15. Adjournment. The meeting was adjourned at 2:37 p.m.

Submitted: NEPHELE BARRETT, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
By Janet Orth, Deputy Director \& CFO

Mendocino Council of Governments

## STAFF REPORT

TITLE: Third FY 2023/24 Budget Amendment to Revise LTF Allocation for MTA Operations
SUBMITTED BY: Janet Orth, Deputy Director \& CFO DATE: I0/20/2023

## BACKGROUND:

At the October meeting, the Council took action to address the shortfall of FY 2022/23 Local Transportation Funds (LTF) from the quarter-cent transportation sales tax. The result is a reduction of available funds for Transit in MCOG's FY 2023/24 budget from $\$ 4,353,031$ to $\$ 3,945,399$, a difference of $\$ 407,632$. Since the Transit claim was over-allocated by $\$ 407,632$ in MCOG's budget, MTA has submitted a revised claim.

As approved by the Council, withdrawals from MCOG's LTF Reserve fund covered 2022/23 budget allocations, making whole Mendocino Transit Authority's LTF funding for the year, according to long-standing policy. The Reserve for 2023/24 remains funded at 15 percent ( $\$ 735,000$ ) to cover unanticipated MTA needs or revenue shortfalls, as allowed by the Transportation Development Act.

MTA is claiming a reduced amount for its own Operations. No changes are requested to MCOG's allocations for Unmet Transit Needs and Senior Center Operations.

ACTION REQUIRED: Approval of this revision to the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) allocation for FY 2023/24 by adopting Resolution \#M2023-11.
If approved, staff will prepare an amendment of the Budget package and post it to MCOG’s website as usual.

## ALTERNATIVES:

This item could be pulled from the Consent Calendar for questions and/or further discussion.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt Resolution No. M2023-11 revising the allocation of Local Transportation Funds to MTA's FY 2023/24 claim, as a third Budget Amendment, and authorize the Executive Director to issue revised allocation instructions to the Acting County Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector.

## Enclosures:

Resolution M2023-11 superseding MCOG’s FY 2023/24 allocating resolutions M2023-04 and M2023-07
Exhibit C - MTA's letter dated 10/20/2023 with summary of second Revised 2023/24 Claim for Funds

# Mendocino Council of Governments 

BOARD of DIRECTORS

RESOLUTION No. M2023-11

> REVISING ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2023/24 LTF, STA, and FY 2022/23 CARRYOVER CAPITAL RESERVE FUNDS to MENDOCINO TRANSIT AUTHORITY, SUPERSEDING RESOLUTIONS \#M2023-04 and M2023-07

## WHEREAS,

- The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) is the designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for Mendocino County;
- The Mendocino Transit Authority (MTA) is designated as the Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) for Mendocino County by MCOG and has submitted a claim for funding for public transportation purposes in accordance with the Transportation Development Act (TDA), which provides for the needs of MTA and Senior Centers in Mendocino County with Local Transportation Funds (LTF) funds, State Transit Assistance (STA) funds, and Capital Reserve Funds;
- This claim, attached herete retained on file as Exhibit A, was reviewed by MCOG staff and the Transit Productivity Committee (TPC), and the MTA Board of Directors may make revisions according to budget development and TPC recommendations, as allowed by adopted MCOG policy; staff and the TPC recommended that MCOG identify Local Transportation Funds for reasonable-to-meet Unmet Transit Needs in MTA's FY 2023/24 allocation, and MTA revised the claim accordingly;
- According to MCOG’s adopted Capital Reserve Fund policies, eligible applicants under contract with MTA may request capital funds, providing that a five-year capital program and contract between the claimant and MTA is on file with the RTPA;
- A revised claim for Capital Reserve Funds was received from MTA on August 7, 2023, requesting the entire fund balance of $\$ 705,462$ to be reallocated from Long-Term Capital to a current year MTA Capital project in FY 2023/24, attached herete retained on file as Exhibit B;
- Capital claims must be identified in accordance with TDA: 1) to reflect capital needs that will be expended during the fiscal year so claimed under Public Utilities Code 6648 and 2) filed to reflect specific capital improvements of a long-term nature up to five years, or for matching purposes in applying for federal transportation grants under P.U.C. 6631;
- A second revised claim was received from MTA on October 20, 2023 reducing the amount of LTF for MTA Operations, to conform to funds available in MCOG's second Budget Amendment, attached hereto as Exhibit C;
- MTA is the only eligible claimant of State Transit Assistance, for which eligibility for Operations funding is to be determined by performance reviews, fiscal audits, and state legislation;
- State of Good Repair program funding is available through the RTPA from Senate Bill 1, the Road Repair \& Accountability Act of 2017; and
- Based on allocations in accordance with TDA for Administration, Planning, and Reserves, the 2023/24 LTF funds available for transportation services are $\$ 4,353,0313,945,399$; STA funds available for $2023 / 24$ are estimated at $\$ 1,443,571$ ( $\$ 1,073,881$ of new State funds and $\$ 369,690$ of audited and anticipated fund balance); State of Good Repair funds are estimated at $\$ 154,817$ (preliminary estimate of new funds); and the Capital Reserve Fund balance is estimated at $\$ 705,462$; The total amount available for transportation services from these four funding sources is estimated at $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 6 5 6 , 8 8 1} \mathbf{6 , 2 4 9 , 2 4 9}$; therefore, be it


## RESOLVED, THAT:

MCOG hereby allocates LTF, STA, and Capital Reserve Funds to MTA and its contract claimants as follows:
1.

| AUTHORITY |  | SOURCE | USE | AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | PUC Sec. 99260(a) | Local <br> Transportation <br> Fund (LTF) | MTA Operations | $\begin{aligned} & 3,621,782 \\ & 3,214,150 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | PUC Sec. 99260(a) |  | Unmet Transit Needs | 50,000 |
|  | PUC Sec. 99400(c) |  | Senior Center Operations | 681,249 |
|  | PUC Sec. 99262 |  | Transit Planning | 0 |
|  | CCR Sec. 6648 |  | Capital Reserve Fund | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total LTF | $\begin{aligned} & 4,353,031 \\ & 3,945,399 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. | CCR Sec. 6730(a) | State <br> Transit Assistance (STA) | MTA Operations | 1,293,571 |
|  | CCR Sec. 6731(b) |  | Senior Center Operations | 0 |
|  | CCR Sec. 6730(b) |  | MTA and Seniors Capital | 150,000 |
|  | CCR Sec. 6648 |  | Capital Reserve Fund | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total STA | 1,443,571 |
| C. | CCR Sec. 6648 | Capital Reserve Fund (CRF) | Current Year - MTA | 705,462 |
|  | CCR Sec. 6648 |  | Current Year - Senior Centers | 0 |
|  | CCR Sec. 6631 |  | Long Term - MTA and Seniors | 0 |
|  | CCR Sec. 6648 |  | LTF/STA allocated to CRF above | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total CRF | 705,462 |
| D. | Senate Bill 1 | State of Good Repair (SGR) | To Be Determined | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total SGR | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total LTF, STA, and Capital Reserve Allocations |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6,502,064 \\ & 6,094,432 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Balance Remaining for Later Allocation (SGR) |  | 154,817 |
|  |  | Total Estimated 2023/24 Funds Available for Transit |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6,656,881 \\ & 6,249,249 \end{aligned}$ |

2. Additionally, MCOG makes the following required findings from Article 5, Section 6754 of the California Code of Regulations, regarding STA and LTF eligibility and fund allocation (refer to documentation on file):
A. MCOG as the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator or a transit service claimant on the basis of all these findings:
a. The claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.
b. The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements of Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99268.2, 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.5, and 99268.9, as they may be applicable to the claimant. The most recent fiscal audit dated June 30, 2022 confirmed that MTA's farebox ratio of 3.7\% had not met the $10 \%$ ratio required by Senate Bill 508, (effective July 1, 2016); however, the State had waived this regulation under COVID-19 as pandemic crisis relief.
c. The claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 as amended, now referred to as the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).
d. Of five measures for analysis on eligibility for Capital and Operations for use by both LTF and STA funds that were applied by the independent auditor in the most recent fiscal audit, most were met according to the auditor's report, and the remaining measure was waived by legislation.
3. The sum of the claimant's allocations from STA did exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year for operations purposes. For the fiscal year audited (ending June 30, 2022), the funds were claimed by MTA for operating and capital purposes. State Assembly Bill 90 provided statutory relief due to COVID-19.
4. The sum of the claimant's allocations from LTF did not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year for operating.
5. The sum of the claimant's allocations from LTF did not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year for capital.
6. The claimant's subcontractors (senior centers) did not exceed the eligibility criteria for LTF and STA funds during the fiscal year.
7. The fifth measure pertains to passenger rail eligibility and was not applicable for the fiscal year audited.
B. MCOG as the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator for any transitrelated purpose (as specified in Section 6730) on the basis of all these findings:
a. The operator has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244. This finding shall make specific reference to the improvements recommended and to the efforts made by the operator to implement them. On May 12, 2023, the Transit Productivity Committee (TPC) reviewed performance data through December 31, 2022 with a report of good performance while emerging from two years of the COVID pandemic; no recommendations were made.
b. The California Highway Patrol has certified, within the last 13 months and prior to filing claims, that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, as required by PUC Section 99251.
c. The operator is not in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314 as applicable (relative to STA funds); however, State Assembly Bill 90 provided statutory relief due to COVID-19.
8. In accordance with Section 99405(c) of the Public Utilities Code, MCOG adopts and sets forth the local match requirements for senior centers claimed under Article 8 at a minimum of 10 percent, consistent with Senate Bill 508, signed into law October 9, 2015, amending the Transportation Development Act.
9. The Capital Reserve Fund (Account No. 2110-760271) audited balance of $\$ 705,462$ as of June 30, 2022, less 2022/23 allocations of \$0, and the addition of \$0 earned interest recorded as of the first budget draft in February 2023, provides a total estimated balance of $\$ 705,462$. MTA has requested all funds for FY 2023/24 current-year capital projects and the TPC and MCOG staff have recommended full funding of MTA's claim after revising for Unmet Transit Needs, therefore the entire available balance of $\$ 705,462$ is hereby allocated to capital needs for MTA as identified in \#1(C) above and to be referenced in MTA’s Capital Plan.
10. The above allocations are to be paid to MTA in conformance with allocation instructions as submitted by MCOG's Executive Director to the County Auditor-Controller.
11. MTA will be required to incorporate all TDA requirements for these allocations in their upcoming 2023/24 contracts, including senior centers as applicable, and provide executed contracts to MCOG no later than September 30, 2023.

ADOPTION OF THIS RESOLUTION was moved by Director $\qquad$ , seconded by Director $\qquad$ , and approved on this 6th day of November, 2023, by the following roll call vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSTAINING:
ABSENT:
WHEREUPON, the Chairman declared the resolution adopted, AND SO ORDERED.

ATTEST: Nephele Barrett, Executive Director

October $20^{\text {th }}, 2023$

Ms. Nephele Barrett, Executive Director
Mendocino Council of Governments
525 South State Street, Suite B
Ukiah, CA 95482
Dear Nephele,
Attached is MTA's second revised claim for funds for fiscal year 2023/2024.
In summary, MTA is requesting:
\$ 3,945,399 from the Local Transportation Fund (LTF), and \$ 1,443,571 in State Transit Assistance funds

## Local Transportation Fund

The Claim includes the amount approved by MCOG's Board of Directors on October 2, 2023 as available for Transit. Of that amount, \$3,214,150 would be used to support MTA's General Public Operations and \$681,249 for Senior Center operations, and \$50,000 for Unmet Transit Needs.

## State Transit Assistance Fund

The Claim includes a total of \$1,443,571 of MTA's share of the Governor's State budget for STA funds, all to be used for Operating except $\$ 150,000$ to be used for Capital.

## Capital Reserve

The Capital Program for FY2023/24 balance was transferred to the MTA Capital section for use in FY 2023-2024.

## MTA Operations

The Auditor's Estimate of LTF Revenues FY23/24 will be utilized to augment MTA operating costs. We understand this estimate is subject to revision.

## Senior Center Subsidy Program

Senior Center operating budgets are not developed until later in the process. Since 1996, MTA and Senior Centers have agreed to share equally in the percentage change in LTF funding available for transit operations. MTA has elected not to change the Senior Centers allocation due to the reduction in LTF funding, in order to allow them to continue existing operations.

## MTA and Senior Center Capital Program

The Capital Program for the budget year FY23/24 will reflect only projects which MTA will pay for from its Capital funds on deposit with Mendocino County, grant funding, and MTA Capital funds held by MCOG (CCR, Sec. 6648).

## Uncertainty

We are submitting the best information we have currently, but respectfully request your understanding and support if a revised claim is necessary.

Regards,


Jacob King
Executive Director

Cc: Budget File

## Mendocino Transit Authority Summary of 2023/2024 Claim for Funds

10/20/23

| Source Authority Purpose |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2022 / 23 \\ \text { Amount } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY 2023/24 } \\ \text { Amount } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Transportation Fund: |  |  |  |  |
|  | PUC, Sec. 99260(a) | MTA Operations | \$3,428,087 | \$3,214,150 |
|  | PUC, Sec. 99260(a) | Unmet Transit Needs | \$300,000 | \$50,000 |
|  | PUC, Sec. 99400(c) | Senior Center Operations | \$729,019 | \$681,249 |
|  | PUC, Sec. 99260(a) | MTA \& Senior Capital | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | CCR, Sec. 6648 | Transit Capital Reserve | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | PUC, Sec. 99262 | Transit Planning | \$200,000 | \$0 |
|  |  | Total | \$4,657,106 | \$3,945,399 |
| State Transit Assistance Fund: |  |  |  |  |
|  | CCR, Sec. 6730(a) | MTA Operations | \$967,375 | \$1,293,571 |
|  | CCR, Sec. 6731(b) | Senior Center Operations | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | CCR, Sec. 6730(b) | MTA \& Senior Capital | \$200,000 | \$150,000 |
|  | CCR, Sec. 6648 | Transit Capital Reserve | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  | Total | \$1,167,375 | \$1,443,571 |
| Capital Reserve |  |  |  |  |
|  | CCR, Sec. 6648 | MTA Capital | \$0 | \$705,462 |
|  | CCR, Sec. 6648 | Senior Capital | \$0 | \$0 |
| CCR, Sec. 6631 |  | Long-Term Capital | \$701,179 | \$0 |
|  |  | Total | \$701,179 | \$705,462 |
|  |  | Total Claim | \$6,525,660 | \$6,094,432 |

Mendocino Council of Governments

## STAFF REPORT

TITLE: Third Amendment to FY 2023/24 Overall Work Program
SUBMITTED BY: Alexis Pedrotti, Project Manager

DATE PREPARED: 10/30/23
MEETING DATE: 11/6/23

## BACKGROUND:

As you may recall, the Final Overall Work Program (totaling $\$ 894,365$ ) was adopted by MCOG on June 5, 2023; the First Amendment to the Overall Work Program (totaling $\$ 1,086,672$ ) was approved on August 14, 2023; and the Second Amendment (totaling $\$ 1,277,012$ ) was adopted on October 2, 2023. Once again, staff is now presenting a third amendment to the Overall Work Program. Included in the proposed third Amendment for consideration is the inclusion of MCOG's recently awarded Caltrans Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) Discretionary Grant to Update/Expand Local Road Safety/Action Plans within Mendocino County.

As mentioned above, MCOG was recently notified of its successful Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) Discretionary Grant to Update/Expand Local Road Safety/Action Plans within Mendocino County. Included in the conditional award requirements set forth by Caltrans, MCOG must amend the current Overall Work Program to reflect the new project. The total project cost is $\$ 100,000$, of which is all Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) funding, that does not require a local match. The project tasks, deliverables, and funding have been added to the new Work Element 10 for review.

The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) recommended approval of the Third Amendment as presented, at their meeting on October 28, 2023. This amendment increased the FY 2023/24 Overall Work Program total from $\$ 1,277,012$ to $\$ 1,377,012$, an increase of $\$ 100,000$. Details are shown in bold and strike out on the attached Amended Overall Work Program Financial Sheets. Hard copies of the full amendment will be available upon request.

ACTION REQUIRED: Consider approval of the Third Amendment to FY 2023/24 Overall Work Program.
ALTERNATIVES: (1) Approve Amendment (Recommended); (2) Do not approve Amendment; or (3) Refer the Amendment to TAC for additional review and recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION: Accept TAC's recommendation to approve the Third Amendment to FY 2023/24 Overall Work Program (OWP) and authorize the Executive Director to sign appropriate certifications and revised OWP Agreement (as needed), and forward to Caltrans as required.
mendocino Councll of governments

FY $2033 / 4$ (2nt 3RDAMENDED) OVERALL WORK PROGRAM | TO TAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| $\$$ | 146,646 |
| $\$$ | 175,425 |
| $\$$ | 88,975 |
| $\$$ | 30,000 |
| $\$$ | 20,191 |
| $\$$ | 54,000 |




 Local LTF 2023/24-3\% Alloc. Local LTF 2023/24-3\% Alloc. | $32 \%$ | Local LTF Unallocated |
| :--- | :--- |
| $53 \%$ | Local LTF Carryover | $15 \%$ State PPM 2023/24 Alloc Carryover State RPA Grant Funds

State RPA Carryover
State Grant (SHA) Carryover Federal Grant (FT A 5304) NEW TO TAL

## FY 2023/24 (2ND 3RD AMENDED) OVERALL WORK PROGRAM FUNDING ALLOCATION \& EXPENDITURE SUMMARY


Note: Reimbursement Rates Used for Calculating Days Programmed (estimate only). County/Cities/Local Agencies (\$75/hr.); Consultants (\$125/hr.); MCOG Planning Staff (est. @ approx. \$38\$130/hr. - various positions).

* MCOG planning staff funding level is based on contracted obligation with DBC Consulting (\$476,451). DBC's contract extension (approved 4/3/2023) goes through 9-30-24. In addition, $\mathbf{\$ 9 4 , 6 1 4}$ in carryover funding is available from under-expending prior years' funding, for a total available of $\mathbf{\$ 5 7 1 , 0 6 5}$.


## WORK ELEMENT (10): MCOG - UPDATE/EXPAND LOCAL ROAD SAFETY/ACTION PLANS WITHIN MENDOCINO COUNTY (NEW)

## PURPOSE:

This project is to thoroughly update and expand the individual Local Road Safety Plans of the County of Mendocino, City of Ukiah, City of Willits, City of Fort Bragg and City of Point Arena (a total of five plans), to ensure that the updated/expanded plans fully include all action plan components required under the Federal Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) grant program, thus ensuring eligibility of the County and Cities to apply for future SS 4 A implementation grant funding.

## PREVIOUS WORK:

Local Roads Safety Plans for the County of Mendocino, City of Ukiah, City of Willits, City of Fort Bragg and City of Point Arena.

## TASKS:

## Task 01: Project Administration (Responsible Party: Caltrans, MCOG)

Hold a project kick-off meeting with MCOG staff and Caltrans staff to discuss invoicing and quarterly reporting to Caltrans.
Deliverables: Project kick-off meeting with Caltrans - Meeting notes, quarterly invoices, and progress reports

## Task 02: Consultant Procurement (Responsible Party: MCOG)

Prepare a Request for Proposals (RFP) and Scope of Work and distribute to qualified consulting firms to obtain competitive bids. Form a Consultant Selection Committee (CSC) to review proposals and recommend selection of a consultant. Prepare and execute contract with successful consultant. Hold kick-off meeting with successful consultant.
Deliverables: Request for Proposals; Consultant Selection Committee agenda and meeting notes; executed consultant contract (MCOG). Consultant kick-off meeting, agenda, and meeting notes (MCOG, Consultant)

## Task 1: Project Meetings (Responsible Party: Consultant)

Hold project kick-off meeting (virtual or in-person) with MCOG, consultant, and local agency staff. MCOG shall prepare meeting agenda; Consultant shall prepare meeting notes. (MCOG, Consultant, Local Agencies)

Consultant shall establish a working group of interested stakeholders/safety partners to provide input into the development of local plans. Composition of stakeholder may include local agency staff as well as other interested stakeholders from the " 4 E 's" of highway safety (engineering, law enforcement, education, and emergency response communities). (MCOG, Consultant, Local Agencies)

Consultant shall meet with MCOG, local agency staff, Caltrans, and stakeholder group as needed throughout the project. Meetings may be virtual or in-person, as needed. Consultant shall propose frequency and schedule of stakeholder meetings and shall be responsible for all aspects of meetings, including preparation of agendas and meeting notes. (MCOG, Consultant, Local Agencies, Stakeholders)
Deliverables: Project kick-off meeting agenda (MCOG); kick-off meeting (MCOG, Local Agency Staff, Caltrans, Consultant); kick-meeting notes (Consultant). Stakeholder roster (Consultant). Stakeholder meeting agendas (Consultant), stakeholder meetings (MCOG, Local Agency Staff, Caltrans, Stakeholders, Consultant); stakeholder meeting notes (Consultant). Includes travel expense (Consultant)

## Task 2: Public Participation and Community Outreach (Responsible Party: Consultant)

Community and stakeholder engagement is planned for this study through in-person and virtual meetings/workshops. Public workshops will be held early in the project to solicit community input on needed transportation safety projects, including equity considerations for all modes of transportation. Equitable stakeholder and public outreach will be conducted in all areas of the County to inform the project. Depending on
the various communities' needs, some stakeholder and general public workshops may be combined for efficiency. Consultant may propose a mix of in-person and virtual activities that provides flexibility and allows for a diverse range of outreach methods.

Consultant shall prepare a detailed Public Outreach Plan and outreach schedule (based on methods consistent with MCOG's adopted Public Participation Plan) with recommendations on how best to equitably engage diverse segments of community, for review and acceptance by MCOG.

Consultant shall implement the Public Outreach Plan, which will include providing information to the community and gathering community input through various means (surveys, community workshops, project website, etc.). Outreach materials will be made available in both English and Spanish for the limited English proficiency community.

Consultant shall prepare a summary report of public participation efforts and results.
Deliverables: Public Outreach Plan and schedule (Draft and Final) (Consultant, MCOG). Community meetings/workshops; PowerPoint Presentations; flyers; website announcements (Consultant, MCOG, Local Agency Staff, Community members), Public Participation Summary (Consultant, MCOG), Includes travel expense (Consultant)

## Task 3: Identify \& Address "Safe Streets and Roads for All" Grant Requirements (Responsible Party: Consultant)

Consultant shall review USDOT Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) Implementation grant requirements to identify all action plan components required for Implementation grant applications. Consultant shall review the existing County and City LRSPs to determine what SS4A action plan components are needed to satisfy SS4A Implementation grant requirements. A checklist of missing or needed SS4A Implementation grant components for each LRSP shall be provided.
Deliverables: Checklist of missing/needed SS4A Implementation Grant Action Plan Components (Consultant)

## Task 4: Data Collection \& Analysis (Responsible Party: Consultant)

Consultant shall collect, review, analyze, and incorporate new information that may have become available since the 2022 LRSPs were developed. As needed, this may include:

- Collect \& Review Data - Consultant shall collect and review data including Mendocino County Dept. of Transportation's annual Traffic Safety Review, MCOG's Regional Transportation Plan, Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), local agency plans, and any other relevant data that may be available.
- Analyze Data \& Make Recommendations - Consultant shall analyze accident and safety data that's become available since 2022 plans were developed; and shall include equity considerations, identify emphasis areas; identify, recommend and prioritize safety countermeasures (including a summary of costs, potential funding sources, timelines and goals). Consultant shall ensure that all recommended safety projects are consistent with relevant Federal and State project funding requirements, including the Highway Safety Improvement Program, and Safe Streets and Roads for All Program.
Deliverables: Technical Memorandum - Summary of Data Collected \& Analyzed (Consultant)


## Task 5: Draft Updated/Expanded Local Road Safety/Action Plans (Responsible Party: Consultant)

 Consultant shall incorporate all data collected and analyzed into the individual draft Updated/ Expanded Local Road Safety/Action Plans. Consultant shall update/enhance existing County and City LRSPs (completed in 2022) to include all required SS4A action plan components. Consultant shall update and expand the existing LRSPs to include newly identified safety projects, including projects identified during stakeholder outreach.The individual draft plans shall be made available for local agency, stakeholders, and public review and feedback.

Deliverables: Draft "Updated/Expanded Local Road Safety/Action Plans" for County of Mendocino, and cities of Ukiah, Point Arena, Willits, and Fort Bragg. An electronic copy of each Draft Plan shall be provided. (Consultant)

Task 6: Final Updated/Expanded Local Road Safety/Action Plans (Responsible Party: Consultant)
Consultant shall incorporate input from local agencies, stakeholders, and the public, as appropriate, and prepare final plans for each agency. The final plans must include a summary of next steps local agencies will take towards implementing the project.
Deliverables: Final "Updated/Expanded Local Road Safety/Action Plans" for County of Mendocino, and cities of Ukiah, Point Arena, Willits, and Fort Bragg. Three (3) print copies, plus an electronic copy, of each Final Plan shall be provided. (Consultant)

Task 7: Presentation of Updated/Expanded Final Local Road Safety/Action Plans (Responsible Party: Consultant)
Consultant shall make separate presentations of final plans to City Councils/Board of Supervisors, for adoption or acceptance by local agencies. Presentations may be in-person or virtual, as agreed to by local agency staff. Deliverables: Separate Presentations of "Final Updated/Expanded Final Local Road Safety/Action Plans" to County Board of Supervisors, and City Councils of Ukiah, Point Arena, Willits, and Fort Bragg. (Consultant)

FUNDING AND AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES

| Responsible Agency | Approx. <br> Person <br> Days | Budget | Fiscal Year | Funding Source |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :--- |
| MCOG Staff | 13 | $\$ 10,000,00$ | $2023 / 24$ | State RPA Grant |
| Consultant | 90 | $\$ 90,000$ | $2023 / 24$ | State RPA Grant |
| TOTAL: | 103 | $\$ \mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  | State RPA Grant |

ESTIMATED SCHEDULE

| Tasks | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0 1}$ | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| $\mathbf{0 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| $\mathbf{5 - 7}$ | To be completed in FY 2024/25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mendocino Council of Governments

TITLE: Redwood Region RISE Participation
DATE PREPARED: 10/27/23
MEETING DATE: 11/6/23


#### Abstract

SUBMITTED BY: Nephele Barrett, Executive Director BACKGROUND: The Redwood Region RISE (Resilient Inclusive Sustainable Economy) is a four-county-Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Mendocino Counties and Tribal Lands-economic development effort focused on bringing good, sustainable jobs to the region, pursue sustainable economic development, and contribute to California's goal of carbon neutrality through the California Jobs First (previously known as Community Economic Resilience Fund, CERF) initiative. The initiative is being coordinated by the Center for Rural Policy at Cal Poly Humboldt.

As part of this initiative, each of California's 13 regions will receive $\sim \$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ to create a High Road Transition Collaborative (HRTC) to lead an inclusive, diverse, and accountable regional planning process resulting in a regional strategy and recommended investments to grow sustainable industries, diversify regional economies, and increase access to high-quality jobs. The HRTC includes an approximately 50 -member voting block that will vote on key decisions throughout the process. The Voting Member Block is meant to be balanced regionally and across sectors and include all partner categories and priority communities. The Voting Member Block will be informed and advised by Tribal Planning Tables, Local Planning Tables, Sector Planning Tables, an Equity Council and subject matter experts.


I've been invited to be a voting member of the HRTC. This is something I've been participating in already, but not as an official voting member. This commitment will involve monthly meetings over the span of about a year. I wouldn't typically request Board approval to participate in something like this, however, being a voting member requires a written agreement (attached), so I am asking for Board approval before proceeding.

At the time of this staff report, there is a possibility that the County of Mendocino may be able to fill this position in the HRTC Voting Member Block rather than MCOG. If that's the case, this agenda item will be pulled at the meeting.

Additional information on this Redwood Region RISE initiative can be found on their website: https://ccrp.humboldt.edu/redwood-rise

ACTION REQUIRED: Authorize Executive Director to complete the Redwood Region RISE High Road Transition Collaborative Collective Partnership Agreement Letter and participate in the HRTC Voting Member Block.

ALTERNATIVES: Do not authorize participation in the HRTC Voting Member Block.

RECOMMENDATION: Authorize Executive Director to complete the Redwood Region RISE High Road Transition Collaborative Collective Partnership Agreement Letter and participate in the HRTC Voting Member Block.

Note: At the time of this staff report, there is a possibility that the County of Mendocino may be able to fill this position in the HRTC Voting Member Block rather than MCOG. If that's the case, this agenda item will be pulled at the meeting.

## Redwood Region RISE

## Community Economic Resilience Fund

 High Road Transition Collaborative (HRTC)
## Collective Partnership Agreement Letter

The Community Economic Resilience Fund (CERF) brings us together to build an equitable and sustainable economy across the rural Redwood Coast Region-the Redwood Region RISE (Resilient Inclusive Sustainable Economy). We seek to create opportunities for all communities across our Region to thrive by addressing historical inequities in our economy as we work towards a carbon-neutral future.

I have reviewed the Governance Structure for the High Road Transition Collaborative (HRTC) for the Redwood Region RISE. I understand that the governance and participation model may change as the process evolves and am committed to moving the process forward, and supporting the collective leadership model of the High Road Transition Collaborative.

## This Letter outlines the various HRTC sub-committees, their commitments, and compensation included for participation. I agree to:

- Participate in and help expand collaborative partnerships across the region;
- Articulate regional concerns, priorities and solutions (current and new);
- Model and promote inclusive and equitable approaches to regional work;
- Refine project criteria based on regional priorities for the CERF Implementation Phase; and,
- Move projects forward for funding consideration.

This Partnership Agreement Letter is an agreement between all parties who sign it to uphold the core principles as we work together.

| Core Principles Guiding Process | Core Principles Guiding Regional Results |
| :---: | :---: |
| Equitable Inclusion of Priority Partners | Equitable, Inclusive Economic Development |
| Respect for Diverse Perspectives | Collaborative Worker-Employer Industry Leadership |
| Cooperation and Collaboration | Broadly Accessible High-Quality Jobs |
| Transparency and Accountability | Economic Competitiveness and Resilience |
| Adherence to HRTC Decision Model | Carbon Neutrality and Climate Resilience |

## HRTC Sub-committee Commitments:

I have reviewed the time commitments below for each HRTC committee assignment and stipend amounts.

## - Equity Council (Closed to new members once fully seated):

- Up to four (4) hours per month
- To serve from August l, 2023 through September 30, 2024
- To attend at least $80 \%$ of the meetings held (tentatively planned for 10 meetings)
- Stipends are available of $\$ 100 /$ meeting
- Local Planning Tables by County (Open to new members):
- Time commitment to be determined by the local groups
- To serve from September 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024
- To attend at least $80 \%$ of the meetings held (tentatively planned for seven [7] meetings)
- Stipends are available of $\$ 100 /$ meeting


## - Tribal Planning Table (Open to new members):

- Up to four (4) hours per month
- To serve from September 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024
- To attend at least $80 \%$ of the meetings held (tentatively planned for seven [7] meetings)
- Stipends are available of $\$ 100 /$ meeting
- Sector Planning Tables:
- Up to ten (10) hours per month
- To work for the duration of the grant through September 30, 2024
- To attend at least $80 \%$ of the meetings, whether held over Zoom and/or in person
- Participant stipends are available of $\$ 100 /$ meeting
- Voting Member Block (Steering Committee)
- Monthly meetings, with possible additional preparation work (up to five hours per month)
- To work for the duration of the grant through September 30, 2024
- To attend at least $80 \%$ of the meetings, whether held over Zoom and/or in person
- Stipends are available of $\$ 100 /$ meeting


## - I agree that:

- I will adhere to the core principles listed above.
- When data or other informational materials are presented, I will read them and be prepared to discuss them with the group.
- I will be an active participant in the meetings.
- I will attend training(s) required by the Convening Team.


# By signing this Partnership Agreement Letter, I agree to join the Redwood Region's High Road Transition Collaborative: Redwood Region RISE (Resilient Inclusive Sustainable Economy) 

Signed/Dated

Full Name of Individual, Affiliation (if applicable)

## Mendocino Council of Governments

## STAFF REPORT

TITLE: 2024 Regional Transportation Improvement Program - Project Recommendations

SUBMITTED BY: James Sookne, Program Manager DATE: I0/26/2023

## BACKGROUND:

Each odd numbered year we consider the programming of projects that are to be included in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that goes into effect July 1 of the following year. We do this by developing our Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) which programs our Regional Improvement Program (RIP) shares of funding as identified by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) in the Fund Estimate (FE). The 2024 FE identified a target of $\$ 11,731,000$ available to the region in RIP funding, which includes our target for Planning, Programming \& Monitoring (PPM) programming. After deducting the $\$ 407,000$ identified for PPM, $\$ 11,324,000$ is available for programming on new or existing projects. The FE also identified a maximum that may be proposed by MCOG of $\$ 36,177,000$. The maximum includes funding projects that extend beyond the current five year STIP period, through FY 30/31, the end of the next County Share Period. Any programming that exceeds our target is essentially an advance of funds that would be available in the 2026 STIP.

Applications for funding were due to MCOG on September 29. The RIP funds will be primarily available for programming in the last two years of the STIP cycle, FY 27/28 and 28/29. Below is a summary of applications received.

| Agency | Project Title | Description | RIP Funding <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Ukiah | Downtown <br> Streetscape <br> Improvement <br> Project - Phase 3 | Road diet with enhanced ADA/Pedestrian/Bicycle <br> access and utility improvement on North State Street <br> between Norton Street and Low Gap Road | $\$ 6,718,575.56$ |
| County | North State Street <br> Intersection and <br> Interchange <br> Improvements <br> Phase I | Installation of a roundabout at the North State <br> Street/Kuki Lane intersection and a roundabout at the <br> North State Street/southbound 101 interchange, <br> including the realignment of Lover's Lane | $\$ 13,900,000$ |
| County | 2026 Road <br> Rehabilitation on <br> Comptche Ukiah <br> Road | Asphalt overlays on roadway segments with sharp turns <br> and steep grades, supplementing the 2026 <br> Corrective/Preventative Maintenance on Comptche <br> Ukiah Road | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| County | 2024 Road <br> Rehabilitation on <br> Mountain View <br> Road | Asphalt overlays on roadway segments with sharp turns <br> and steep grades, supplementing the 2024 <br> Corrective/Preventative Maintenance on Mountain <br> View Road | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| County | North State Street <br> Road Repairs | Repair of failing asphalt surfacing on North State Street. <br> The previous asphalt overlay has failed, creating a very <br> poor driving experience. Temporary measures have <br> been taken to smooth the road, but they are not <br> expected to last long. This project will pulverize 0.60 | $\$ 1,533,000$ |


|  |  | miles of existing road and road bed to a depth of 4 <br> inches and lay down 4 inches of new asphalt. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | North State Street <br> Bridge <br> Replacement over <br> Ackerman Creek | Replacement of the existing bridge with a new, wider <br> bridge and construction of new, wider roadway <br> approaches. | $\$ 602,300$ |  |  |  |
| Caltrans | Gualala Downtown <br> Streetscape <br> Enhancement <br> Project | Construct 0.4 miles of non-motorized improvements <br> along State Route 1 between Center Street and Ocean <br> Drive in the town of Gualala | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Requests |  |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 , 9 5 0 , 8 7 5 . 5 6}$ |

The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) reviewed project applications at their meeting on October 25. TAC members were asked to score the applications prior to the meeting using the scoring criteria previously adopted by MCOG. Projects and scores were then reviewed at the meeting. The project scores were used to rank the projects and a funding recommendation was approved (not unanimously). The following summarized the TAC's initial project rankings and funding recommendation. This proposal funds the projects initially ranked first and second, skips third and fourth, then funds projects ranked fifth and sixth. This proposal would exceed the programing target by $\$ 7,776,000$.

TAC Recommendation

| Project | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \end{aligned}$ | Requested Funding | Recommended Funding | Cumulative Total Recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County - N. State Intersections | 1 | \$13,900,000 | \$13,900,000 | \$13,900,000 |
| Gualala Downtown Streetscape | 2 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$15,100,000 |
| County - Ackerman Creek Bridge | 3 | \$602,300 | \$0 | \$15,100,000 |
| Ukiah - Downtown Streetscape Ph 3 | 4 | \$6,718,575.56 | \$0 | \$15,100,000 |
| County - Mountain View Rd. | 5 | \$2,000,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$17,100,000 |
| County - Comptche Ukiah Rd. | 6 | \$2,000,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$19,100,000 |
| County - N. State Rd. Repairs | 7 | \$1,533,000 | \$0 | \$19,100,000 |

Following the TAC meeting, staff noticed some discrepancies in the two quantitative scoring criteria, leverage of funds and traffic volume/usage. After reviewing and recalculating all of the scores, the revised project ranking is as follows, with the previously third ranked project rising to first:

Corrected TAC Ranking Based on Scores

| Project | $\underset{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ | Requested Funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County - Ackerman Creek Bridge | 1 | \$602,300 |
| County - N. State Intersection | 2 | \$13,900,000 |
| Gualala Downtown Streetscape | 3 | \$1,200,000 |
| Ukiah - Downtown Streetscape Ph 3 | 4 | \$6,718,575.56 |
| County - Mountain View Rd. | 5 | \$2,000,000 |
| County - Comptche Ukiah Rd. | 6 | \$2,000,000 |
| County - N. State Rd. Repairs | 7 | \$1,533,000 |

Based on these revised project rankings, as well as the TAC's variance from the overall ranking, staff has developed two alternatives for the Board to consider. Alternative \#1, shown below, funds the top three ranked projects. Alternative \#1 presents less of an impact to future STIP funds, with a total funding amount that exceeds the target by $\$ 4,378,300$.

Alternative 1

| Project | $\underset{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ | Requested Funding | Recommended Funding | Cumulative Total Recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County - Ackerman Creek Bridge | 1 | \$602,300 | \$602,300 | \$602,300 |
| County - N. State Intersection | 2 | \$13,900,000 | \$13,900,000 | \$14,502,300 |
| Gualala Downtown Streetscape | 3 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$15,702,300 |
| Ukiah - Downtown Streetscape Ph 3 | 4 | \$6,718,575.56 | \$0 | \$15,702,300 |
| County - Mountain View Rd. | 5 | \$2,000,000 | \$0 | \$15,702,300 |
| County - Comptche Ukiah Rd. | 6 | \$2,000,000 | \$0 | \$15,702,300 |
| County - N. State Rd. Repairs | 7 | \$1,533,000 | \$0 | \$15,702,300 |

Staff has also prepared Alternative \#2, shown below, which in addition to funding projects ranked first through third, also funds one of the two roadway rehabilitation projects included in the TAC's original recommendation. Interest in funding roadway rehabilitation projects has been expressed by the Board previously, and this option may be considered if the Board wishes to include a rehab project in this STIP funding cycle. This alternative exceeds the funding target by $\$ 6,378,000$, $\$ 1,398,000$ less than the TAC recommendation.

Alternative 2

| Project |  | Requested Funding | Recommended Funding | Cumulative Total Recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County - Ackerman Creek Bridge | 1 | \$602,300 | \$602,300 | \$602,300 |
| County - N. State Intersection | 2 | \$13,900,000 | \$13,900,000 | \$14,502,300 |
| Gualala Downtown Streetscape | 3 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$15,702,300 |
| Ukiah - Downtown Streetscape Ph 3 | 4 | \$6,718,575.56 | \$0 | \$15,702,300 |
| County - Mountain View Rd. | 5 | \$2,000,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$17,702,300 |
| County - Comptche Ukiah Rd. | 6 | \$2,000,000 | \$0 | \$17,702,300 |
| County - N. State Rd. Repairs | 7 | \$1,533,000 | \$0 | \$17,702,300 |

Staff has begun to develop a draft RTIP, which will be completed based on Board direction at this meeting. The final RTIP will be presented to the Board at the December meeting.

ACTION REQUIRED: Discuss the project funding recommendation and alternatives and provide direction to staff for preparation of the 2024 Regional Transportation Improvement Program.

ALTERNATIVES: None identified.
RECOMMENDATION: Discuss the project funding recommendation and alternatives and provide direction to staff for preparation of the 2024 Regional Transportation Improvement Program. Staff recommends funding Alternative 1 or 2.

Mendocino Council of Governments

## Staff Report

| TITLE: | SB 125 Funding - Project Selection for First Year | DATE PREPARED: $10 / 27 / 23$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Allocation Plan | MEETING DATE: $11 / 6 / 23$ |

## SUBMITTED BY: Nephele Barrett, Executive Director

## BACKGROUND:

AB 102 (Chapter 38, Statutes of 2023) and SB 125 (Chapter 54, Statutes of 2023) amended the State's Budget Act of 2023 to appropriate a total of $\$ 5.1$ billion to the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) and the new Zero-Emission Transit Capital Program (ZETCP) over a four year period. The TIRCP program is typically a statewide competitive program, however, this appropriation, along with the ZETCP appropriation will be distributed by formulas based on both population and transit operator revenues to regional transportation planning agencies for programming and administration. The funds can be used for transit capital projects and operating expenses that prevent service cuts and/or increase ridership, subject to compliance with the program guidelines developed by CalSTA. MCOG will be receiving a total of $\$ 11,063,615$ over the four year period, with the bulk of the funds in the first two years. This funding includes $\$ 110,636$ for MCOG for administration of the program. Funding amounts in each year are shown below.

|  | Year 1-23/24 | Year 2-24/25 | Year 3-25/26 | Year 4-26/27 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TIRCP | $\$ 4,848,229$ | $\$ 4,859,713$ |  |  |
| ZETCP | $\$ 505,296$ | $\$ 283,459$ | $\$ 283,459$ | $\$ 283,459$ |
| Total | $\$ 5, \mathbf{3 5 3 , 5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 1 4 3 , 1 7 2}$ | $\$ \mathbf{2 8 3}, \mathbf{4 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 3 , 4 5 9}$ |

As reported at the last MCOG Board meeting, RTPAs must develop and submit an initial allocation plan by December 31, 2023, in order to receive an allocation in FY 23/24. The initial allocation plan must address the use of all available transit funding, not only SB 125. The plan must include the following:

1. Explanation of what funding and service actions are being taken within the region that utilize resources other than SB 125 to address operational deficit, approaches the region is taking and plans to take before use of SB 125 funds to mitigate deficits,
2. Description and justification of strategy to use SB 125 funding to construct capital projects and fund operating expenses that lead to improved outcomes, mitigate service cuts, fare increases or layoffs relative to a 2022 service baseline to achieve short term financial sustainability.
3. Explanation of how funding is distributed among operators and projects. Required details are dependent on the types of projects being proposed.

Because MTA is the only public transit operator in the region, the process of determining how to use funding is somewhat simplified. MCOG and MTA staff met and discussed proposed priority projects for Year 1 funding. MTA has proposed to use year 1 funds for three projects: Ukiah area transit center (capital), zero emission vehicle purchase (capital), and implementation of a pilot project to provide service to Laytonville and Covelo (operations and capital). In addition, MCOG will allocate the full amount of administration funds from this first year for use over the life of the
program. A brief description of the proposed projects is included below and shown in the attachments (Section B.2).

Development of a new Ukiah Transit Center. This project has completed a feasibility study, resulting in selection of an available unimproved parcel. This centrally located multimodal center will connect all transportation services (MTA, LTA, Amtrak, Greyhound), cyclists, pedestrians, park and ride, etc. First priority is to secure purchase of the identified property.

Demonstration of innovative solutions to serve the remote communities of Laytonville and Covelo. This would be a three-year pilot project to meet long-standing unmet transportation needs, arising from MCOG's recent Feasibility Study of Mobility Solutions for Rural Communities of Inland Mendocino County. Both capital and operations would be funded. The first year would procure one or more appropriate, zero emission vehicles. The second and third years would initiate the services, including administration, marketing, and travel training for riders. It is important to note that this service proposed by MTA differs significantly from that proposed in the feasibility study. MTA's pilot will use a small, zero-emission transit vehicle to bring passengers from Laytonville and Covelo to destinations in Willits. Once in Willits, passengers can transfer to fixed route in Willits or to the route that will take them on to Ukiah. Once in Ukiah, they would again transfer to another local route. The service proposed in the feasibility study and supported by the community included a single vehicle that would take passengers from Laytonville and Covelo to their destination and back again, without the need for transfer. MTA's reasons for this are to enable use of a zero emission vehicle and to utilize the existing services. However, MCOG staff has concerns that this service may be less successful as a pilot project than the service previously vetted with the community.

Continuation of MTA's Zero Emissions Fleet Transition Plan. These capital investments would enable the agency to continue its plan on track with the California Air Resources Board's
Innovative Clean Transit (ICT) regulation to meet a goal of 100 percent zero emissions by 2040.
MCOG staff has started a draft of the allocation plan, although there is still a significant amount of work remaining to be done on the plan, including project specific analysis and ridership data to be provided by MTA. A complete draft of the plan will be presented to the MCOG Board at the December meeting for approval. Due to the short timeframe allowed for plan development and approval, we are presenting this working draft and project listing at this time as an opportunity for Board discussion and comment on the project and funding proposals.

ACTION REQUIRED: Discuss the funding and projects proposed for inclusion in the SB 125 Initial Allocation package. Provide comments and/or direction for preparation of the full draft to be considered at the December meeting.

ALTERNATIVES: None identified.
RECOMMENDATION: Discuss the funding and projects proposed for inclusion in the SB 125 Initial Allocation package. Provide comments and/or direction for preparation of the full draft to be considered at the December meeting.

# Mendocino Council of Governments 

# SB 125 FORMULA-BASED TRANSIT AND INTERCITY RAIL CAPITAL PROGRAM \& ZERO EMISSION TRANSIT CAPITAL PROGRAM 

DRAFT Allocation Package

October 2023

## A. Introduction

[Fill with brief preamble here. Cover letter is to confirm that the RTPA developed the plan in consultation and coordination with its transit operators.]

The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) is the Regional Transportation Planning Agency for the Mendocino County region. Within the boundaries of MCOG's jurisdiction, there is only one public transit operator, the Mendocino Transit Authority (MTA). Development of this allocation plan has benefited from recent planning efforts conducted cooperatively by both MCOG and MTA. MCOG has recently completed the study Mobility Solutions for Rural Communities of Inland Mendocino County, which led to the identification of the project proposed for operational funding in this allocation plan. The Ukiah Transit Center Feasibility Study, which analyzed locations for a new transit center/hub in the Ukiah area, is also nearing completion. Capital funding for the development of that project is included in this plan. The MTA is also currently undergoing an update to their Short Range Transit Development Plan, which guides its overall service needs in the near future. In addition to the collaboration between MCOG and MTA conducted through these planning efforts, the two agencies have held meetings and had ongoing communication specifically to discuss project prioritization for these funds. The funding has also been discussed in public meetings of the Boards of Directors of both agencies.

## B. Narrative Explanation

1. Explanation of funding and service actions being taken within the region that utilize resources other than SB125 funding. [This section addresses operational deficits and is not applicable to MCOG's Allocation Plan. Note: MTA states its CC Rider service had an "operational deficit" due to lack of drivers, not lack of funds.]
2. Description and justification of the RTPA strategy to use SB 125 funding to construct capital projects and fund operating expenses that lead to improved outcomes in its jurisdiction. ["...how the region's funding is proposed to be allocated to capital and operational expenses and provide a demonstration of how the plan will mitigate service cuts, fare increases, or layoffs relative to a 2022 service baseline to achieve short-term financial sustainability...In addition, provide a summary of how the plan will support ridership improvement strategies that focus on riders, such as coordinating schedules and ease of payment and improving cleanliness and safety, to improve the ridership experience...highlight any portion of the capital projects or any portion of operating funds that are specifically focused on supporting improvement in ridership."] MCOG's strategy, in consultation with Mendocino Transit Authority (MTA), the one eligible operator in the region, addresses goals to increase ridership by improving the rider experience of public transit and by demonstrating
innovative solutions to meeting unmet transit needs. This strategy comprises three separate approaches:
a) Development of a new Ukiah Transit Center. This project has completed a feasibility study, resulting in selection of an available unimproved parcel. This centrally located multimodal center will connect all transportation services (MTA, LTA, Amtrak, Greyhound), cyclists, pedestrians, park and ride, etc. First priority is to secure purchase of the identified property.
b) Demonstration of innovative solutions to serve the remote communities of Laytonville and Covelo. This would be a three-year pilot project to meet long-standing unmet transportation needs, arising from MCOG's recent Feasibility Study of Mobility Solutions for Rural Communities of Inland Mendocino County. Both capital and operations would be funded. The first year would procure one or more appropriate, zero emission vehicles. The second and third years would initiate the services, including administration, marketing, and travel training for riders.
c) Continuation of MTA's Zero Emissions Fleet Transition Plan. These capital investments would enable the agency to continue its plan on track with the California Air Resources Board's Innovative Clean Transit (ICT) regulation to meet a goal of 100 percent zero emissions by 2040.
3. Detailed breakdown and justification for how the funding is proposed to be distributed between transit operators and among projects, consistent with the legislative intent described in SB 125.
[This section shall include a narrative (and appropriate data and tables) describing the justification for the funding distribution to each specific operator and project that is requested. If requesting spending for transit operations, this section shall address the following items identified in the legislative intent language in SB 125...refer to Guidelines... If requesting funding for both capital projects and transit operations, the justification for the capital project shall include discussion of the high-priority nature of the capital project and the significance of its completion to the region.]

The Mendocino County region has only one public transit operator, the Mendocino Transit Authority, therefore, this section focuses on projects rather than distribution among operators. MCOG is proposing to fund both capital and operations with the available funds, as shown below. The region does not have any existing TIRCP funded projects.

| Project | TIRCP | ZETCP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Administration - MCOG | $\$ 110,636$ |  |
| Ukiah Transit Center Development | $\$ 2,000,000$ |  |
| Zero Emission Vehicles | $\$ 2,237,593$ | $\$ 505,296$ |
| Laytonville \& Covelo Service | $\$ 500,000$ |  |

Development of a new Ukiah Transit Center. This project has long been a need in the Ukiah area. Ukiah is the county seat and population center of Mendocino County. It is located on the US 101 corridor, which provides a north/south connection to the rest of the State and beyond. Amtrak, Greyhound and Lake Transit Authority all provide service to the Ukiah area. MTA does not currently have any type of transit hub or transit center in the Ukiah area that allows for a consolidated connection point for these services, or connection with other modes. By providing a central location
for these connections, as well as other amenities to support zero emission and active transportation (charging, bike storage, etc.), MTA will be able to increase ridership and improve the overall ridership experience. It is anticipated that to fully fund the project, MTA will apply for TIRCP competitive funds as well. This has been identified as a priority for MTA and MCOG and is included in the 2022 Regional Transportation Plan. Using these AB 125 funds to initiate project development will prepare MTA for a future TIRCP competitive grant to fully fund construction of this priority project.

Demonstration of innovative solutions to serve the remote communities of Laytonville and Covelo. MCOG conducts an annual unmet transit needs assessment. Nearly every year, the need for some type of lifeline service to serve the remote communities of Laytonville and Covelo in the north part of the county is identified. These communities lack many services (shopping, education, medical), and residents must travel an hour or more by car to reach critical services and destinations. Unfortunately, these communities are also low-income, underserved communities. Many residents lack a household vehicle, or lack a reliable vehicle for traveling these longer distances. MCOG conducted a needs assessment and feasibility study for mobility solutions to these communities. The project proposed to be funded by MTA with TIRCP funding is a hybrid of the recommendations of that study that will provide a connection from those communities to MTA's existing fixed route service in the larger communities. The project will increase ridership by providing service to a currently unserved community. It will reduce greenhouse gas emissions by converting single occupancy vehicle trips to shared transit vehicle trips.

Continuation of MTA's Zero Emissions Fleet Transition Plan. This project will reduce greenhouse gas emissions by continuing and accelerating the replacement of MTA's existing fossil fuel powered fleet with zero emission vehicles.

## C. Detailed Project Description

[This covers all projects that are recommended by the RTPA for SB 125 funding. It does not need to fully utilize all available funds, as this document may be updated on a rolling basis throughout the period covered by SB125 funding as part of an Updated Allocation Package submission. However, for timely distribution of FY23-24 funds, this portion of the Allocation Package must be submitted by December 31, 2023...]

1. TIRCP Capital
a) Existing TIRCP project. [refer to Guidelines]
b) New TIRCP-eligible project/s. [Each capital project to include a) summary/fact sheet, b) detailed project schedule, c) project location with maps, d) GHG reducing features, e) expected ridership benefits, and f) DAC/LIC benefits-refer to Guidelines]
c) Project development activities for new project. [How their project or program of projects will be eligible to apply for TIRCP construction funding in the future-refer to Guidelines]
2. ZETCP Capital
a) Summary or fact sheet. [refer to Guidelines]
b) Detailed project schedule.
c) Project location.
d) GHG reducing features.
e) CARB job co-benefit modeling tool.
f) DAC/LIC benefits.
3. Transit Operations Funding
a) Name of Transit Operator.
b) 2022-23 Ridership for the Operator and Operator's Percent of Region's Total Ridership.
c) Amount of Funding Requested, by source (TIRCP or ZETCP) and Budget Year.
d) List of Specific Activities Funded by the Request. [refer to Guidelines]
e) Benefits to transit dependent riders of activities funded by the request.
D. Summary Excel table of proposed TIRCP and ZETCP uses by fiscal year of availability
E. Regionally Representative Transit Operator Data

## Mendocino Council of Governments

TITLE: Carbon Reduction Program (CRP)
SUBMITTED BY: James Sookne, Program Manager DATE: I0/26/2023

## BACKGROUND:

The Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) is a federal funding source provided by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) available for award by the RTPA. The purpose of the CRP is to reduce transportation emissions through the development of the State carbon reduction strategies and by funding projects designed to reduce transportation emissions.

Prior to programming any funds, MCOG must develop a Project Selection Strategy that will be used as the basis for all CRP project selections. This strategy should reflect the Three Pillars of the State's Carbon Reduction Strategy (CRS) plan, which are: rail and transit, active transportation, and zeroemission infrastructure.

The Mendocino County region has $\$ 161,211$ for the Cycle 1 (FFY 2022) apportionment and $\$ 164,435$ for the Cycle 2 (FFY2023) apportionment, totaling $\$ 325,646$. Cycle 1 funds must be obligated by September 30, 2025, and expended by September 30, 2030. The obligation and expenditure deadlines for Cycle 2 funds are one year later than those of Cycle 1. CRP funds can be combined with other eligible USDOT funds that support the reduction of transportation emissions.

Staff developed a draft CRP Policy and Call for Projects that were presented to the TAC for review and discussion at the September meeting. Based on input received at that meeting, staff developed final drafts that were brought to the TAC for review at the October meeting. The TAC made a recommendation that the MCOG Board approve the final drafts of the CRP Policy and Call for Projects, which have been attached for reference.

Assuming Board approval, staff expects to announce a Call for Projects in early 2024.
ACTION REQUIRED: Approve the Carbon Reduction Program Policy and Call for Projects.
ALTERNATIVES:
Provide further input and approve the CRP Policy and Call for Projects at a future meeting.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the Carbon Reduction Program Policy and Call for Projects and direct staff to issue a call for projects in early 2024.

## Enclosures:

Carbon Reduction Program Policy
Carbon Reduction Program Call for Projects

## MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM (CRP) POLICY

## PROGRAM OVERVIEW

A. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) (Bipartisan Infrastructure Law) provides $\$ 6.4$ billion dollars to states, Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), and non-MPO Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs) like the Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) for the Carbon Reduction Program (CRP). The purpose of the CRP is to reduce transportation carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions, from on-road sources. CRP funds are available for five years (2022-2026) and provide opportunities to support local priorities that decrease CO2 emissions in the transportation sector and support regions towards net zero emissions by 2050.
B. The allocations are split, with $65 \%$ as Local CRP and $35 \%$ as State CRP. Local CRP is allocated by population based on the 2020 US Census Urbanized Areas (UZA). Local CRP funds are allocated to MPOs or RTPAs and must be made available for use within the entire boundary. For MCOG, this means the entire Mendocino County region. The CRP funds cannot be further suballocated within the MCOG boundary. Instead, MCOG must use a competitive, performance-driven process to select and program projects for CRP funds.

## ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS

A. Eligible applicants include MCOG, cities, counties, tribal governments, transit agencies, and nonprofit organizations within the Mendocino County region. For-profit organizations are not eligible. All other entities must partner with a city, county, or transit agency to apply for and/or administer a federal aid transportation project.
B. Since this is a reimbursement program, recipients must have the capacity to cover project costs at the outset of project or program implementation. Eligible expenses will be reimbursed once the applicant has submitted a reimbursement request and supporting documentation.

## PROJECT ELIGIBILITY

A. CRP funds cover many different types of activities that address carbon emission reductions. A full list of eligible activities is available in the FHWA program guidance: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/sustainability/energy/policy/crp guidance.pdf.
B. The utilization of CRP funds necessitates their allocation to projects from the federally-eligible list, strategically supporting three crucial pillars: bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, rail and
transit initiatives, and the development of zero-emission vehicles and related infrastructure. Eligible applicants must indicate on their project nomination forms how the proposed project meets one or more of the above "pillar" categories.
C. It is required that an $11.47 \%$ percent local match, consisting of non-federal funds, be provided.
D. The funds can be flexed to FTA upon approval by Caltrans and FHWA. If funds are flexed to FTA, FTA local match rules apply.

FUNDING PRIORITIES
A. Priority - 1

- A public transportation project eligible under 23 U.S.C. 142; (this includes eligible capital projects for the construction of a bus rapid transit corridor or dedicated bus lanes as provided for in BIL Section 11130 (23 U.S.C. 142(a)(3)).
- A transportation alternative (as defined under the Moving Ahead for Progress under the 21st Century Act [23 U.S.C. 101(a)(29), as in effect on July 5, 2012]), including, but not limited to, the construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other nonmotorized forms of transportation.
- Development of a carbon reduction strategy developed by a State per requirements in 23 U.S.C. 175(d).
- A project or strategy designed to support congestion pricing, shifting transportation demand to nonpeak hours or other transportation modes, increasing vehicle occupancy rates, or otherwise reducing demand for roads, including electronic toll collection, and travel demand management strategies and programs.
- Efforts to reduce the environmental and community impacts of freight movement.
- Sustainable pavements and construction materials. Sustainable pavements technologies that reduce embodied carbon during the manufacture and/or construction of highway projects could be eligible for CRP if a lifecycle assessment (LCA) demonstrates substantial reductions in CO2 compared to the implementing Agency's typical pavement-related practices.
- Climate Uses of Highway Right-of-Way Projects including alternative uses of highway right-of-way (ROW) that reduce transportation emissions are also eligible. For example, renewable energy generation facilities, such as solar arrays and wind turbines, can reduce transportation emissions.
- Mode Shift Projects that maximize the existing right-of-way for accommodation of nonmotorized modes and transit options that increase safety, equity, accessibility, and connectivity may be eligible.
- Projects that separate motor vehicles from pedestrians and bicyclists
B. Priority - II
- A project described in 23 U.S.C. 149(b)(4) to establish or operate a traffic monitoring, management, and control facility or program, including advanced truck stop electrification systems.
- A project described in 23 U.S.C. 503(c)(4)(E) for advanced transportation and congestion management technologies.
- Deployment of infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems capital improvements and the installation of vehicle-to-infrastructure communications equipment.
- A project to replace street lighting and traffic control devices with energy-efficient alternatives.
- A project that supports deployment of alternative fuel vehicles, including acquisition, installation, or operation of publicly accessible electric vehicle charging infrastructure or hydrogen, vehicle fueling infrastructure, and purchase or lease of zero-emission construction equipment and vehicles, including the acquisition, construction, or leasing of required supporting facilities.
- A project described in 23 U.S.C. 149(b)(8) for a diesel engine retrofit.
- Certain types of projects to improve traffic flow that are eligible under the CMAQ program, and that do not involve construction of new capacity; [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 149(b)(5); and 175(c)(1)(L)].
- A project that reduces transportation emissions at port facilities, including through the advancement of port electrification.
- Climate Uses of Highway Right-of-Way Projects including alternative uses of highway right-of-way (ROW) that reduce transportation emissions are also eligible. For example, biologic carbon sequestration practices along highway ROW to capture and store CO2 may demonstrate potential for substantial long-term transportation emissions reductions. State DOTs Leveraging Alternative Uses of the Highway Right-of-Way Guidance provides information on these practices.
- Projects that match vehicle speeds to the built environment, increase visibility (e.g., lighting), and advance implementation of a Safe System approach and improve safety for vulnerable road users may also be eligible.
- Micromobility and electric bike projects, including charging infrastructure, may also be eligible.


## MCOG AND PARTNER ROLES

A. FHWA and Caltrans guidelines identify the roles and responsibilities of state, regional, and local agencies. The following is a summary of those roles:
a. The FHWA is responsible for final review and approval.
b. Caltrans HQ Division of Local Assistance is responsible for performing eligibility review of projects selected by MCOG.
c. District 1 is responsible for reviewing projects, inputting the project information into the Funding Allocation and Delivery System (FADS), and submitting the project details to the Headquarters Implementation division of Caltrans.
d. MCOG is responsible for developing a program for managing CRP funds, conducting a call for projects, selecting projects for funding, programming projects for funding, and tracking progress on project funding. MCOG may also serve as an eligible applicant.
e. Eligible applicants identify eligible projects and compete for CRP funds, provide MCOG and Caltrans necessary information for the programming of funds on selected projects, implement and complete projects, and submit annual (or more frequent) reporting for their projects.

## CALL FOR PROJECTS AND PROJECT SELECTION

A. MCOG announces a call for projects via email and MCOG's website and provides guidance and technical support to applicants.
B. All projects undergo thorough evaluation to ensure alignment with project eligibility criteria and funding priorities, thereby ensuring consistency and strategic allocation of resources.
C. Following the application deadline, applications will be selected through a competitive process.

Project Funding, Programming, and Obligation
A. The Obligation Deadline (E76) for the year 2022 is September 30, 2025, while for 2023 it is September 30, 2026. The deadlines for the years 2024 to 2026 will be determined based on updates from Caltrans at this website: https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/fed-and-state-programs/carbon-reduction-program. It is important to note that the deadline to obligate (E76) is three Federal Fiscal Years after the FFY the funds were apportioned.
B. The Expenditure Deadline for the years 2022 and 2023 is September 30, 2030, and September 30, 2031, respectively. The Expenditure Deadline for the years 2024 to 2026 will be determined based on updates from Caltrans at this website: https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/fed-and-state-programs/carbon-reduction-program.
C. To effectively manage project funds MCOG will:

- Review all projects to ensure compliance with Build America Buy America (BABA) requirements, if applicable.
- Conduct quarterly monitoring project process to identify potential issues or delays that could impede timely completion.
- Seek the board's approval for reprogramming funds towards the new project(s) if needed.
- Once approved, initiate the necessary steps to obligate the funds for the selected project(s) and coordinate with relevant stakeholders for smooth execution.
- Continuously monitor the progress of the new project(s) to ensure timely implementation, promptly addressing any issues or delays and taking corrective actions as necessary.


## MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM (CRP) CALL FOR PROJECTS

## I. Overview

The Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) was created under the federal Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021 (IIJA) and aims to reduce transportation carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions from on-road sources. Approximately $\$ 6.4$ billion dollars will be allocated by formula to state departments of transportation, metropolitan planning organizations, and regional transportation planning agencies between 2022 through 2026. As the designated regional transportation planning agency (RTPA) for the Mendocino County region, MCOG is required to administer the Local CRP funds for Mendocino County through a competitive process. Further information regarding the CRP program for California is available online here: https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/fed-and-state-programs/carbon-reduction-program.

On XX, 2023, the MCOG Board of Directors approved and adopted a policy for the administration and management of CRP funds for the Mendocino County Region (see attachment A). Eligible applicants should refer to those policies as they prepare their applications.

## II. Available Funding, Match Requirements, and Expenditure Deadlines

MCOG received CRP funding totaling $\$ 325,646$ for federal fiscal years (FFY) 2022 and 2023. This call for projects makes both years of funding available for eligible applicants. A local match of $11.47 \%$ in non-federal funds is required. Additionally, each year of funds has different expenditure requirements. Please review the table below for funding details:

| Federal Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  | 2022 | 2023 | $2024-2026$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regional Allocation | $\$ 161,211$ | $\$ 164,435$ | TBD |  |  |  |  |
| Obligation (E76) Deadline ${ }^{*}$ | $09 / 30 / 2025$ | $9 / 30 / 2026$ | TBD |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditure Deadline* | $9 / 30 / 2030$ | $9 / 30 / 2031$ | TBD |  |  |  |  |
| *https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/fed-and-state-programs/carbon-reduction-program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Applicants must indicate which year(s) of CRP funds they are requesting in their application.
If an applicant is eligible to receive Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funds, they may request to have the CRP funds flexed to FTA. However, this is subject to approval by Caltrans and FHWA, and would make FTA local match rules apply.

As CRP is a reimbursement program, recipients must have the capacity to cover project costs initially and submit reimbursement requests along with supporting documentation to receive the eligible expenses.

## III. Funding Parameters

Eligible applicants for CRP funds can apply for projects that cover eligible activities listed in FHWA program guidance, including bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, transit initiatives, and zero-emission vehicles and related infrastructure, as outlined by Caltrans. Eligible applicants for CRP funds include:

- Cities
- Counties
- Tribal governments
- Transit agencies
- Regional transportation planning agencies
- Non-profit organizations within the region
IV. Approach and schedule

Consistent with MCOG's CRP policy, the following schedule has been developed to guide the application process:

| Call for projects | TBD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Applications due | TBD |
| Application scoring and eligibility review | TBD |
| MCOG Board of Directors approval | TBD |
| FHWA/Caltrans Eligibility review and FSTIP <br> amendment | Up to two weeks after the board recommendation <br> (estimated) |

## V. Application Requirements

Eligible entities must submit the following documents as part of their application request:

1. Project details worksheet (see attachment B)
2. A map of the project location.
3. Project alignment confirmation (see attachment C)
4. Preliminary project estimate
5. Additional project support documents, such as excerpts prior plans or studies may also be attached.

MCOG invites project ideas to be submitted by XX, 2023. Please submit all required files via email to:
Attn: James Sookne
Program Manager
Mendocino Council of Governments
525 S. Main St., Ste. B
Ukiah, CA 95482
jsookne@dbcteam.net

## VI. Evaluations

Due to the limited funding available, in the event partner agency requests exceed available funding the project requests will be evaluated by a review panel and scores will be determined by consensus, based on the following initial evaluation criteria:

| Initial Evaluation Criteria | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| How well the project supports the State's priorities and one (or more) of the three pillars <br> of the State's CRS plan: <br> $\bullet \quad$ Bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure <br> $\bullet \quad$ Rail and transit initiatives <br> $\bullet$ <br> Zero-emission vehicles and related infrastructure | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Project Readiness | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Region-wide benefits | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |

## VII. Contact Information

Mendocino Council of Governments
James Sookne, Program Manager
Phone: 707-234-3434
Email: jsookne@dbcteam.net

## VIII. Attachments

A: MCOG CRP Policy
B: Project Details Work
C: Project Alignment Confirmation Form

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 吡 } \\ \text { 塝 } \end{array}$ | 荡 高 |  |  | 范 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { 品 } \\ \text { 旁 } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | 駕 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 镸 言 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 僉 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 毕 } \\ \text { 耪 } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
|  |  |  |  |  | 范 高 高 | 壳 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 侖 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 坒 } \\ \text { 耪 } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 遃 |
|  |  |  |  | 気 長 |  | 発 |  |  | 長 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 発 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \text { Nü } \\ & \text { ָĩ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \overline{\tilde{y}} \\ \text { yin } \\ \stackrel{y}{0} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ू̃̃̃ } \\ & \text { ⿳亠丷厂犬灬㇒ } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ［in |
|  |  | 免 | 咢 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & \hline \frac{0}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 免 | 㟔 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & \hline \frac{5}{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 음 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 花 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{5}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & \hline 5 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & \hline \frac{0}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 㟔 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & \hline 0 . \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | 免 | 㟔 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{5}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{5}{0} \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 宕 | 运 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{i n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \\ & \frac{\ddot{i g}}{i n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{.}{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{8} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 윤 } \\ & \text { ©ige } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 寓 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \text { n m } \end{aligned}$ |  | H |
|  |  |  | $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{i}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{i j} \\ & \hline i \end{aligned}$ | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } \\ & \text { \#̈\% } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{\dot{I}} \\ & \frac{\dot{ت}}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¿. } \\ & \stackrel{e}{\infty} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $$ | O. O. | \％ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| ก |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{o}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{c}{c} \\ & \stackrel{c}{i n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \text { in in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{i}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \end{aligned}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |  | \％ | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CT Milestone Report－Mendocino |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 退 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 筞 } \\ \substack{\text { / }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 等 } \\ \underset{\sim}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | \％${ }_{\text {Brab }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\overline{5}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{E}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mid} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\partial} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 品 } \\ \tilde{y} \\ \underset{\sim}{3} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | ¢ | － | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | 策 |
|  | 毞 | ¢ | 亏 | 亏 | 亏 | 亏 | 닫 | 亏 | 亏 | 亏 |  | ํํ | Б | \％ | Б | ¢ | Б | 亏 | 亏 | ¢ | ® | Б | 亏 | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ |
|  | － | 砍 | 宕 | 宕 | 宕 | 宕 | 䂞 | 砍 | 宕 | 宕 | 秐 | 砍 | 䂞 | 宕 | 宕 | 乭 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 宕 | 宕 | 彦 | 宕 | 宕 | 䂞 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 䢔 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 훌 } \\ & \text { 宸寝 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \| |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 융 } \\ & \text { ition } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 융 } \\ & \text { 훟 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{6}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{\square}{\sigma} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \frac{0}{0_{0}} \\ \text { on } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oㅇㅎ } \\ & \text { 훟 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{\square}{\sigma} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{\stackrel{1}{\sigma}}{} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | － |  |
| 言 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{9}{2} \\ & \frac{\square}{9} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{\tilde{0}}{\substack{c}} \\ \vdots \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{9} \\ \stackrel{q}{8} \end{array}$ |  | 은 |  |  |  | 윤 <br> 蒿 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 荌 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{8}{\ddot{m}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ \vdots \end{array}$ |  | \％ | ＋ |
|  | － |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 亳 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 은 à à |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { og } \\ & \text { ode } \\ & \text { en } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 0 . ⿳ 亠 口 冋 彡 \\ \stackrel{y}{5} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\pi}{0} \\ & \text { ⿳亠丷厂彡⿱丆口灬⿸厂⿱二⿺卜丿口 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 骨 } \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 勯 } \\ & \frac{9}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿳亠二口犬彡 } \\ & \text { 旁 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 啇 } \\ & \text { eb } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | － |
|  | 岩 | 5 | $\overline{\text { 亏 }}$ | 亏 | $\overline{5}$ | $\overline{5}$ | － | $\bar{\square}$ | $\overline{5}$ | $\overline{5}$ | 亏 | $\bar{\delta}$ | 亏 | $\overline{5}$ | ऽ | $\overline{5}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | 亏 | ऽ | 亏 | 亏 | $\bar{\square}$ | ᄃ | $\overline{5}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  | 㓣 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 爰 } \\ & \text { ã } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 鬲 } \\ & \text { à } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 比 } \\ & \text { 旁 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 爱 } \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 坒 } \\ & \text { 鬲 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 毞 |  |  |  | 聯 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 膏 } \\ & \text { 耪 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 领 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nun } \\ & \text { 颜 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 毞 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 僉 } \\ & \text { 槀 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 聯 } \\ & \text { 会 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 言 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ĩ゙̃ } \\ & \text { 茼 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { 合 } \\ \frac{5}{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 坒 } \\ & \text { 耪 } \end{aligned}$ | 筑菏 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 聯 } \\ & \text { 気 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 皆 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iัy } \\ & \text { 坒 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 佥 } \end{gathered}$ |  | 聯 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 爰 } \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毕 } \\ & \text { 官 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \frac{\grave{z}}{2} \\ \text { 立 } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 坒 } \\ \frac{5}{6} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 合 } \\ \text { 咢 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 哿 |
|  | ${ }_{\text {\％}}$ | $\stackrel{5}{8}$ | $\frac{5}{8}$ | 告 | 告 | 告 | 免 | 岩 | 宕 | 岩 | 亳 | 管 | 亳 | \％ | ${ }^{5}$ | 免 | $\stackrel{5}{8}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 咢 | 滧 | 詈 | 嵒 | 哭 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { ⿳士口䒑口⿱亠⿱口小彡心 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿳⿵人一⿲口口口⿵⿸⿻一丿口⿴囗十刂} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 塞 } \\ \stackrel{y y y y}{*} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\bar{x}}{\tilde{x}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 夁 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \frac{2}{2} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{0}{6} \\ & \text { 爰 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䈠 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{訁} \\ & \frac{\partial}{5} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿳亠丷⿵冂⿱丷口犬⿸⿻一丿又⿴囗⿱一一心} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 站 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ： |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \frac{5}{6} \\ \stackrel{y}{8} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 䜭 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gig } \\ & \text { gem } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | \％ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 8.8 \\ \stackrel{y}{6} \end{array}$ |  | $\stackrel{8}{\square}$ |  | \％ | İg ig | \％ | ： | ： | $\stackrel{\circ}{6}$ | $\because$ | $\therefore$ | $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{6}$ | $\stackrel{8}{6}$ |  |  | \％ | $\square$ | 䟵 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 龠 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \substack{0 \\ \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿳亠二口犬口内 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \stackrel{⿳ 亠 口 口 口 口 ~}{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \stackrel{g}{g} \\ \text { ๕ig } \end{array}$ |  |  | \％ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 斋 } \\ & \frac{6}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 言 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 罢 } \\ \text { 等 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 颜 |  |  |  | － | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \frac{o}{\dot{\partial}} \\ \dot{\partial} \end{array}\right\|$ | 喜 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\ddot{\pi}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 票 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 蹢 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{0 \\ 0_{0} \\ \hline} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { ® }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䇒 } \end{aligned}$ | \％ |  | 产 |  |  |  | \％ |
| 立 | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ | 5 | － | 5 | 5 | \％ | 들 | 들 | 5 | 亏 | Б | $\Sigma$ | ㄷ | 5 | $\Sigma$ | 단 | \％ |  | 5 | Б | Б |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| 許 | 矴 | $\stackrel{\text { 首 }}{ }$ | 䂞 | 至 | 宕 | 竞 | 窔 | 荤 | 交 | 宕 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{\text { min }}{}$ | 2 | 宕 | 谚 | 总 | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | 䇅 |  | 䀾 | 䂞 | 2 | $\underset{\text { 䂞 }}{ }$ |
| 就亳 |  |  |  |  | 鍳 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 䃭 | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\substack{t}}$ | － | 耪 | 哀 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{o} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \frac{o}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\hat{o}} \mathrm{i} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò⿳亠口冋口十口 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\partial} \end{aligned}$ | － |  | － |  | 䓂 |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\overline{5}}$ | － | 亳 | － | 彥 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \substack{3 \\ \hline} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 喜 } \\ \hline ⿳ 亠 口 冋 阝 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { o}} \\ & \text { 亳 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \text { oi } \\ \text { 号 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 .{ }_{9}^{9} \\ & \text { 旁 } \end{aligned}$ | － | 㖪 |  |  |
| $\frac{0}{\underline{⿺ ⿻ ⿻ 一 ㇂ ㇒ 丶 ⿱ 口 一 心 . ~}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \text { 旁 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 旁 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 商 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \frac{2}{2} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{b} 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { aĩ } \\ 0 \stackrel{i}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 僉 } \\ & \text { bì } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 骨 } \\ & \text { 旁 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 商 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \frac{0}{2} \\ \hline ⿳ 亠 口 冋 巳 \mathrm{a} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \frac{8}{2} \\ \text { 耪 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 흫 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { 言 } \\ \text { 亳 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 旁 } \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\partial} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \frac{0}{20} \\ \text { 耪 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 咅 | － | － | 亏 |  | 5 － | － | － | 亏 | － | － | 亏 | 亏 | － | － | － | 5 | 亏 | 亏 | － | － | 亏 | 亏 | 亏 |


| CT Milestone Report - Mendocino County - October 10, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Prject ID | Project Number | Program ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Project Manager | county | Route | Post Mile start/end | Nick Name | Legal Descripion | Work Descripion | Capital Construction Estimate | Capital Right- of-Way Estimate | Support Cost Estimate | Total Project Estimate | $\begin{array}{c}C \text { curent } \\ \text { Phase }\end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Program } \\ \text { Projet }}}{ }$ |  | Right-of-Way Certification (RW Cert) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ready to } \\ \text { sist } \\ \text { (RTL) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Begin } \\ \text { Construction } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { End } \\ \text { Constrution }}}{\text { cen }}$ |
| 01 | 0119000130 | 01-0к000 | SHOPP | $\underset{\substack{\text { Gopana, } \\ \text { KIRAN K }}}{ }$ | men | 128 | 17.930.7 | BOonvile Capm | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY AT REILLY HEIGHTS AND ROBNON CREEK BRIDGE BOONVILLE FROM MILL CREEK BRIDGE TO | APM | \$17,88,000 | \$106,000 | \$5,41,518 | \$23,34,518 | PaEd | 0613012022 | 066012024 | 0311512026 | 040112026 | 090112026 | 120012028 |
| 01 | 0119000131 | 01-0K010 | sHopp | KING, Robert | men | 101 | 27727.4 | Rehab Transportation <br> Related Facilities | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY ON ROUTE 101 AT UKIAH MAINTENANCE STATION AND ON ROUTE 128 AT BOONVILLE MAINTENANCE STATION | Rehab Multiple Facilities | \$2,13,000 | 53,00 | \$4,27, 812 | \$6,00,812 | PAED | 0612920222 | 080512024 | 06/1212026 | 06/1912026 | 120112026 | 120012027 |
| 01 | 0120000030 | 01-0.031 | sHopp | EVERETT, KATEM | MEN | 253 | 1.612.2 | Sod | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR BOONVILE FROM SINELEY CATTLEPASS TOA 1.0 MLLE WEST OF SOOA CREEK BRIDGE | construct wall | \$19, 15, 3,00 | \$12,000 | \$3,65,637 | \$22,87,637 | const | 06/2412020 | 030012022 | 0310212023 | 0312412023 | 1012012023 | 120112025 |
| 01 | 0120000037 | 01-0к170 | SHOPP MINOR |  | MEN | 001 | 75.4784.1 | Westrort Cuvers | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR WESTPORT FROM 0.5 MILE SOUTH OF BLUE SIDE GULCH B 0.3 MI NORTH OF HAROYCREKK BRIDGE | DRAINAGE <br> REHABILITATION | so | s307,000 | \$2,141,254 | \$2,48, 254 | PaEd | 080112021 | 012012025 | 110012026 | 011012026 | 100112026 | 120012027 |
| 01 | 012000050 | 01-0K250 | LOCAL ASSISTANCE | King, robert $_{\mathrm{w}}$ | MEN | 001 | 6060.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FORT BRAGG } \\ & \text { SIDEWALKS } \end{aligned}$ | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY IN FORT BRAGG FROM OCEAN VIEW DR TO CYPRESS AVE | PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS | \$1,243,00 | 591,000 | 1,724,523 | 93,05,523 | PaED | 011201202 | 0511012024 | 040902025 | 050112025 | 100772025 | 120012027 |
| 01 | 012000062 | 01-0к310 | shopp | ${ }_{\text {KING, Robert }}^{\mathrm{w}}$ | MEN | 101 | 30.833. 8 | Calpella Cable Median Barrier | N MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR UKIAH FROM ROUTE 20 TO 0.1 MILE SOUTH OF UVA DRIVE/NORTH STATE STREET | INSTALL CABLE MEDIAN BARRIER | 56,987,000 | \$3,000 | \$1,269,133 | \$8,25, ,133 | const | 03/24/2021 | 061271022 | 0228212023 | 0510912023 | 110012023 | 120012026 |
| 01 | 012000081 | 01-0K410 | sHopp | KING, Robert | MEN | 101 | 41.2R43.3 | South Willts Me | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR WILLITS FROM 0.3 MILE NORTH OF BLACK BART ROAD TO 0.2 MLE SOUTH OF NORTHBOUND OFFRAMP TO ROUTE | CONSTRUCT CONCRETE MEDIAN BARRIER | \$11,603,000 | \$28,000 | \$3,32,717 | \$14,95,717 | PSE | 05/13/2021 | 1110712022 | 03055/2024 | 03/1912024 | 070902024 | 120012026 |
| 01 | 012000111 | 0140141 | sHopp | EVERETT, KATIE M | men | 001 | 42.943.6 | Salmon Creek Sandblast Waste Abatement | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR ALBION FROM 2.6 MILES NORTH OF THE ROUTE 128 JUNCTION TO 0.5 MLEES NORTH OF SALMON CREEK BRIDGE | LEAd clean-up | \$14,887,000 | \$7,73,000 | 56,424,415 | \$28,42,415 | PAED | 071012020 | 060112024 | 11/1212025 | 11/2612025 | 041292026 | 12/312026 |
| 01 | 012000119 | 01-0K580 | SHOPP MINOR | COONROD <br> CAREN E | MEN | 101 | R90R | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { MNRB-01-OK580- } \\ \text { LEGGETT CMS } \end{array}$ | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR LEGGETT AT 0.5 MILE NORTH OF ROUTE 271/101 SEPARATION | INSTALL CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGN | \$254,000 | \$24,000 | 965,113 | \$343, 113 | PSE |  | ${ }^{12101 / 2021}$ | ${ }^{\text {991/512023 }}$ | 110012023 | 040112024 | 120112025 |
| 01 | 0120000120 | 01-0K590 | SHOPP MINOR B | COONROD, <br> CARENE, <br> Con | MEN | 101 | R103.4R103.4 | 01-0K590-MNRB PIERCY CMS | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR PIERCY AT 0.4 MILE SOUTH OF THE PIERCY SEPARATION | INSTALL CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGN | 5239,000 | \$4,000 | \$51,317 | ${ }^{\text {s294,317 }}$ | CONST |  | 092887202 | 1210772021 | 512023 | ${ }^{\text {051092023 }}$ | ${ }^{120112024}$ |
| 01 | 012000134 | 01-06680 | shopp | $\underset{\substack{\text { gopana, } \\ \text { KiRAN K }}}{ }$ | MEN | 128 | 050.5 | Culvert Rehabilitation \& Fish Passage | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY ON ROUTE 128 AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS FROM JUNCTION ROUTE TO 2.1 MILES EAST OF MOUNTAIN HOUSE RD-1 | drainage rehab \& FISH PASSAGE | \$17,799,000 | \$1,795,000 | \$12,731,869 | \$32,35,669 | PaEd | 0612212022 | 070112025 | 070112027 | 0713012027 | 1112212027 | 1202212030 |
| 01 | 0121000001 | 01-0 | SHOPP MINOR A |  | MEN | 001 | 92.8928 | OUNN CREEK | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR ROCKPORT AT 2 MLLES NORTH OF USAL ROAD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DRAINAGE AND FISH } \\ & \text { PASSAGE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9787,000 | 17,000 | . 130 | 130 | PSE | 0711912021 | 091112023 | 224 | 112024 | 2024 | 24 |
| 01 | 0121000002 | 01-0E202 | MAINTENANCE | COONROD CAREN | MEN | 001 | 43,7/43.7 | Albion River Brid | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY AT ALBION AT ALBION RIVER BRIDGE | BRIDGE WORK - STAGE 2 | \$793,000 | so | S677,997 | \$1,470,997 | PSE | 11001202 | 0111512022 | 1100122023 | 110012023 | 030112024 | 0100122025 |
| 01 | 0121000018 | 01-06860 | mantenance | NICKERSON <br> NANETTE R | MEN | 020 | 4.56.9 | MEN-20-Culvert <br> Rehab/Replacemen | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS ON ROUTE 20 FROM 2.3 MILES EAST OF GRAVEL PIT ROAD (ROAD 415-C) TO 1.6 MILES WEST OF LITTLE LAKE ROAD (ROAD 408) | CULVERT <br> REHAB/REPLACEMENT | \$500,000 | so | \$122,559 | S622,559 | const |  | 101212021 | 110212021 | 0313012022 | 0706/12022 | 110112023 |
| 01 | 012100023 | 01-0k890 | sHopp | ${ }_{\text {BRADY, MARIE }}$ | MEN | 101 | 50.7152.2 | Hill Saety | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR WILLITS FROM OUTLET CREEK TO 1.5 MILES NORTH OF OUTLET CREEK | SAEETY IMPROVEMENTS | \$11,462,000 | \$60,000 | \$4,170,549 | 20.549 | PaEd | 0407720 | 110012023 | 110112024 | 118/2024 | 0412912025 | 120122 |
| 01 | 012100042 | 01-0.020 | sHopp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUUCK, } \\ & \text { BENNIFERR } \end{aligned}$ | MEN | 162 | 29.18330 .68 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { coveLo complete } \\ & \text { STTEES FCO } \end{aligned}$ | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY IN COVELO FROM HOWARD STREET TO COVELO RANGER STA |  | \$1,511,000 | 850,000 | so | \$2,011,000 | const | 121012014 | 02012018 | 0112012022 | 0112512022 | 012661022 | 010112024 |
| 01 | 0121000072 | 01-0L110 | sHopp | $\underset{\substack{\text { gopana, } \\ \text { KIRANK }}}{ }$ | men | 101 | R9.510.8 | Lafranch Ifater | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY IN AND NEAR HOPLAND <br> FROM HOPLAND OVERHEAD TO FELIZ CREEK <br> BRIDGE | LEFT TURN CHANUEIRATION SHOULDRR WIDNNING | \$18,59,000 | 581,000 | \$4,947,746 | \$2,547,746 | PAED | 081181202 | 100112024 | 0303212026 | 0312712026 | 0772212026 | 0710312028 |
| 01 | 012100073 | 01-0.0120 | Other-Local | BRADY, MARIE A | MEN | 101 | 26.0216.392 | N State St Alternatives Analysis | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY IN UKIAH ALONG NORTH STATE STREET FROM FORD REFMMIRE DR TO THE NORHTBOUND ONOFF RAMPS OF US 101 THE NORHTBOUND O Nof RAMPS OF US 10 | Oversite Analys | so | so | \$104,831 | \$104,831 | PAED |  | 12/3012024 | 08/15/2025 | 060012025 | 09/1412025 | 05311202 |
| 01 | 0121000077 | 01-0.0160 | maintenance | COONROD, CARENE , | MEN | 001 | ${ }^{3.81 / 3.81}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coast Area Bridge 22/23 } \\ & \text { FY } \end{aligned}$ | IN MENDOCINO County at various locations | BRIDGE DECK MAINTENANCE | \$2,192,000 | so | 4,732 | 52,639,732 | CONST |  | 1000612022 | 101112022 | 021412023 | 081/182023 | 120112024 |
| 01 | 0121000078 | 01-0170 | maintenance | COONROD, CARENE | MEN | var | 5.940 | ${ }_{\text {FY }}^{\text {South Area Bridge } 27 / 23}$ | In Menodino county at various locations | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { BRIDGE DECK } \\ \text { MAITENANCE }}}$ | 53,865,00 | so | \$257,720 | \$4,122,720 | const |  | 1012012022 | 1012012022 | 0211712023 | 0612212023 | 120112024 |
| 01 | 0121000080 | 01-0L190 | SHOPP MINOR | $\underset{\text { Kimberli }}{\text { Kiol }}$ | MEN | 001 | 20.6120.64 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Manchester Pedestrian } \\ & \text { Path } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN PATH | 200,000 | \$1,000 | S,059,60 | S,260,60 | PAED |  | ${ }^{03011202}$ | 090112026 | 09911202 | 011152022 | 01011203 |
| 01 | 0121000081 | 01-00091 | sHopp |  | MEN | 020 | ${ }^{39.334 .4}$ | Landscape Mitigation | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR UKIAH FROM 0.3 MILE WEST OF RUSSIAN RIVER BRIDGE AND OVERHEAD TO 0.3 MILE EAST OF COUNTY ROAD 144 | Long term mitigation <br> LANDSCAPING WORK | 6585,000 | so | S68,170 | \$1,27, 170 | PSE | 0500412021 |  |  | 0660112025 | 0610112025 | 12011202 |
| 01 | 0121000091 | 01-0270 | shopp | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { KING, ROBERT } \\ \mathrm{W} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | MEN | 001 | 0/105.5 | MEN-1 DRAINAGE | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY FROM THE SONOMA- <br> MENDOCINO COUNTY LINE TO END OF ROUTE 001 | REHAB DRAINAGE \& FISH PASSAGE | \$35,369,000 | \$1,715,000 | \$24,539,546 | S61,623,546 | PaED | 070112024 | 066022027 | 0770212029 | 0717172029 | 0129212030 | 120112033 |
| 01 | 0121000092 | 01-0.280 | sHopp | MELENDREZ, <br> DAVID L | MEN | 001 | 18.5118 .5 | Garcia Bridge Scour | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR POINT ARENA AT GARCIA RIVER BRIDGE | BRIDGE SCOUR REHAB | 54,016,000 | \$1,404,000 | 56,461,752 | 511,881,752 | PAED | 070112024 | 120212026 | ${ }^{2} 20222027$ | 03/022028 | 08129212028 | 12103/2030 |



| CT Milestone Report - Mendocino County - October 10, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project ID | Project Number | Program ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Project Manager | County | Route | Post Mile start/end | Nick Name | Legal Descripion | Work Descripion | Capital Construction Estimate | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Capital Right- } \\ \text { of-Way } \\ \text { Estimate } \end{array}$ | Support Cost Estimat | Total Project Estimate | $\substack{\text { Current } \\ \text { Phase } \\ \\ b}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Program } \\ \text { Project }}}{ }$ |  | Right-of-Way Certification Certification (RW Cert) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ready to } \\ \text { sist } \\ \text { (RTL) } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Begin } \\ \text { Constuction }}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { End } \\ \text { Constuction }}}{\text { a }}$ |
| 01 | 012200012 | 01-0M190 | OTHER STATE FUNDS | FINC, BRIAN T | MEN | 162 | 029.614 | N-162 MmBN | MIDOLE MLLE EROADBAND 29.56 MLES IN MENOOCINO COUNTY ABOUT 9 MILES SOUTH OF LAYTONVILLE FROM THE ROUTE 101-162 327C | MIDDLE MILE <br> BROADBAN | \$10,346,000 | so | \$3,220,339 | \$13,566,839 | PaEd | 08829212022 | 060112024 | 080112024 | 080112024 | 1210312024 | 1110112026 |
| 01 | 0122000127 | 01-0M240 | OTHER STATE FUNDS | Finck, brian t | MEN | 101 | R.103R21.46 | MEN-101 MmbN |  | MIDDLE MILE BROADBAND | \$1,033,00 | so | \$2,21, 358 | \$3,244,388 | PaEd | 08129212022 | 061012024 | 081012024 | 080012024 | 1210312024 | 111012026 |
| 01 | 0122000133 | 01-0M290 | sHopp | WILCOX, GRANT M | MEN | 001 | 7517 | Westort Side Complex | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR WESTPORT FROM BLUE SLIDE GULCH BRIDGE TO OF BLUE SLIDE GULCH BRIDGE | REPAR LANDSLIDE | \$285,821,000 | \$1,93,000 | 533,17,058 | \$320,93, 058 | PAED | 031/512023 | 0880612027 | 070112031 | 07/1512031 | 0112812032 | ${ }^{1210312036}$ |
| 01 | 0122000136 | 0148401 | shopp | $\begin{gathered} \text { FALK- } \\ \text { CARLSEN, } \end{gathered}$ | MEN | 101 | 63.96104.5 | Piercy Culvert Revegetation | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS FROM 0.8 MILE SOUTH OF OLD SHERWOOD RD FROM O.8 MILE SO \#311A TO 0.7 MILE | Long Term Revegetation | \$130,000 | so | s360,187 | \$490,187 | PSE | 10/2112020 | 101512021 | 06/1612022 | 030012024 | 120112025 | 0990212031 |
| 01 | 0123000005 | 01-0м390 | SHOPP MNOR B | COONROD, CARENE | Men | 175 | .41.4 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Russian River Flashing } \\ \text { Beacons } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | IN MENOOCINO COUNTY AT HOPLAND AT RUSSIAN RIVER BRIIGE | INSTALL FLASHING BEACONS | so | so | \$51,623 | \$55,623 | PSE |  | 0912812023 | 11/5/12023 | 1200112023 | 077012024 | ${ }^{1210112025}$ |
| 01 | 0123000006 | 01-0.0851 | shopp | PIMENTEL <br> JEFFREY | MEN | 001 | 59.4559.95 | MEN-1 Hare Creek <br> MMBN | FROM 0.2 MILE NORTH OF SIMPSON LANE TO <br> MIDDLE MILE BROADBAND 0.5 MILES IN MENDOCINO COUNTY IN AND NEAR FORT BRAGG OCEAN VIEW DRIVE - ROAD 439 | MIDDLE MILE <br> BROADBAN | \$175,000 | so | S76,201 | \$251,201 | PaEd | 090012023 | 060112024 | 088012024 | 080012024 | 1210312024 | 0112812025 |
| 01 | 0123000007 | 01-0M191 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OTHER STATE } \\ \text { FUNDS } \end{gathered}$ | FINCK, BRAAN | MEN | 162 | 88,4 | MEN-162 So Eel River Bridge MMBN | MIDDLE MLE EROADBAND O. 4 MLEESIN Mencie west To 0.2 MLE EAST OF SOUTH EEL RIVER BRIDEE $10-236$ | MIDDLE MILE <br> BROADBAND | \$140,000 | so | \$116,872 | \$256,872 | CONST | 08129212022 | 090012023 | 090112023 | 091012023 | 090112023 | 1110112026 |
| 01 | 0123000009 | 01-0.001 | OTHER STATE FUNDS | FINCK, BRAA T | MEN | 020 | ${ }^{\text {3. }} 3134.1$ | MEN 20 Calpela Bridge MMBN | MIDDLE MILE BROADBAND 1.1 MILES IN WALNUT STREET TO MUIR MILL LEFT / BAECHTEL RIGHT | MIDDLE MILE BROADBAN | \$385,000 | so | 877,829 | \$456,629 | Const | 0660812022 | 090112023 | 090012023 | 090012023 | 0990112023 | 111012026 |
| 01 | 0123000010 | 01-0M400 | OTHER STATE FUNDS | FINCK, BRIAN T | MEN | 020 | ROR33.158 | MEN-20 FB To Wililis <br> MMEN | MIDDLE MILE BROADBAND IN MENDOCINO COUNTY XX MLES NEAR NORT RRAG AND WILLITS FROM JUNCTION ROUTE 1 TO FORT GRAGOMAN-REDOOD-N | MIDDLE MILE <br> BROADBAN | \$11,571,000 | so | \$3,36,070 | \$14,940,070 | PAED | 08829212022 | 066012024 | 080112024 | 080112024 | 1210312024 | 111012026 |
| 01 | 0123000023 | 01-0M500 | SHopp | GOFF, TREVOR | MEN | 101 | 42R43.5 | Walker Fire | N MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR WILLITS FROM 0.1 MILE SOUTH OF SOUTH WILLITS OVERHEAD TO NORTHBOUND OFFRAMP TO ROUTE 20 | WILDPREREPEARS | \$1,000,000 | so | S842,738 | \$1,842,738 | Const | 09066/2022 | 0996612022 | 0996612022 | 0910612022 | 0912712022 | 077012024 |
| 01 | 0123000024 | 01-0M510 | SHOPP MINOR B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COORROD, } \\ & \text { CAREN E } \end{aligned}$ | MEN | 162 | 30/30. 3 | Covelo MGs | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY AT COVELO AT 0.3 MIL WEST OF BRIDGE | INSTALL mgs | \$300,000 | so | \$31,600 | \$331,600 | PaEd |  | 110012023 | 1211512023 | 010012024 | 070112024 | 122012025 |
| 01 | 012300029 | 01-0M540 | sHopp | GOFF, TREVOR | MEN | 271 | 7.157 .15 | ${ }^{\text {Legoet Water System }}$ Repair | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY IN LEGGETT AT THE | REPAIR Water system | S640,000 | so | ${ }_{\text {s379,454 }}$ | \$1,019,454 | Const | 09128/2022 | 0912812022 | 0912812022 | 09128/2022 | 0912812022 | 12/022023 |
| 01 | 0123000031 | 01-0M560 | sHopp | GOFF, TREVOR | MEN | 001 | 1.1/11.88 | South Area Hazardous Tree Removal | IN MENDOCINO AND LAKE COUNTIES ON VARIOUS ROUTES AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS | HAZARDOUS TREE REMOVAL | \$2,450,000 | so | \$1,352,118 | 53,802,118 | Const | 09161/2022 | 091661022 | 091612022 | 0916612022 | 0916612022 | 070112024 |
| 01 | 0123000037 | 01-0E112 | sHopp | PIMENTEL, JEFFREY | MEN | 001 | ${ }^{31.431 .4}$ | Ek Creek Bridge LTM |  | Long term mitieation | 5318,000 | so | 5669,872 | 5987,872 | PSE |  | 1212412021 | 08101/2022 | 0711512025 | 030112026 | 066012036 |
| 01 | 0123000041 | 01-001580 | maintenance | NICKERSON NANETTE R | MEN | 020 | 13.1414.65 | MEN-20 Cuvert Renab | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS FROM 2.5 MILES EAST OF PARLIN FORK CAMP ROAD TO 2.6 MILES WEST OF ROAD 200A | REHAB CULVERTS | so | so | ${ }^{\text {s625,127 }}$ | \$625,127 | PaEd |  | 1100112023 | 1100412023 | 121412023 | 0424212024 | 1110112025 |
| 01 | 0123000042 | 01-0M590 | MAINTENANCE | NICKERSON NANETTE R | MEN | 271 | 2.58118.05 | MEN-271 Cuvert Rehab | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS FROM 1.5 MLES NORTH OF SQUAW CR MLE NORTH OF INTERSECTION WITH NORTHBOUND 101 RAMPS | REHAB CULVERTS | so | \$2,000 | \$656,662 | 5658,662 | PSE |  | 08/3112023 | 101212023 | 121212023 | 040812024 | 0612612024 |
| 01 | 0123000043 | 01-0м600 | maintenance | NICKERSON <br> NANETTE R | MEN | 162 | . 871.08 | MEN-162 Cuvert Reeab | N MENDOCINO COUNTY AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS FROM 0.8 MLES EAST OF JUNCTION 101 TO 1.1 MILES WEST OF CORRAL CREEK BRIDGE 10-23 | CULVERTS <br> REHABILITITATE CULVERTS | so | so | \$687,307 | 5687,307 | PaEd |  | 111012023 | 11/2912023 | 021512024 | 071412024 | 0411412025 |
| 01 | 0123000045 | 01-0.0.03 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OTHER STATE } \\ \text { FUNDS } \end{gathered}$ | FINCK, BRIAN T | MEN | 020 | 34.144.114 | MEN-20 MMBN | MIDDLE MILE BROADBAND 9.743 MILES IN MENDOCINO COUNTY FROM 0.1 MILE WEST OF LAKE COUNTY LINE | MIDDLE MILE <br> BROADBAN | so | so | \$1,09, 148 | \$1,909, 148 | CONST | 0660812022 | 099012023 | 090112023 | 091012023 | 090112023 | 111012026 |
| 01 | 012300046 | 0143485 | PP |  | MEN | 001 | 51.3/52.1. | Jack Peters Long Term Mitigation | IN MENDOCIVO COUNTY NEAR FORT BRAGG AT JACK PETERS CREEK BRIDGE 10-150 | LONG TERM MITIGATION | 5461,000 | so | 931,240 | \$1,392,240 | PSE |  | ${ }^{0212512022}$ | 0111112023 | 020112026 | 1210112026 | ${ }^{1210120336}$ |
| 01 | 0123000047 | 01-0cc5u | sHopp | $\underset{\substack{\text { gopana, } \\ \text { KiRANK }}}{\text { a }}$ | MEN | 001 | 41.8442.5 | Navaro Combined | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR ALBION FROM 1.5 MILES NORTH OF THE JUNCTION OF ROUTE 128 TO 0.1 MILE NORTH OF NAVARRO RIDGE ROAD | SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS MPROVEMENTS | 55,78,000 | so | \$1,749,642 | \$7,50, 642 | CONST |  | 031/412019 | 031292023 | 0508812023 | 11/15/2023 | 011/512025 |


|  |  |  | in 3 Monts | Comp |  |  |  |  | CT Milestone Report - Mendo | ounty - October 10, | 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project 10 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Proioce } \\ \text { Number }}}^{\text {a }}$ | Progam" | $\underbrace{\text { a }}_{\substack{\text { Proied } \\ \text { Menaser }}}$ |  | Route | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Posture } \\ \text { satrend }}}^{\text {sen }}$ | Nick Name | Legal Doscripion | Work osscripion |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capital Right- } \\ \text { of-Way } \\ \text { Estimate } \end{gathered}$ | nets | Total | Phase ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Progamam } \\ \text { propect }}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right-of-Way } \\ \text { Certification } \\ \text { (RW Cert) } \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Begin } \\ \text { Costrution }}}$ | End |
| 0 | 01230 | 01-006es | mantenance |  | men | 020 | 356 | Mirase Mile igouls | ${ }_{\text {NRON}}^{\text {No }}$ | plours | st.00,000 | so | 5104,602 | 94.022 | PSE |  | 20022 | 20220 | 108020223 | 0301222 | 111012024 |
| 01 | 012300068 | ${ }^{0.0511}$ | stopp |  | MEN | 001 | ${ }^{31.193 .6}$ |  | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR ELK FROM 0.2 MILE SOUTH OF ELK CREEK BRIDGE TO 0.2 MILE |  | S10,925.00 | so | S22899,74 | s13,24,744 | const | ${ }^{\text {03162016 }}$ | ${ }_{12242021}$ |  | 011772023 | 10092023 | 120112025 |
| ${ }^{0}$ | 012300073 | м320 | Opp | goff, TRevor | men | 253 | ${ }_{759.5}$ | Meo 25 Eneeneny |  | REPAIR DRAINAGE SYSTEM | S1,50, 000 | so | ${ }_{5226,287}$ | s1,72,287 | const | 2023 | ${ }^{011442023}$ | 011142023 | ${ }^{011442023}$ | 1114 | 1101 |
| 01 | O123000 | ${ }^{\text {01.00380 }}$ | stopp | GOFF, TREVOR | MEN | 128 | 36650.9 |  |  |  | so | so | S2292350 | s2292,350 | const | ${ }^{022442023}$ | O2242023 | 23 | ${ }^{02242023}$ | 02242023 | 068332224 |
| 01 | 0123000091 | 01.00900 | shopp |  | MEN | 001 | ${ }_{42443,3}$ | Salmon Creek Eirge | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR ALBION FROM 2.2 O.2 MILES NORTH OF SALMON CREEK |  | 56,020.00 | 87,94,000 | 526,099,941 | s10,983,941 | PAED | 040012024 | 07155227 | 991112028 | 101212209 | о3192030 | 1013 |
| 01 | 0123000092 | 0.-0090 | mantenance |  | meN | 101 | ${ }^{19.58899 .58}$ |  |  |  | ssoo,000 | so | \$155.98 | s5105,968 | PAED |  | 1016182023 | 120112023 | 2024 | 0501 | 110112024 |
| 0 | 0123000106 | -mmso | Manteance |  | men | 101 | 30.4330.43 | (0M960) SOUTH AREA BRIDGE_24_25 | W Humbolot countr at various Locations |  | S1.787,000 | so | 587,74 | 8,9195,74 | PaED |  | 080012024 | 10011224 | 123122 | 068302025 | ${ }_{12312025}$ |
| 01 | 0123000110 | 01.0M90 | manteance |  | men | 001 | ${ }_{62 \text { 2562285 }}$ | HMs-2023 Sultes |  |  | \$12,000 | so | so | S120,000 | PSE |  |  |  | 121292023 | 224 | ${ }^{123112024}$ |
| 0 | 0123000113 | 01-0n980 | mantenance |  | MEN | 001 | ${ }^{628562885}$ |  | NMENOOCNOO Counr AT THE Fort frag |  | S115,000 | so | so | s115,000 | PSE |  |  |  | ${ }^{1229292023}$ | 1202 | 123112024 |
| 01 | 012300116 | 0-0.00010 | Manteance |  | MEN | 101 | 274427.4 |  |  | Couvroom Renodel | S120,000 | so | so | S120,000 | PSE |  |  |  | 292023 | 05012224 | 1223112024 |
| 0 | 012300117 | 0.-0x20 | manteance | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { cooveo, } \\ \text { CaReNe }}]{ }$ | MEN | 001 | 20.420 .4 |  | Wen Mevocino count AT HHE MANCHESTER |  | s70,00 | so | so | s70,00 | PSE |  |  |  | 边 | 050120 | ${ }^{123121224}$ |
| 01 | 012300119 | 01-00030 | SHop M M ${ }^{\text {ara }}$ A | ${ }_{\text {Kmberlive }}^{\text {Eioro }}$ | MEN | 001 | 597759 |  | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR FORT BRAGG AT HARE CREEK BRIDGE | Install a treatment BM (TBMP) to treat storm | S1, 150,000 | ${ }^{\text {S355,000 }}$ | s2,50,427 | S4,05,427 | PaED | 05012023 | ${ }^{120121224}$ | 07012225 | 07012025 | ${ }^{11012025}$ | 12001220 |
| 0 | 012300 22 | 0.-0040 | manteanace | goff, trevor | MEN | 101 | 14 |  |  | Drainge Reair | s2,000,00 | so | 827,786 | 7,786 | const | 2023 | O4255023 | 203 | 2023 | 055112023 | 11017202 |
| 01 | 012300123 | N060 | stopp | $\mathrm{goFFF}_{\text {Trevor }}$ | MEN | 101 | 4245 | Reane | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR WILLITS FROM 0.1 MILE SOUTH OF SOUTH WILLITS OVERHEAD TO HAEHL CREEK BB \#10-159. | Stom danageropar | ${ }^{53,000,000}$ | so | 288,33 | S48,373 | const | O5S532023 | 0sos52023 | 5252023 | S052023 | O5262123 | 110112024 |
| 01 | 200134 | 0.-00080 | stopp | Sff, TREV | Men | 101 | ${ }_{8887}$ |  | N MENDOCINO COUNTY ON ROUTE 101 NEAR NEAR CUMMINGS ROAD SEPARATION |  | so | so | ${ }_{\text {S588, } 132}$ | S566,132 | const | 05102023 | 051002023 | 054102203 | 051010223 | 055022 | 11012024 |
| 0 | 012300014 | ON60 | shopp | soff, tever | MEN | 001 | ${ }^{20.3520 .35}$ | Reparis Somm Damage | NMENODCNO Couvr |  | 550,00 | so | ${ }_{566,7}$ | s106,799 | const | 0512 | 0526 | ${ }^{5} 526$ | 0558202023 | ${ }^{110112023}$ | ${ }^{110112024}$ |
| 01 | 0123000158 | 0.000180 | stopp |  | MEN | 001 | ${ }^{11}$ |  | DRMEEDOCONO COUNT A AT SUAAAAAATOCEAN | Cinale | s1,03 | so | 8776,000 | 81,73. | PaED | 081772023 | 1016162023 | 091620225 | 1000122025 | 033172026 | 120112027 |
| 01 | 012300160 | N200 | stopr | ${ }_{\text {KNG, robert }}^{\text {w }}$ | MEN | 001 | ${ }^{5862}$ |  |  | Install ADA Pedestrian Infrastructure | so | ${ }^{50}$ | 22,36,7] | s2,36,703 | PSE | 07012018 | 031882020 | 11224 | 012552024 | 2024 | 120112206 |
| ${ }^{0}$ | 0123000162 | N20 | stopp | Oof, TREVOR | men | 101 | ${ }^{52.565}$ | air paenn | IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR ARNOLD FROM RYAN CREEK ROAD TO 0.3 MILE NORTH OF CUMMINGS ROAD SEPARATION NEAR CUMMINGS |  | 8388,000 | so | 870,144 | ${ }_{\text {s458,144 }}$ | cons | O61552023 | O61530 | O6152023 | (15202 | 11012 | 11012 |
| ${ }^{0}$ | 012300168 | man |  | fnck, brant | men | 020 | ${ }^{033} 1.58$ |  | MIDDLE MILE BROADBAND IN MENDOCINO COUNTY XX MILES NEAR FORT BRAGG AND WILLITS FROM JUNCTION ROUTE 1 TO FORT BRAGG-MAIN-REDWOOD -101U |  | so | so | ${ }^{\text {s623,47 }}$ | ${ }^{5623,147}$ | PAED | O82920222 | 0115202 | 08012024 | ${ }^{\text {980812024 }}$ | 080112024 | 11012120 |
| ${ }^{0}$ | 500071 | ${ }^{0.10 .453}$ |  | ack, bris | MEN | 001 | 05945 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 01-0L854 Mile } \\ & \text { Broadband Network men } \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | MIDDLE MILE BROADBAND 0.5 MILES IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR ELK FROM 0.2 MILE SOUTH OF ELK CREEK BRIDGE TO 0.2 MILE SOUTH OF ELK CREEK BRIDGE NORTH OF ELK CREEK BRIDGE |  | so | so | s623,47 | ${ }^{\text {S623,47 }}$ | PaED | 068882022 | ${ }^{0115520.2}$ | 093002024 | 09302024 | оэз302024 | 11012028 |
| 0 | 0123000193 | 1192 |  | fnck, brant | MEN | 162 | ${ }^{0018}$ | Nidname Reaulied |  |  | so | ${ }^{\text {so }}$ | ${ }^{5623,47}$ | s623,47 | PaED | O82920222 | ${ }^{011515224}$ | 880172024 | 080122 | 080112024 | 11012 |
| 01 | 012300196 | 01-0M81 |  | fnck, brant | MEN | 128 | 23.33923 .339 |  | MIDDLE MILE BROADBAND 50.72 MILES IN MENDOCINO COUNTY NEAR NAVARRO FROM THE ROUTE 001-128 JUNCTION TO THE MENDOCINO <br> ROUTE 001-12 COUNTY LINE |  | so | so | ${ }_{\text {s623,08 }}$ | 5623,08 | PaE | O8333022 | 11150224 | 08012024 | 980112024 | 080112024 | 11012026 |


Field Descriptions for RTPA CT Milestones Reports

| Footnote | Column | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) | Program | The funding source for the project. |
|  | LOCAL ASSISTANCE | This funding comes from various Federal and State programs specifically designed to assist the transportation needs of local agencies. |
|  | MAINTENANCE | Highway maintenance is the preservation, upkeep, and restoration of the roadway structures as nearly as possible in the condition to which they were constructed. |
|  | OTHER STATE FUNDS | Miscellaneous State funds. |
|  | OTHER-LOCAL | Miscellaneous Local funds. |
|  | PLANNING | During the PID phase (see below) prior to the project being programmed into either SHOPP or STIP. |
|  | SAFE ROUTES | Safe Routes to Schools- Part of the Active Transportation and Complete Streets Program |
|  | SHOPP | State Highway Operation and Protection Program - The SHOPP consists of safety projects and preservation projects necessary to maintain and preserve the existing State Highway System. |
|  | SHOPP MINOR A | A SHOPP project that has a construction capital limit between $\$ 291,001$ and $\$ 1,250,000$. |
|  | SHOPP MINOR B | A SHOPP project that has a construction capital limit of $\$ 291,000$ or less. |
|  | STIP | State Transportation Improvement Program - The STIP primarily consists of capacity enhancing or increasing projects, but it can also include local road rehabilitation projects. |


| b) | Current Phase | The stage of progress of the project. Post-construction (close-out) projects are not included in this report. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | PID | Project Initiation Documents - Establishes a well-defined purpose and need statement, proposed project scope tied to a reliable cost estimate and schedule. Prior to the project being programmed. |
|  | PAED | Project Approval and Environmental Document - Complete detailed environmental and engineering studies for project alternatives (as needed); approve the preferred project alternative. |
|  | PSE | Plans, Specifications and Estimate - Conduct detailed project design; prepara and addertise project contract. |
|  | PSE | Period from approval of the construction contract to final acceptance and payment of the work performed by the contractor. |

## STAFF REPORT

TITLE: Summary of Meetings
DATE PREPARED: I0/27/2023

## SUBMITTED BY: Jody Lowblad, Administrative Assistant

BACKGROUND: Since our last regular MCOG meeting packet, MCOG Administration and Planning staff have attended (or will have attended) the following meetings on behalf of MCOG:

| Date | Meeting/Event | Staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep 26 | Covelo Weekly Meeting | Barrett, Sookne \& Villa |
| Sep 26 | CALCOG Webinar: The Regional Role in Developing the EV Marketplace | Orth (panelist), Lowblad \& Rodriguez |
| Sep 26 | Interagency Equity Advisory Committee | Barrett |
| Sep 26 | Ukiah Transit Center Monthly Meeting | Barrett, Ellard \& Sookne |
| Sep 26 | Caltrans Meeting Regarding Gualala | Barrett \& Sookne |
| Sep 27 | Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) Meeting | Barrett \& Davey-Bates |
| Sep 27 | CALCOG Directors Association of California (CDAC) meeting | Barrett, Orth |
| Sep 27 | MCOG TAC Meeting | Barrett, Ellard, Sookne, Pedrotti \& Rodiguez |
| Sep28 | 2025 Active Transportation Plan (ATP) Guidelines Workshop | Barrett \& Ellard |
| Sep 28 | CA Coastal Conservancy Grants Webinar | Ellard |
| Sep 29 | Complete Streets Workshop in Fort Bragg | Ellard |
| Sep 29 | Covelo Project Development Team | Barrett, Sookne \& Villa |
| Oct 3 | Covelo Weekly Meeting | Barrett, Sookne |
| Oct 3 | Caltrans System Investment Strategy (CSIS) Presentation to Rural Counties Task Force (RCTF) | Barrett, Davey-Bates \& Ellard |
| Oct 3 | CALSAFE Meeting- San Rafael | Pedrotti \& Villa |
| Oct 4 | Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) Meeting | Barrett \& Sookne |
| Oct 4 | CALCOG Planning Meeting | Davey-Bates \& Ellard |
| Oct 4 | Sustainable Transportation Equity Project (STEP) Grant Meeting | Barrett \& Ellard |
| Oct 4 | Covelo Tribal Consultation Meeting | Barrett \& Sookne |
| Oct 4 | Planning Grant Meeting with Ukiah | Ellard |
| Oct 5 | North State Project Development Team (PDT) | Barrett \& Sookne |
| Oct 6 | Covelo Project Development Team | Barrett, Sookne \& Villa |
| Oct 10 | Covelo Weekly Meeting | Barrett, Sookne \& Villa |
| Oct 10 | CalACT Meeting | Sookne |
| Oct 10 | Transit \& Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) Meeting with MTA | Barrett \& Orth |
| Oct 11 | Sustainable Transportation Equity Project (STEP) Tech Assistance Meeting | Barrett \& Ellard |
| Oct 11 | Munis Meeting w/Mendo County IT | Orth \& Lowblad |
| Oct 12 | Sustainable Transportation Equity Project (STEP) Grant Q\&A Webinar | Ellard |
| Oct 13 | Covelo Project Development Team | Barrett, Sookne \& Villa |
| Oct 13 | California Transportation Commission (CTC) Town Hall Planning Meeting | Barrett, Ellard \& Orth |
| Oct 13 | Sustainable Transportation Equity Project (STEP) w/ Potter Valley Family Resource Center (PVFRC) | Ellard |
| Oct 16 | Sustainable Transportation Equity Project (STEP) w/ Potter Valley Family Resource Center (PVFRC) | Ellard |
| Oct 17 | Covelo Weekly Meeting | Barrett. Sookne \& Villa |
| Oct 17 | Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) Meeting | Barrett, Orth |
| Oct 17 | Sustainable Transportation Equity Project (STEP) Tech Assistance Meeting | Barrett \& Ellard |
| Oct 18-19 | California Transportation Commission (CTC) Meeting | Barrett |
| Oct 18 | Active Transportation Program (ATP) Guidelines Workshop | Barrett |
| Oct 19 | Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Steering Committee Workshop | Barrett |
| Oct 19 | Blue Zones Steering Committee | Barrett |
| Oct 19 | Veloz Webinar: Autonomous EVs | Orth \& Rodriguez |


| Oct 19 | Short Range Transit Development Plan (SRTDP) w/MTA | Barrett, Ellard \& Sookne |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Oct 20 | Covelo Project Development Team | Barrett, Sookne \& Villa |
| Oct 20 | Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) Grant Conditional Award Meeting | Barrett, Ellard \& Pedrotti |
| Oct 23 | Caltrans Mendo Coast Trail Technical Advisory Group (TAG) | Barrett \& Ellard |
| Oct 23 | Gualala Downtown Project Meeting | Barrett \& Sookne |
| Oct 24 | Covelo Project Development Team | Barrett \& Sookne |
| Oct 24 | Sustainable Transportation Equity Project (STEP) Grant Q\&A Webinar | Ellard |
| Oct 25 | MCOG TAC Meeting | Barrett, Sookne, Pedrotti, <br> Davey-Bates \& Rodriguez |
| Oct 25 | MTA Meeting | Ellard |
| Oct 25 | Caltrans District 1 Mendo Bi-annual Tribal Meeting | Barrett \& Ellard |
| Oct 26 | District 1 Sustainable Transit Planning Grant Workshop | Ellard |
| Oct 27 | Covelo Project Development Team | Barrett, Sookne \& Villa |
| Oct 31 | Covelo Weekly Meeting | Barrett, Sookne \& Villa |
| Oct 31 | Sustainable Transportation Equity Project (STEP) Grant Assistance Meeting <br> w/ Potter Valley Family Resource Center (PVFRC) | Barrett \& Ellard |
| Nov 1 | North State ZEV Working Group | Orth |

We will provide information to the Board regarding the outcome of any of these meetings as requested.
ACTION REQUIRED: None.
ALTERNATIVES: None identified.
RECOMMENDATION: None. This is for information only.

