

ADDENDUM No. 1

Request for Proposals

Resident Engineer Services
for the
Covelo SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail

Pursuant to the Request for Proposals for the *Resident Engineer Services for the Covelo SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail*, the deadline to submit written questions was **July 13, 2022**. This Addendum addresses questions received through that date.

Question #1: What's your expectation for having the RE on-site? Daily, weekly, or as coordinated with the contractor's schedule?

Response: Caltrans will have inspectors on-site daily. Consultant's on-site staffing should be coordinated with Caltrans and the contractor's schedule.

Question #2: Would you know what the cell service like in this area? Would there be access to power and a landline?

Response: Per <https://www.signalchecker.com/>, there is 4G service provided by Verizon, T-Mobile, and U.S. Cellular and 3G service provided by these three providers as well as AT&T. There is power and landline service in Covelo, but it will be up to the consultant to find access to these services if they're needed.

Question #3: Is the trailer we need to provide to be made available to the contractor and Caltrans inspectors?

Response: It is not required that the trailer provided by the consultant be made available to the contractor or Caltrans inspectors. However, the consultant may opt to make the trailer available to the contractor and/or Caltrans if they feel it would make coordination with them easier.

Question #4: We understand that you didn't receive any responses the first time the RFP was issued and that you contacted firms to understand why they didn't propose. Can you share those reasons?

Response: After contacting several firms as to why they didn't submit a proposal during the first issuance, the main reasons given were consultant workloads, staffing levels, and the remote location of the project. One firm also noted a lack of clarity regarding the need for a PE to be on site daily (not required), which we have tried to clarify in this reissuance.

Question #5: Is there a DBE requirement for this project?

Response: There isn't a DBE requirement for this project, however, MCOG encourages the use of DBE firms.

Question #6: There is mention in the RFP of a Mendocino Council of Governments designated construction office. Where is the designated construction office located?

Response: In this instance, we are referring to the trailer/office provided by the consultant or the job site, when using the phrase "designated construction office." MCOG does not have a designated office in the area.

Question #7: What is the anticipated engineers estimate for construction?

Response: The most recent engineers estimate for construction, dated September 2019, is \$3,513,000. A revised engineers estimate will be prepared prior to the bid.

Question #8: Is the project within tribal lands requiring the SWPPP to be submitted to the EPA as opposed to SWRCB's SMART system for projects not on tribal lands?

Response: Since this project is on both tribal and non-tribal lands, the SWPPP will need to be submitted to both the EPA and to SWRCB's SMART system.

Question #9: Will the plans be released for this project?

Response: Yes, the plans will be released for this project. To obtain a copy, refer to Exhibit F of the RFP.

Question #10: Is there an MCOG or Mendocino County Quality Management Plan (QMP) for acceptance of material (Source inspection, material testing frequencies, acceptance of material via certificate of compliance), or will this follow the Caltrans QMP?

Response: This project will follow the Caltrans QMP.

Question #11: Will Caltrans be providing source inspection for the precast bridge, bearing pads, etc., or will Caltrans be providing these services through METS?

Response: Caltrans will be doing the source inspection but it hasn't been determined if it will be through the lab in Eureka or the METS lab in Sacramento.