
2017 MENDOCINO COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FINAL
OCTOBER 2017



Prepared for:
**Mendocino Council
of Governments**

Prepared by:
**Davey-Bates
Consulting**

2017

MENDOCINO COUNTY

ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FINAL

OCTOBER 2017

Prepared for:
Mendocino Council of Governments



Prepared by:
Davey Bates Consulting
367 North State Street, Suite 204
Ukiah, CA 95482

(This document is a product of Work Element 3 of the Mendocino Council of Governments 2016/2017 and 17/18 Overall Work Program)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
The Region.....	1
Public Participation	2
System Definition	3
Goals, Objectives, Policies	3
Existing Facilities & Usage	5
Bicycle Facilities.....	5
Pedestrian Facilities	7
Support Facilities, Signage, Parking & Transit Access	8
Existing Bicycle & Pedestrian Trips.....	9
Needs Assessment	9
Accident History	13
Table 1, Bicycle & Pedestrian Accident History 2004-2014.....	13
Action Plan	14
Education, Encouragement, Engineering, Enforcement & Evaluation.....	16
Priority Improvements – Short and Long Range	16
Table 2, Short Range Priority Improvements	16
Table 3, Short Range Priority Improvements – Existing & Proposed Usage Data	19
Short Range Priority Improvement Descriptions.....	20
Table 4, Long Range Priority Improvements	25
Funding Opportunities	28
Plan Implementation	30

INTRODUCTION

The 2017 Mendocino County Active Transportation Plan was prepared by the Mendocino Council of Governments through the transportation planning agency's planning work program. This document serves three primary purposes. It will act as the Non-Motorized Transportation Element of the Regional Transportation Plan and replace the former Regional Bikeway Plan. In addition, this plan will respond to planning requirements of and identify projects for the State's Active Transportation Program, which provides capitol funding for bicycle and pedestrian projects.

This Plan is intended to identify priority bicycle and pedestrian improvements within all jurisdictions of Mendocino County, which include the Cities of Ukiah, Willits, Fort Bragg and Point Arena and the unincorporated areas of the County of Mendocino. It is directed toward meeting the requirements of the California Active Transportation Program, which was created by Senate Bill 99 and Assembly Bill 101 to encourage increased use of active modes of transportation. The Active Transportation Program guidelines identify required plan elements. A list of these elements and where they can be found in the plan is included in Appendix A.

THE REGION

Mendocino County is located in the north coast region, nestled between the beautiful wine country and majestic redwoods of northern California. The county is situated along the Pacific Ocean and bordered inland by the counties of Humboldt, Trinity, Tehama, Glenn, Lake and Sonoma.

The county is located wholly within the northern Coastal Range of California. This mountain system consists of long, parallel ridges which trend from southeast to northwest. The mountainous nature of the County is interrupted in some inland areas by river valleys and lake basins. Along the coast, flat land is limited to narrow stretches of marine terraces. The climate is particularly varied, and influenced heavily by the Pacific Ocean and local topography. Specifically, there are four climatic zones which run in bands from the Coast, eastward. Each of the climatic zones has certain characteristics but, in general, the Mendocino County climate is cooler and much wetter than most other areas of California.

The 3,610 square miles of Mendocino County are predominately rural. Agriculture, construction, and tourist related services provide the mainstay of the local economy. Land use policies tend to protect agricultural and forestlands, thereby preserving the rural nature of the county.

The majority of the population resides within the Yokayo Valley where Ukiah, the largest city and County seat, is located. Fort Bragg, the County's second largest city, and Point Arena are located along Highway 1. The County's other population center, Willits, is located just north of Ukiah. Willits and Ukiah are served by US 101 which is part of the Statewide Bicycle System. State Highway 1, which is part of the legislatively designated Pacific Coast Bike Route, and State Highway 20 serve the scenic coastline.

Land Use

The 2010 Census identified a total population of 87,841 for Mendocino County. This figure includes an unincorporated population of 59,156 and an incorporated population of 28,685. Four cities share the incorporated population: Ukiah (16,075), Fort Bragg (7,273), Willits (4,888), and Point Arena (449).

Existing zoning maps are available for all unincorporated areas of Mendocino County. Land uses are described by codes which pertain to the maps. Land use maps are included in Appendix C of this plan.

In addition to the zoning maps, the bikeway maps identify land uses relevant to commuter bikeways including schools, business and shopping districts, parks, government offices, transit stops, and other attractors. These are shown through various symbols which are identified on the Existing and Priority Proposed Bikeway Maps for each jurisdiction, shown in Appendix B.

Disadvantaged Communities

Several grant sources that may be available for funding active transportation projects include benefits to disadvantaged communities as criteria for funding. An area can be considered a disadvantaged community if it has a median household income which is less than 80% of the statewide income, if at least 70% of the public school students qualify for free or reduced lunch, or by qualifying through the EPA's CalEnviroScreen tool. Because the CalEnviroScreen method relies heavily on air quality factors, it is not applicable in Mendocino County. However, nearly all communities in Mendocino County qualify under the other criteria. The 2015 American Community Survey results indicate that the county average median income is \$42,980. The California median household income is \$61,818, making the Mendocino County average less than 70% of the statewide median.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Development of this plan involved participation from members of the public, including members of disadvantaged and underserved communities, stakeholder, local agencies and other governments. This plan was prepared in cooperation with and using input from local agency staff, members of the public, tribal representatives, the MCOG Board, Technical Advisory Committee, and other stakeholders. A series of public workshops was held throughout the county in the communities of Ukiah, Willits, Fort Bragg, Point Arena, and Covelo. A summary of the input received at the workshops can be found in the Needs Assessment section of this plan. The plan was also discussed at meetings of Municipal Advisory Councils in Gualala and Laytonville. With the exception of Gualala, all of these communities are considered disadvantaged. In addition, input for the plan was gathered at meetings of the Social Services Transportation Advisory Committee, which represents the most disadvantaged members of the communities.

SYSTEM DEFINITION

Mendocino County offers an ideal environment for walking and/or bicycling within the individual communities of the region. The Mendocino National Forest, Lake Mendocino, and the many coastal communities along the Mendocino County coastline are often desired areas of the region to fulfill outdoor activities. Access is often constrained however, because of the many busy state and county roadways that do not have adequate shoulders or room for safe bicycle travel.

The present status of non-motorized transportation facilities in Mendocino County is piece-meal and incomplete. While a comprehensive system has not yet been defined for the region, local agencies are currently working together to develop projects that encourage the use of alternative routes and facilities that provide safe access for bicyclists and pedestrians throughout the region. Bikeways and pedestrian paths are a valuable tool to encourage the use of alternative transportation to improve air quality, relieve localized traffic congestion, and enhance the role of tourism in the regional economy.

Non-motorized transportation facilities provide for the needs of the region's pedestrians, bicyclists, and equestrians. The State, County of Mendocino, and the cities of Ukiah, Fort Bragg, Willits, and Point Arena are responsible for the maintenance and improvement of these facilities in their respective jurisdictions.

State Route 1 in Mendocino County makes up part of the congressionally designated Pacific Coast Bike Route. Unfortunately, the route remains deficient for safe bicycle travel, with most segments lacking shoulders, adequate sight distance, and guardrails adjacent to the Pacific Ocean. Improvements are needed in order to improve safety for bicyclists. Specifics on the Pacific Coast Bike Route through Mendocino County can be found in the Caltrans District 1 Pacific Coast Bike Route Study.

Mendocino County is the only jurisdiction in the region that maintains equestrian trails. These trails are generally along County roads in rural areas. Typically, these trails are used as a form of recreation, and do not function as a means of transportation. However, many times equestrians can benefit from improvements for other purposes, such as multi-use trails.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, POLICIES

These goals, objectives and policies have been developed as draft policies of the Regional Transportation Plan that are relevant to active transportation modes. They were reviewed by the Mendocino Council of Governments Board of Directors on June 2, 2014. Local agencies may have additional relevant policies in their General Plans or other documents.

Complete Streets

Goal: To improve our public spaces so the street, road and transportation system meets the needs of all surface transportation modes, including vehicular, bicycle, pedestrian and transit.

Objectives	Policies
Incorporate bicycle, pedestrian and transit improvements when planning roadway improvements, unless the roadway is exempt by law, or the project receives a waiver authorized through a local, public process, or for basic maintenance and rehabilitation activities.	Coordinate funding programs to provide multiple components of an infrastructure project when appropriate.
	Seek funding sources for multiple modes of transportation.
	Facilitate coordination between local transportation agencies and Mendocino Transit Authority.
	Consider waivers in cases where environmental issues constrain improvement options, transit service is not planned or currently provided, or where the benefit/cost ratio of providing bike/pedestrian improvements is low (as would be expected in isolated rural areas).
Provide new bicycle, pedestrian and transit facilities on existing streets and roads where none exist.	Seek funding to fill gaps in bicycle and pedestrian facilities adjacent to roadways and provide bus stop improvements along fixed transit routes.

Non Motorized Transportation

Goal: Provide a safe and useable network of bicycle and pedestrian facilities throughout the region as a means to lessen dependence on vehicular travel and improve the health of Mendocino County’s residents.

Objectives	Policies
Maximize funding opportunities for local agencies to develop and construct bicycle and pedestrian facilities.	Update the regional Active Transportation Plan on a timely basis to ensure local agency eligibility for Active Transportation Program funds and other grant programs.
	Provide support to local agencies in pursuing grant funding such as Active Transportation Program funding.
	Continue to reserve and allocate 2% of Local Transportation Funds for bicycle and pedestrian projects.
	Seek funding for needed improvements, and consider RIP funding and other state and federal grant sources.
Provide a non motorized transportation network that offers a feasible alternative to vehicular travel.	Prioritize improvements providing access to schools, employment and other critical services.
	Prioritize projects that link to an existing facility or provide connectivity.
	Fund planning activities in MCOG’s Work Program to identify priority improvements for commute purposes, such as safe routes to schools plans.
	Consider the addition/improvement of bicycle and pedestrian facilities when planning and implementing local street and road improvements.
Encourage healthier lifestyles through increased walking and biking.	Coordinate with health organizations to promote alternative forms of transportation.
	Support educational programs to promote increased walking and biking.
	Encourage development adjacent to existing pedestrian and

	bicycle systems.
Improve property value and strengthen local economies through more accessible commercial and residential areas.	Encourage the addition of pedestrian and bicycle improvements in local business areas and existing residential areas.
Provide context sensitive facilities.	Encourage flexibility of design standards in order to allow facilities in very rural or built-out areas
	Work with State or Federal agencies to allow design exceptions when needed.

Facility Maintenance

Goal: Maximize investment in non-motorized transportation facilities through maintenance.

Objectives

Policies

Maintain active transportation facilities in order to ensure their continued use and availability.	Each local agency shall be responsible for conducting the maintenance of active transportation facilities within their jurisdiction, unless an alternative agreement exists. A variety of funding sources should be considered for maintenance activities.
	If appropriate, local agencies may enter into maintenance agreements with other agencies or organizations for continued maintenance of active transportation facilities.

EXISTING FACILITIES & USAGE

BICYCLE FACILITIES

As used in this plan, "bikeway" means all facilities that provide for bicycle travel. Bikeways are categorized as Class I, Class II, Class III, and the new Class IV.

Class I (multi-use trails when shared with pedestrians) facilities provide a completely separated right-of-way for the exclusive use of bicycles and pedestrians with crossflows of motorists minimized. These will have limited application in Mendocino County, but may be most beneficial along routes where road width does not permit safe sharing of the roadway. Their primary function will be to provide a link between other bikeways where other facilities are impractical, or to provide a direct route to a specific destination (such as a park). Class I bikeways are generally expensive to construct and maintain. Right-of-way must be obtained and the facility must be built with sufficient width and pavement design strength to support maintenance vehicles. Providing Class I facilities through areas where there are visual obstructions also poses some security concerns.

Class II facilities are commonly referred to as "bike lanes". They provide a restricted right-of-way designated for the exclusive or semi-exclusive use of bicycle traffic, with through travel by motor vehicles or pedestrians prohibited. Adjacent vehicle parking and crossflows by pedestrians and motorists are permitted.

Class II bikeways will have significant application in Mendocino County. They will be used to provide for bicycle travel where vehicle speeds, volumes or other conditions are present which make it desirable to separate bicycle traffic from motorized traffic.

Class II bikeways are generally provided adjacent to existing roadways. Right-of-way costs are usually minimal, but drainage improvements, grading and utility relocation can be significant. Experience in construction of Class II bikeways in similar rural counties indicates that construction of this type of facility adjacent to existing roadways ranges between \$400,000 and \$800,000 per mile. Variations in cost can be a result of complexity of a project, extensive design and engineering work, right of way acquisition, time delays, and whether bikeways are being constructed on one or both sides of a road.

Class III facilities are commonly referred to as "bike routes". They are generally on-street facilities which provide right-of-way designated by signs and/or pavement markings and are shared with pedestrians and motorists.

Improvements required to establish Class III facilities may be minimal because right-of-way is shared with vehicular traffic. Shoulder widening may be advisable in some areas, but improvements could be limited to signing and pavement marking installations.

Class IV bikeways were established by the Protected Bikeways Act of 2014. These facilities are separated facilities exclusively for bicycles. The bikeway can be separated by grade separation, posts, physical barriers, or on street parking. Typically these bikeways are one-way in the same direction as vehicular traffic, although two-way separated facilities can also be used with lower speeds.

In rural areas in Mendocino County it is unlikely that there will be practical applications for Class IV bikeways. In rural areas, it is unusual to have any separate facilities for non-motorized transportation, so when facilities are installed, they will most likely be multi-use. In most developed communities, local streets are narrow, and do not allow for separate facilities for both pedestrians and bicyclists. However, it is possible that Class IV bikeways could be appropriate for some wider, higher volume streets in the more urbanized area within the region.

Existing Bikeway Network

The existing bikeways in Mendocino County are:

Point Arena

Coastal Access Scenic Bikeway
Iversen/Port Road to Pier

Willits

East Commercial Street (101 to City Limits)
West Commercial Street (101 to North Street)
Baechtel Road (101 to 101)

Fort Bragg

Franklin St (Manzanita to N. Harbor Drive)
Oak Street (Main to City Limits)
Harrison Street (Fir to Walnut)
Harold Street (Maple to Fir)
Fir Street (Franklin to Lincoln)
Maple Avenue (Franklin to Lincoln)
Lincoln Dr (Chestnut to Willow)
Glass Beach Drive (Class III)
Pudding Creek Trestle
Elm Street (Old Haul Rd to N. Franklin)
Main Street/US 101 (Manzanita to City Limits)
California Coastal Trail, Phase I

Ukiah

Low Gap Road (State Street to City Limits)
Bush Street (Grove to Empire)
Dora Street (Grove to Beacon)
Grove Avenue (Live Oak to Bush St)
Despina Drive (Low Gap to City Limits)
Orchard Avenue (Clara St to Perkins St)
Gobbi Street (Oak Manor Drive to Oak Street)
Oak Manor Trail (Orchard Ave to Oak Manor School)
Hastings Avenue (Commerce to 315 ft east of State Street)
NWP Rail Trail Phase I (Gobbi Street to Clara Ave)

Mendocino County

Little Lake Road (Hwy 1 to Caspar Little Lake Rd) – Town of Mendocino area
Hensley Creek Road – Ukiah area
Lake Mendocino Drive Phases I and II – Ukiah area
Simpson Lane (Hwy 1 to end) – Fort Bragg area
North State Street, Phase I – Ukiah area
Vichy Springs Road (portion) – Ukiah area

State Routes

Pacific Coast Bike Route
Route 20 – Class III (Fort Bragg to Gravel Pit Road)

Existing bikeways are shown along with priority proposed bikeways in the maps contained in Appendix B of this plan.

PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

The extent of existing pedestrian facilities varies widely from one area of the county to another. Within incorporated cities, there are sidewalks on most streets, although typically with segments missing. Due to the age of the network, many sidewalks are narrow and don't comply with

current requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Within unincorporated areas of the county, formal sidewalks typically do not exist. Some roadways have wide, paved shoulders on which pedestrians can safely walk.

There is little data available for mapping of the existing sidewalk network. For this reason, only the priority proposed sidewalk projects are shown in maps in this plan.

SUPPORT FACILITIES, SIGNAGE, PARKING & TRANSIT ACCESS

Existing and proposed bicycle parking facilities are depicted on maps for the priority bikeway projects in Appendix B. See maps of each priority bikeway project for details.

Although no formal policies exist regarding bicycle parking, it is generally allowed in public areas. Many public buildings in the more populated areas have a limited amount of bicycle parking available. Most existing bicycle parking facilities are located at schools. Many of the bikeway projects identified in the Short Range Implementation Plan are routes which directly serve the commuting needs of students. In addition to the school locations, MCOG recently provided funding to local agencies for installation of bike racks. These new facilities are shown on the maps in Appendix B of this plan. Where descriptions of parking facilities are needed, they are provided in the narrative description of each priority bikeway project.

Signage is typically provided in the more urban areas, such as the Cities of Ukiah and Fort Bragg—both of which have existing wayfinding signage. Recreational facilities that attract tourists, such as the Coastal Trail, provide the other logical application of wayfinding signage. New facilities in these areas would be likely to include additional signage. The inclusion of signage in proposed projects in this plan will be reflected in the project descriptions.

Public transportation in Mendocino County is provided by the Mendocino Transit Authority, which through a Joint Powers Agreement with the four incorporated cities and the County of Mendocino, is the public transit provider in Mendocino County. Bicycles may be transported on all inland and coastal MTA buses. Each bus has a two-bicycle capacity rack, which is available on a first-come, first-served basis. MTA stops are shown on the maps in Appendix B of this plan.

There are no formal “park and ride” lots in Mendocino County, and bicycle parking facilities at MTA bus stops are very limited.

An intermodal parking facility was completed by the City of Willits in 2004 adjacent to the historic Willits Train Depot. The facility, which includes bicycle parking, provides a connection for bicyclists, transit, the Amtrak bus service and rail. There is also potential to convert the existing depot building into an intermodal facility providing shelter, ticket sales, restroom facilities and seating areas for users of multiple transportation modes, including bicyclists. The location of this facility is shown on the map for the City of Willits Existing and Priority Proposed Bikeways in the Short Range Implementation section of this plan.

EXISTING BICYCLE & PEDESTRIAN TRIPS

The plan area covered by the Mendocino County Regional Active Transportation Plan consists of all of Mendocino County, including the four incorporated cities: Ukiah, Fort Bragg, Willits and Point Arena. Bicycle and pedestrian volumes have not been recorded within the County on any of the proposed routes. Estimates of existing and future bicycle and pedestrian use have been included, when available, for those bikeways on the Short Range Implementation Plan.

Due to the rural nature of Mendocino County and the lack of existing non-motorized facilities, current and future use will be low compared with urban standards. School age children are expected to be the highest category of system users.

NEEDS ASSESSMENT

In general, communities within Mendocino County tend to have poorly developed pedestrian improvements. Many communities were originally designed to rural standards and have not yet been able to retrofit with pedestrian facilities as urbanization has occurred. These facilities are a safety concern in many areas, where the only alternative for walking is on the roadway. Although limited funds do exist for improvement projects, the amount is insignificant when compared to the improvement needs that exist. In a 2010 survey conducted for the Regional Transportation Plan, respondents identified walking as their mode of transportation for approximately 15% of all trips in an average week.

Existing bicycle facilities are limited in Mendocino County. Although there is a lack of official bikeways, it does not mean that people are not riding. The bicycling community has developed their own system of streets and routes that provide connectivity and safety due to the lack of an “official” bikeway network in most areas. In an 2010 online survey, the lack of bike lanes or paths was considered a “very serious” or “somewhat serious” problem by 84% of respondents.

In addition to bike paths and lanes, a need has been identified for facilities to support bicycling, such as secure bicycle parking. In 2010, MCOG provided funding for all local agencies to install bike racks to partially meet this need. However, further needs remain for additional racks and other facilities that would support and encourage bicycling.

Public Workshops

Needs were also identified at a series of public workshops held around the county in Ukiah, Willits, Fort Bragg, Point Arena and Covelo. The following are highlights of major topics of discussion specific to active transportation, from the workshops:

Fort Bragg Workshop:

- Need flexibility from Caltrans on design standards
- Bicycle/ped access to Noyo Harbor to contribute to sustainable harbor operations.

Ukiah Area Workshop:

- Pedestrian and bicycle facilities are needed throughout the City. Particular needs include Todd Grove Park area, Empire Gardens/Vinewood Park/Frank Zeek area, along Talmage Road, and east end of Gobbi.
- Safe bike/ped facilities are needed for people traveling from Redwood Valley and along East and West Roads in Redwood Valley.

Willits Area Workshop:

- Safe bicycle/pedestrian access from Brooktrails area to Willits.
- Blosser Lane/Highway 20 needs safe pedestrian crossing.
- In Laytonville – bicycle/pedestrian safety is biggest concern, on 101 and in school areas. Crossing 101 is very dangerous.

Point Arena Area Workshop

- The number one concern was construction of a bridge at Windy Hollow Road over the Garcia River to provide an alternative route during times of flooding. This impacts emergency services, meals on wheels, tribal community. A bike/ped bridge was suggested as an interim solution.
- Bicycle safety on Rte 1 is also a big concern.
- A trail from the Cove to town is needed for additional emergency access (identified in community action plan).

Covelo Area Workshop:

- Speeding and reckless driving are a serious problem through town, on Hwy 162 and county roads. Community members would like stop signs and/or traffic calming measures. Additional crosswalks, signage, and bulb-outs would be helpful throughout town. The intersection of 162 and Howard is a particular safety concern.
- Pedestrian crossings and paths were discussed in the area of Crawford, Foothill and Tabor to provide safe access from Tribal housing, which is increasing.

Existing Planning Documents

City of Trails Supplemental Trail Feasibility Studies (2017). This City of Fort Bragg document examines the feasibility of both a trail using the Old Mill Road to connect the existing Coastal Trail South Segment with Noyo Harbor and either a Class I or II bicycle trail parallel to North Harbor Drive. This document builds on the City of Trails Feasibility Study, in which the Old Mill Road and a multi-use trail to North Noyo Harbor are described as priorities.

County of Mendocino General Plan (2009). The County's General Plan contains community specific recommendations for Anderson Valley, Covelo, Fort Bragg, Hopland, Laytonville, Potter Valley, Redwood Valley, and Willits in Chapter 6, *Community-Specific Policies*.

Covelo Round Valley Non-Motorized Needs Assessment & Engineered Feasibility Study (2013). Building on several recent plans and studies, the team evaluated the Covelo community's high priority non-motorized corridors from a technical standpoint to identify fundable bicycle and pedestrian projects. The study also identified projects to address needs and gaps on the Route 162 corridor through Covelo and the Round Valley Indian Reservation

Fort Bragg Bike Master Plan (2009). This plan establishes goals and policies, analyzes existing conditions, proposes recommended standards and identifies potential projects for guiding the improvement of the City's bicycle facilities.

Fort Bragg Residential Street Safety Improvements – With the City's 2010 update of the 2005 plan, a myriad of improvements are sought to improve motorist safety by calming traffic and reducing vehicle speeding. This will also improve safety and comfort for residents when they walk or cycle. Vehicle speeds will be reduced by installing traffic calming infrastructure such as traffic circles, splitter islands, speed cushions and reducing the width of motor lanes. Pedestrian and cycling safety will be improved through a number of installations including, but not limited to, curb extensions, high visibility crosswalks and striping for bike lanes. Improvements are envisioned throughout the city, but numerous projects are proposed for Fir, Harold, Cedar and Chestnut streets.

Fort Bragg Residential Street Safety Plan (2011). This plan recommends infrastructure improvements that will enhance the safety of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists in the residential neighborhoods of Fort Bragg. It responds to safety concerns identified through public input and City Council direction.

Fort Bragg South Main Street Access and Beautification (2011). This project enhances pedestrian crossings of Highway 1, with curb extensions, high visibility striping, stop bars, pedestrian signage and strategically placed median refuge islands. It also improves safety by reducing vehicle speeds, as well as beautifies the streetscape with trees and landscape strips. The final project design may also widen sidewalks and include a Class 1 multi-use path on the west side of Highway .

Gualala Community Action Plan & Downtown Design Plan (2009 & 2012). With community participation, a Downtown Design Plan was produced, including streetscape, parking and circulation elements. The final plan was accepted by MCOG's Board of Directors in a public hearing on March 2, 2009. It was recognized that some issues remained to be resolved, notably parking.

Hopland Main Street Corridor Engineered Feasibility Report. Prepared in 2015 as a joint effort between Caltrans and MCOG, this plan identified transportation improvements within the community of Hopland along US 101 and SR 175. Identified improvements lend to a stronger sense of community, neighborhood health, and improved safety for all modes of transportation.

Laytonville Traffic Calming & Downtown Revitalization Plan (2008). This provided a conceptual plan that “calms” traffic, improves pedestrian and vehicle safety, beautifies downtown Laytonville, improves the economic climate for local businesses, and addresses future land uses such as housing and community gathering spaces. Funding sources to implement the vision will be identified in the plan.

Mendocino County Safe Routes to School Plan (2014). This plan was prepared for the Mendocino County Department of Transportation by Alta Planning + Design in partnership with W-Trans and Redwood Community Action Agency, and was funded by MCOG.

Pacific Coast Bike Route/California Coastal Trail Engineered Feasibility Study (2013) & Survey (2016). The purpose of the Engineered Feasibility Study (EFS) was to improve the State Route 1 corridor for bicycles and pedestrians where the Pacific Coast Bike Route and California Coastal Trail are co-located throughout the entire north-south length of the coastal Route 1 in Mendocino County. The Engineered Feasibility Study provided the information necessary to develop preliminary cost estimates for design, permits, and construction, to be used to apply for grant funding for priority project(s) identified and defined in the Study. The survey was conducted in the summer of 2015 by Caltrans District 1 Office of Transportation Planning. A total of 535 touring cyclists were surveyed at locations (and online) in Del Norte, Humboldt and Mendocino Counties. The goal of the survey was to capture data about demographics, how cyclists use the route, any potentially difficult experiences, if they could identify improvements that would help, which navigation tools they are using, and if they had any positive feedback they were willing to share. A final report on the survey was completed in January of 2016.

Point Arena Community Action Plan (2010). A community vision was developed, traffic circulation was analyzed, sustainable development scenarios were mapped, improvement strategies and funding sources were identified, and other issues were addressed

Rails with Trails Plan (2012). This plan identifies priority improvements for walking and biking facilities along the existing, currently unused, rail line running through Mendocino County. The plan's focus was on Hopland, Ukiah and Willits, with potential interregional connections, along the North Coast Railroad Authority (NCRA) railway.

State Route 128 Corridor Valley Trail Engineered Feasibility Study (2014). The State Route 128 corridor extends from the Sonoma/ Mendocino County line near Cloverdale to the SR 128/SR 1 junction in Mendocino County, a distance of approximately 51 miles. The project studied the feasibility of a multi-use non-motorized path and provided implementable options leading to the eventual funding, planning, designing, and construction of a shared-use valley trail, in prioritized segments.

This plan draws its identified needs from several existing planning efforts that have been previously prepared by MCOG or jurisdictions within the region. A brief description of these plans is included here.

Ukiah Bicycle & Pedestrian Master Plan (2015). The goal of the Ukiah Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (BPMP or Plan) is to improve bicycling and walking in the City of Ukiah as a comfortable and convenient transportation and recreation option.

Ukiah Downtown Streetscape (2009). The purpose of this plan is to upgrade State Street and Main Street from Norton Street to Gobbi Street to provide for a cohesive, pedestrian-friendly, attractive, and complete downtown core.

Ukiah Safe Routes to Schools (2014). This plan presents infrastructure and programmatic projects recommended to improve student safety and access to seven public schools in the City of Ukiah. The schools that were part of the plan are Nokomis Elementary, Yokayo Elementary, River Oak Charter School, Oak Manor Elementary, Pomolita Middle School, Frank Zeek Elementary School and Ukiah High School.

Westport Area Integrated Multi-use Coastal Trail Plan (2011). This plan studied a 21 mile stretch along State Route 1, from the intersection Usal Road and State Route 1 to the south end of the Ten Mile River Bridge, surrounding the community of Westport. The primary intent of the plan was to identify projects that would provide safe alternatives to vehicular transportation in and around the community of Westport.

Willits Bicycle & Pedestrian Specific Plan (2009). This plan was developed with the intent of identifying bicycle and pedestrian facilities within the City of willits that would serve residents and visitors. Projects within the plan would enhance tourism, promote health, and improve safety.

Willits Main Street Plan (2017). This plan was prepared in preparation for the opening of the US 101 bypass of Willits and eventual relinquishment of the former stretch of US 101 that serves as Main Street through the City of Willits, north of the intersection with SR 20. The plan identified conceptual designs to be implemented in the short and long term to provide both motorized and non-motorized improvements to Main Street.

Willits Safe Routes to School Plan (2009). This plan includes recommendations to improve the safety for both walking and biking in areas around all seven of the Willits area schools. The intent of the plan is to encourage increased active transportation for students and members of the community.

ACCIDENT HISTORY

Accident history is an important aspect in selecting projects for implementation. If projects are to be funded using Active Transportation Program grant funding, accident history is a key factor for a project to be competitive. Bicycle and pedestrian accident history is available for the region through 2014. The following table presents a summary of accidents during the period from 2004 through 2014. Additional detailed information can be found in Appendix E.

Table 1 – Bicycle & Pedestrian Accident History 2004-2014

	Total	Fatal	Severe Injury	Other Visible Injury	Complaint of Pain
Ukiah	567	5	41	152	369
Fort Bragg	235	3	14	59	159
Willits	90	3	7	22	58
Point Arena	1	0	0	1	0
Unincorporated County (Local Roads)	867	27	123	27	21
Unincorporated County (State Routes)	2638	154	403	1083	998

ACTION PLAN

EDUCATION, ENCOURAGEMENT, ENGINEERING, ENFORCEMENT AND EVALUATION

The Five Es—education, encouragement, engineering, enforcement and evaluation—have been identified as categories that represent essential components of a successful active transportation programs and networks. The following discussion identifies how these principles will apply to active transportation in Mendocino County.

Evaluation and Assessment

Evaluation is one of the 5 E's and is often used with non-infrastructure projects as one of the approaches to promote and enhance Safe Routes to School efforts.

Evaluation and assessment are used to demonstrate how well transportation investments are spent and whether or not transportation policies and programs are effective in addressing the public's need. Evaluation can also be used to determine the extent of need for improvements. Recent federal transportation bills have established performance measures as a standard practice and future authorization bills are expected to continue this requirement.

When evaluating the performance of a project or the need for a project, a number of factors and/or metrics may be considered. For the Mendocino County region, relevant factors include:

- The existing and/or anticipated number of trips made by walking and bicycling
- The number of injuries and fatalities to bicyclists and pedestrians
- The amount of ADA accessible sidewalks and street crossings
- The total amount of sidewalks and bike lanes by jurisdiction

Other performance measures may be developed as needed to address safety, system preservation goals, mobility, accessibility, reliability, productivity, public health conditions, or other indicators affecting the benefits or services expected from the transportation system.

In the Mendocino County region, bicycle and pedestrian data is not currently collected on a regionwide basis to measure system performance, although accident data is available for reported accidents. Bicycle and pedestrian collisions are only recorded if law enforcement files an incident report, which is less likely to occur for the less severe injuries. Implementing new data collection programs will require additional expense without the benefit of new funding sources.

It is recommended that bicycle and pedestrian data be collected on a project specific basis to support applications for grant funding and assess development of projects. The most effective method for collecting this type of data is using video counters.

Identification of safety concerns and collection of bicycle and pedestrian count data will help agencies within the region identify obstacles to increased walking and biking and contribute to development of infrastructure projects, thereby increasing opportunities for walking and biking.

Enforcement

Enforcement is one of the 5 E's. Examples of enforcement activities include the posting of crossing guards, establishing school safety patrols, rewards programs (for good behavior), and sting operations where local law enforcement issues citations for moving violations within the school zone.

The County Safe Routes to Schools Plan identifies the need to work with the California Highway Patrol and the County Sheriff's office to provide increased enforcement during events. The plan also calls for identifying specific areas of concern, increasing enforcement during school travel times, tracking collisions and speed compliance near schools, and increasing speed enforcement if needed.

In Mendocino County, the need for enforcement includes enforcement of animal control laws. In rural areas, particularly in Round Valley, uncontrolled dogs pose a threat which acts as a deterrent for children who might otherwise walk or bike to school.

The majority of enforcement efforts will be the responsibility of law enforcement or animal control agencies. However, MCOG may participate in enforcement through speed zone studies which enable local jurisdictions to enforce speed limits.

Education

Educational efforts that lead to increased use of active transportation modes include education of children, their parents and other adult drivers. Students may attend school-wide assemblies focused on pedestrian and bicycle safety, take part in bicycle rodeos or bicycle maintenance workshops, and participate in events such as walking school buses. The intended outcomes of educational activities are to both increase the number of student trips traveling to and from school in the near term and to establish life-long healthful and environmentally-friendly habits. Education efforts may also be geared towards drivers to make people aware of safe "share the road" driving practices. MCOG is currently administering an Active Transportation Program Non-Infrastructure project which provides this type of education in seven communities around the county.

Encouragement

Encouragement activities have been used to target students to provide an impetus for choosing walking or bicycling as a first step in developing long-term habits of choosing non-motorized modes of transportation. Examples of Encouragement activities include: organizing walking school buses and bicycle trains; holding competitions centered around bicycling and walking; and offering incentives and rewards for students that frequently travel on foot or by bicycle.

While school children make an easy target for developing education, encouragement and enforcement programs, transportation and local government officials in the region are encouraged to seek opportunities to identify and reach out to the broadest possible range of groups within their respective communities.

Engineering

Engineering in this context, means physical improvements to the infrastructure. Infrastructure improvements are identified in the following sections of this plan.

PRIORITY IMPROVEMENTS – SHORT AND LONG RANGE

The projects identified in this plan as priority have been chosen based on agency input, pre-existing planning documents, and public input. They are divided into two groups—short and long range. This does not indicate order of priority. Short range projects tend to be those that can be more easily implemented, are less expensive, or are less complex. Some of the identified projects may already have been at least partially funded. Long range projects are those that will be more difficult to fund, are more complicated, or have unresolved issues to be addressed prior to implementation. It is possible that projects identified as long-term may in fact be implemented in the short term if the opportunity arises.

Short Range Priority Improvements

Short range priority improvements are those that are expected to be implemented within the next ten years, pending funding availability. Table 2 summarizes the potential projects, with additional detail provided in the narrative descriptions which follow. Short Range Priority Improvements, along with their relation to surrounding land uses and destinations, are also shown in the maps in Appendix B.

Table 2 - Short Range Priority Improvements

Name – Route No.	Description/Location	Type – Ped/Bike (Class)	Estimated Cost
Point Arena			
Coastal Access Scenic Bikeway Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation of existing bikeway	Multi-use	\$74,800
Lake Street Sidewalks	Provide sidewalk along Lake Street, between Scott Place and the elementary school (east side); between the elementary school and the high school (north side); and between the high school and approaching School Street (south side)	Ped	Unknown
Fort Bragg			
S. Main St Bike & Ped (Deleted STIP)	On Route 1 from 550 feet south of Ocean View Drive to Cypress St; new curb, gutter & sidewalk, enhanced crossings, curb extensions, compliant ramps, striping and signage at Ocean View Dr, North Noyo Point Rd & Cypress St; Improvements and	Bike & Ped	\$1,585,000

Name – Route No.	Description/Location	Type – Ped/Bike (Class)	Estimated Cost
	pedestrian island at North Harbor Dr; Improvements to 2 driveways between Ocean View Dr and the Noyo Bridge		
Coastal Trail, Ph II (middle segment) w/ connection to downtown at Alder	Parallel to and west of Route 1, with a connection to downtown at Alder St; trail connecting existing north and south segments	Multi-use	\$1,514,000
Redwood Ave Coastal Trail Linkage	Multi-use trail from Alder Street trailhead/parking along Chief Celeri Drive to Redwood Ave, with sidewalks & wayfinding on Redwood	Multi-use	\$368,759
Noyo Harbor Access – Old Mill Road	Improve Old Mill Road to multiuse trail, stabilize landslide area. Link to Coastal Trail, include ADA parking.	Multi-use	\$660,000
Noyo Harbor Access – North Harbor Drive	Separated trail between Casa Del Noyo and the Noyo Fishing Center, connecting with lower portion of Harbor Drive.	Multi-use	\$2,890,000
Fort Bragg Sidewalk Infill	Sidewalk and bicycle improvements on southbound Route 1 from Oak Street to Noyo River Bridge	Bike/Ped	Unknown
County			
Branscomb Rd Multi Use Bridge	Pre-fab 8' wide bridge over Ten Mile Creek, alongside vehicular bridge	Multi-use	\$961,000
Safe Routes to School Grace Hudson	Sidewalks on Jefferson between State and school entry; enhanced crosswalk across driveway	Ped	\$47,300
Safe Routes to School Laytonville	Enhanced crosswalk across Ramsey Rd from parking lot to front of school, with ramp & signs; Sidewalk/walkway on east side of Willis Ave, between Ramsey & existing sidewalk near middle school	Ped	\$7,100 \$37,000
Safe Routes to School Covelo	Sidewalk along airport road and south side of Howard, reconfigure the intersection of Howard & Airport Wy; Reconfigure parking area w/ ped walkway between school and path; Enhanced crossing of northern school driveway connecting with trail	Ped	\$781,000 \$31,400 \$181,000
Anderson Valley Way Class III Bike route/Recreational Trail	Class III bike route along Anderson Valley Way connecting to a recreational trail	Bike/Multi-use	\$420,000
Ukiah			
Downtown Streetscape Project, Phase I – State Street from Henry to Mill, Standley & Perkins from School to Main, Henry from School to State	Sidewalk widening, curb ramps, bulb outs, relocation of drain inlets, relocation of street lights, installation of street furniture and landscaping	Ped	\$1,102,199
Downtown Streetscape Project, Phase II – State Norton to Henry and Mill to Gobbi	Sidewalk widening, curb ramps, bulb outs, relocation of drain inlets and street lights	Ped	\$1,521,000

Name – Route No.	Description/Location	Type – Ped/Bike (Class)	Estimated Cost
Gobbi @ S. Dora	Enhanced intersection with “teaching raingarden”	Ped	\$175,000
NWP Rail Trail, Phase III	10’ paved path, fencing, lighting from Clara Ave to Ford Street, including bridge over Orr Creek	Multi-use	\$1,729,000
Orr Creek Trail Feasibility Study	Feasibility Study of multi-use path along Orr Creek from Low Gap Park to the Ukiah Sports Complex	Multi--use	\$50,000
Willits			
NWP Rail Trail, Ph I	From East Hill Rd to East Commercial Street, 10’ wide trail	Multi-use	\$3,301,000
East Hill Road	From Baechtel Rd to the East City Limit, sidewalk infill and bike lanes	Ped/Bike (II)	\$400,000
Blosser Lane Improvements	Sidewalk infill, high visibility & raised crosswalks, curb extensions/bulb-outs, signage	Ped	\$691,000
Shell Lane Improvements	Connection to new NWP Rail Trail	Ped/Bike	\$430,000
Various Pedestrian Improvements	Install sidewalks and corner ramps in the vicinity of Brookside Elementary School, near the intersection of Pine & Mill Streets, and Elm Street	Ped	Unknown
Coast Street Sidewalks	Class III bike lane signage and striping, sidewalks, cross walks, curb extensions, and corner ramps on Coast Street between West San Francisco Street & Highway 20.	Ped/Bike (III)	\$300,000
Locust Street Improvements	Class III bike lane signage and striping, sidewalks, cross walks, and corner ramps on Locust Street in the vicinity of Baechtel Grove Middle School	Ped/Bike (III)	\$250,000
Railroad Avenue	Class III bike lane signage and striping, sidewalks, cross walks, and corner ramps on Railroad Avenue between San Francisco and Barbara Lane.	Ped/Bike (III)	\$370,000
State & Regional Projects			
Hopland US 101/Center Drive Crosswalk Improvements	Install new crosswalk approximately 100 feet south of the US 101/Center Drive intersection, replacing the existing the crosswalks, including bulbouts, refuge island, and user-activated lights	Ped	\$636,600
Central Hopland Medians	Along US 101 through Hopland, install traffic calming/pedestrian refuge medians – approx 100 ft north & 100 ft south of SR 175	Ped	\$407,600
Westport Bikelanes (project development)	Add 4’ shoulders to provide Class II bikelanes along SR 1, between PM 77.48 and 78.15. From just north of the intersection with Omega Drive to the Westport Beach RV Park & Campground.	Bike	\$573,000 (proj. dev. Only)
Laytonville Pedestrian Safety Improvements	Traffic calming measures to improve pedestrian safety across US 101, including short term improvements such as signage or lighting	Ped	Unknown

Name – Route No.	Description/Location	Type – Ped/Bike (Class)	Estimated Cost
Blosser Lane/SR 20 Intersection Improvements	Interim traffic calming measures to improve crossing safety for pedestrians including striping, radar feedback signs and pedestrian lights	Ped	\$36,000
Gualala Downtown Non-Motorized Transportation & Streetscape	In downtown Gualala along SR 1, from Center Street north to the southerly intersection with Ocean Drive – Class II bike lanes, intermittent medians, 8' sidewalks with aprons	Ped/Bike (II)	\$2,930,000
MacKerricher State Park Haul Road Repair & Enhancement	Between Pudding Creek Trestle in Fort Bragg and Ward Ave in Cleon, along the Repave existing Haul Road with a maximum 12' width and 4' all weather shoulder on west side of asphalt for equestrians, install handrails on Virgin Creek Bridge	Multi-use	\$2,040,000
SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail	Class I multi-use paved, 10 foot wide trail parallel to SR 162 through Covelo, with an east-west extension to Henderson Lane	Multi-use	\$2,578,000 (Phase I) \$1,252,000 (Phase II)

Usage & Parking for Priority Projects

In rural communities such as Mendocino County, there is little bicycle count data available. It is typically collected on a project by project basis if needed for grant applications. In regard to bicycle parking facilities, the first priority in most rural areas is provision of basic, safe bicycle facilities. Bicycle parking is not typically included as part of a bicycle lane or path project, but rather at the points of interest to which these facilities provide access, such as schools, municipal facilities, or businesses. Existing bicycle parking in the vicinity of priority bikeway projects is shown in the maps depicting the Short Range projects. Available usage data for priority projects is shown below. Current and proposed usage information is available for only a small number of projects identified

Table 3 - Short Range Priority Improvements – Existing & Proposed Usage Data

Project Name	Agency	Daily Existing Use	Daily Proposed Use
Coastal Trail, Phase II	Fort Bragg	0	14,600
Branscomb Road Multi Use Bridge	Mendocino County	50	100
NWP Rail Trail, Phase III	Ukiah	912	1557
NWP Rail Trail, Phase I	Willits	83	120
East Hill Road Sidewalks & Bikelanes	Willits	10	50
MacKerricher State Park Haul Rd Repair & Enhancement	State Parks	273	329
SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail	MCOG	47	235

Short Range Priority Improvement Descriptions

City of Point Arena

Lake Street Bicycle & Pedestrian Improvements

This project would provide sidewalk along Lake Street, between Scott Place and the elementary school (east side); between the elementary school and the high school (north side); and between the high school and approaching School Street (south side).

Coastal Access Scenic Bikeway Rehabilitation

The Coastal Access Scenic Bikeway is a Class I/II bikeway facility connecting SR 1 in downtown Point Arena to the municipal wharf. It was constructed in 2006 and is now in need of rehabilitation.

City of Fort Bragg

South Main Street Bicycle & Pedestrian Improvements

This project was programmed in the State Transportation Improvement Program but deleted due to a statewide funding shortage. The project would provide new curb, gutter and sidewalk, enhanced crossings, curb extensions, compliant ramps, striping and signage at Ocean View Dr, North Noyo Point Road and Cypress Street. Pedestrian improvements, including an island would be installed at North Harbor Drive. Improvements would also be made at driveways between Ocean View Drive and the Noyo Bridge.

California Coastal Trail, Phase II

This project will provide a 1.31 mile, Class I multi-use trail, linking the two existing segments of the Coastal Trail through the old Georgia Pacific mill site, parallel with and west of SR 1. A connection to the downtown area will be provided at Alder, making this a viable north-south alternative for bicyclists and pedestrians.

Redwood Avenue Coastal Trail Linkage

This project will create a multi-use trail from Alder Street trailhead/parking area along Chief Celeri Drive to Redwood Avenue, with sidewalks and wayfinding signs on Redwood.

Noyo Harbor Access – Old Mill Road

This project calls for improvement to the Old Mill Road to provide a multiuse trail, linking to the Coastal Trail, and includes stabilization of a landslide area as well as ADA parking. The project was identified in the Noyo Harbor Access Plan.

Noyo Harbor Access – North Harbor Drive

Separated trail between Casa Del Noyo and the Noyo Fishing Center, connecting with lower portion of Harbor Drive. Construction would require a cantilevered trail and retaining wall due to limited right of way and slope adjacent to the existing road.

Fort Bragg Sidewalk Infill

This project would provide sidewalk and bicycle improvements on southbound Route 1 from Oak Street to Noyo River Bridge for a total of 1.5 miles.

City of Ukiah

Downtown Streetscape Project

This project through Downtown Ukiah will provide sidewalk widening, curb ramps, bulb outs, relocation of drain inlets, relocation of street lights, and installation of street furniture and landscaping. Phase I of the project extends from Henry to Mill along State Street and between School and Main Street on Standley and Perkins, and on Henry Street between School and State Street. Phase II of the project will extend the improvements on State Street northerly to Norton Street and southerly to Gobbi. The project will also include pavement rehab, a reduction of travel lanes, and parking improvements which are funded separately.

Gobbi Street and South Dora Intersection Improvements

This project would provide an enhanced intersection with a “teaching raingarden” that could be used by students at the adjacent elementary school. This feature would address storm water runoff at the intersection using a natural system. This project was identified in the City’s Safe Routes to Schools plan.

Northwestern Pacific Rail Trail, Phase III

The first phase of this trail, from Gobbi Street to Clara Avenue, was completed in 2015, and the second phase, from Gobbi Street to Commerce Drive, is currently in project development and scheduled to be completed in 2018. This phase of the trail would extend 0.3 mile from the current northerly terminus of the trail at Clara Avenue to Ford Street and would include a bridge over Orr Creek.

Orr Creek Trail Feasibility Study

This project is to assess the feasibility of constructing an approximately 2 mile long pedestrian and bicycle pathway from Low Gap Park to the Ukiah sports complex along Orr Creek, including a new grade separated Highway 101 crossing.

City of Willits

Northwestern Pacific Rail Trail, Phase I

This project would provide an 8 to 10 foot wide, multi-use path along the Northwestern Pacific Rail line. Phase I would run 1.6 miles between East Hill Road and East Commercial Street, providing an alternate north-south route through town for non-motorized traffic. The railroad right-of-way alignment is already well used by pedestrians and bicyclists, especially between East Valley and East Commercial Streets. It would also provide recreational opportunities. Eventual build-out of the southeast annexation area, including industrial and residential uses, will require this alternative transportation system to help reduce potential vehicular impacts that are associated with urban development.

East Hill Road

The East Hill Road Bikeway would connect with the recently completed Baechtel Road Bikeway, providing a link between a mixed-used residential, commercial and industrial area of the city and residential areas to the east. The new Howard Memorial Hospital has recently been completed in an area which takes access from East Hill Road, increasing the need for bicycle and

pedestrian access along this route. A Class II bikeway is proposed for the portion of East Hill Road within City limits, which would be 0.5 mile in length.

The East Hill Road area is expected to experience significant development over the next several years, which will further increase the need for bicycle access to this area. It is anticipated that developer fees could help to finance a project in this area.

Blosser Lane Improvements

Blosser Lane Elementary is surrounded by industrial sites and a casino, which create significant traffic on this route, including large truck traffic. This project includes traffic calming and pedestrian improvements in the area of Blosser Lane Elementary School to improve safety for students. Improvements would include approximately 2,660 linear feet of sidewalk infill on Blosser Lane, Coast Street and Franklin Avenue. Curb extensions with ramps and high visibility crossings would be installed at intersections along Blosser Lane, and six bulb-outs adjacent to the school for improved pedestrian crossing. The proposed project would also include 8 driveway aprons in an effort to control turning movements in and out of nearby industrial sites and reduce potential conflicts with pedestrian and bicyclists. Raised crosswalks in front of the school are also proposed, connecting to a loading zone on the west side of Blosser Lane, with school zone signage in both directions. In 2009, these improvements were estimated to cost \$691,000.

Shell Lane Improvements

This will provide bicycle and pedestrian facilities along Shell Lane, creating a connection from existing bicycle and pedestrian facilities along Baechtel Road to the proposed NWP Rail Trail. The City is currently working with the Safe Routes to School National Partnership to develop additional details and cost estimates on several of their projects, including this project.

Various Pedestrian Improvements

Various locations in Willits are in need of upgraded pedestrian facilities. In the vicinity of Brookside Elementary School, six new ramps and approximately 600 linear feet of sidewalk, curb and gutter are needed. Four more ramps are needed near the intersection of Pine and Mill Streets. On Elm Street, the sidewalk, curb, and gutter are in good condition, but corner ramps are missing or outdated; six new ramps create a continuous pedestrian path to Evergreen Shopping Center.

Coast Street Sidewalk Improvements

This project will create and connect pedestrian access features and bike paths from a dense residential area to a school area. The project would include new and improved sidewalks, bike lanes, corner ramps, extended curbs, and signage. The project would span from West San Francisco Street along Coast Street to the Highway 20 intersection. The improvements from this project would create pedestrian and bicycle access continuity to the Blosser Lane Improvement Project described above.

Locust Street Improvements

This project creates pedestrian and bike accessible areas around nearly half of Baechtel Grove Middle School's parameter. New sidewalk, corner ramps, cross walks, striping, signage, and bike

lanes would be added. The accessibility features would connect the school zone to the adjacent residential neighborhoods south of the project.

Railroad Avenue Improvements

This project creates an accessible pedestrian path along the west side of Railroad Avenue and a class III bike route along the full length of the road between East Valley Street and Barbara Lane. This street is heavily used as it is one of the longer north-south streets in Willits, connecting both residents and businesses between the Safeway shopping center and Highway 20 and downtown.

County of Mendocino

Branscomb Road Multi-Use Bridge

This project would construct a pre-fabricated bicycle/pedestrian bridge on Branscomb Road in the Laytonville area. The bridge would be parallel to the existing vehicle bridge, which is very narrow.

Safe Routes to School – Grace Hudson Elementary

This project would provide sidewalk between State Street and the school entrance on Jefferson Street in the Ukiah. It would also include enhanced crosswalks across the school driveways. This project was identified as a priority in the county's Safe Routes to Schools Plan.

Safe Routes to School – Laytonville

This project would provide enhanced crosswalks across Ramsey Road, from the parking lot to the front of the school, with ramps and signs. Sidewalks or pedestrian paths would also be included along the east side of Willits Avenue, between Ramsey and the existing sidewalk near the middle school. This project was identified as a priority in the county's Safe Routes to Schools Plan.

Safe Routes to School – Covelo

This project would provide sidewalk along Airport Road and the south side of Howard. It would also reconfigure the intersection of Howard and Airport Way, reconfigure the parking area with a pedestrian walkway between the school and existing pedestrian path, and provide enhanced crossing of the northern school driveway connecting with the trail. This project was identified as a priority in the county's Safe Routes to Schools Plan.

Anderson Valley Way Bike Route & Recreational Trail

Community members expressed a desire for improved non-motorized access along Anderson Valley Way. This project would provide an approximately four foot wide, soft surface recreational trail along Anderson Valley Way on one side of the roadway, and connecting with the proposed Class I bike path along SR 128 (long range). The Class III bikeway would connect with the soft surface trail for a total of 2.7 miles of facility.

Windy Hollow Road Bicycle/Pedestrian Bridge

This would provide a bicycle pedestrian bridge over the Garcia River on Windy Hollow Road in the Point Arena area. There is no bridge on this road currently. The Garcia River frequently floods, leaving people trapped on one side of the river and unable to access services or their

homes on the other side when State Route 1 is closed. Although a vehicular bridge would be ideal, a bicycle/pedestrian bridge could provide an interim solution for people to cross during times of emergency.

State & Regional Projects

Blosser Lane/SR 20 Intersection Improvements (Willits Area)

The crossing at this intersection links a residential area on the north side of SR 20 to the local elementary school. Currently, students at the school are discouraged from walking or riding their bicycles to school because of the dangerous conditions at this intersection and surrounding areas. Although a roundabout has been suggested as a long-term solution, interim improvements are proposed to improve safety in the short term including striping to visually reduce road widths, improved crossing, radar feedback signs, and a pedestrian activated light. An estimate prepared in 2009 by the City of Willits identified a cost of \$36,000 for these interim improvements.

Hopland US 101/Center Drive Crosswalk Improvements

This project would include installation of an enhanced crosswalk approximately 100 feet south of the US 101/Center Drive intersection, replacing the existing crosswalks at this intersection and provide curb extensions. There is a significant difference in elevation between the east and west sides of this intersection, making crossing difficult for people with limited mobility or those using wheelchairs. The new crosswalk would also include bulbouts, a refuge island, and user-activated lights. This project was identified as a priority in the Hopland Main Street Corridor Engineered Feasibility Study.

Central Hopland Medians

This project would construct traffic calming measures and pedestrian refuge islands along US 101 through the community of Hopland. Median would be installed at two locations, approximately 100 feet north and 100 feet south of the intersection with SR 175. These would be in addition to the median that would be installed at the new crossing south of Center Drive, described above.

Westport Bike Lanes

This project was identified in the Westport Area Integrated Multi-Use Coastal Trail Plan. This project will add 4 foot shoulders along SR 1 to provide for 0.67 mile of Class II bike lanes between PM 77.48 and 78.15. The project will begin just north of the intersection with Omega Drive and extend to the Westport Beach RV Park & Campground. The project will connect the village of Westport with popular destinations to the north and form a priority segment of the Pacific Coast Bicycle Route.

Laytonville Pedestrian Safety Improvements

This project would address pedestrian safety concerns along US 101 through the community of Laytonville by providing traffic calming measures. This project would also include interim measures such as centerline pedestrian crossing signs and pedestrian crossing lights. Although specific improvements have not been identified, it is anticipated that the measures would be fairly low cost. This was a need that was high priority for residents of Laytonville in the public outreach process.

Gualala Downtown Non-Motorized Transportation & Streetscape

This project would add 5 foot Class II bike lanes and 8 foot sidewalks on both sides of the road along a 0.4 mile stretch of SR 1 through downtown Gualala. Sidewalk aprons will help reduce conflict points for vehicles. Sidewalks are excluded from the project on the west side of SR 1 between PM 0.78 and 0.84 until alternative parking can be addressed. This project was identified in the Gualala Downtown Streetscape Plan. In addition to the active transportation improvements, the project will also include a center turn lane. Funding for the environmental component of the project is programmed in the State Transportation Improvement Program. Funding for remaining components has not yet been identified.

MacKerricher State Park Haul Road Repair and Enhancement

This project would repave the existing Haul Road to a maximum width of 12 feet, with a 4 foot all weather shoulder, from the Pudding Creek Trestle in Fort Bragg to Ward Avenue in the Cleone Area. This 3.3 mile facility would serve as a section of the Pacific Coast Bike Route and extend the Coastal Trail, providing non-motorized access separate from the narrow State Route 1, improving safety for residents and visitors. The bluff top facility would include replacement of two water crossings with culverts and the addition of hand rails on the Virginia Creek Bridge. It is anticipated that this project would be implemented by State Parks.

SR 162 Corridor Trail

This project will create a new route parallel to but separate from SR 162 through the community of Covelo. SR 162 currently lacks any shoulders and has open ditches along both sides, forcing pedestrians and bicyclists in this disadvantaged community to walk in the lanes of traffic, which often travels at high speeds even through town. Phase I of the project will extend from Howard Street, which provides access to the community schools, to Biggar Lane and include an east-west extension through Tribal lands to Henderson Lane. Phase II of the project will extent from Biggar Lane to Hurt Road. Both phases of the project have been awarded ATP funding and are being implemented by the Mendocino Council of Governments.

Long-Range Priority Improvements

In addition to the short term projects identified above, there are many other needs throughout the region. The projects included in this long range listing are not necessarily lower priority, but may be those that are likely to take longer to develop or require greater amounts of funding that have not been identified at this time. Several of these projects do not currently have cost estimates developed. Some projects are conceptual, as there is a recognized need but not project details have been developed. Due to funding constraints, it is likely that many projects identified in this plan as short range will in reality be long range projects.

Table 4 - Long Range Priority Improvements

Name – Route No.	Description	Type – Ped/Bike (Class)	Est. Cost
Point Arena			
Multi-use Trail from Cove (Harper’s Cut-Off Trail)	Widen and improve the existing pedestrian trail right-of-way between School Street and Port Road to create a mixed-use trail that will	Multi-use	\$127,420

Name – Route No.	Description	Type – Ped/Bike (Class)	Est. Cost
	provide sufficient width (20 feet) and conditions for north-south emergency access		
County			
Safe Routes to School Anderson Valley	Class I multi use path parallel to SR 128 with connection to school	Multi-use	Unknown
Brooktrails to Willits – Multi-Use Trail	This is a recognized need, however, no route or details have been developed		Unknown
Rail Trail – Brush Street to Lake Mendocino Drive	10 foot paved multi-use trail along the NWP rail line, 2.1 miles in length.	Multi-use	\$2,548,670
Ukiah			
Walking trail around Todd Grove Park	8' wide concrete walking path, w/curb, 0.5 mile in length.	Ped	\$400,000
Orr Creek Trail design & construction	Design and construction of a 2 mile long multi-use path along Orr Creek from Low Gap Park to the Ukiah Sports Complex	Multi-use	TBD in feasibility study
Pomolita Middle School Level 3 Access Improvements	Install 16 ADA curb ramps, 3 large curb extensions, and fill priority sidewalk gaps on Cypress Ave, Spring St, and Hazel Ave.	Ped	\$650,000
NWP Rail Trail Phase IV	10' wide paved path, fencing, and lighting, extending 1.07 miles from Commerce Drive to Norgard Lane.	Multi-use	\$2,600,000
Willits			
Pedestrian crossing at Walnut & Main Street	Enhanced pedestrian crossing for students crossing from school area to shopping center and adjacent residential areas	Ped	Unknown
Willits Main Street Corridor Enhancement Plan projects -	This recently adopted plan identified general improvements such as sidewalk widening, bulb-outs, street plantings and furniture, enhanced crosswalks and refuge islands. Some improvements will be made prior to Caltrans relinquishes the former highway. Remaining improvements will be long range priorities.	Ped/Bike	Unknown
Caltrans			
Route 1 Improvements – Pacific Coast Bike Route	Shoulder improvements in various locations	Bike	Unknown
Westport Bikelanes (construction)	Add 4' shoulders to provide Class II bikelanes along 0.67 mile of SR 1, between PM 77.48 and 78.15.	Bike (II)	\$990,000
Roundabout/signal at SR 20 and Blosser Lane in Willits Area	Roundabout (or signal) as a long term improvement for non-motorized traffic at this location.	Bike/Ped	Unknown

In addition to the projects identified above, there is a recognized need for bicycle facilities along several of the State Routes through the region. Where feasible, improvements along these routes should be pursued and incorporated into other non-bicycle related projects. All highway facilities within Mendocino County are currently open to bicyclists, however, on most facilities, the width and roadway design do not lend themselves well to bicycle or pedestrian use.

California Coastal Trail and Pacific Coast Bike Route

Senate Bill 908 (2001, Chesbro) initiated the development of the California Coastal Trail. The Trail is intended to be a continuous public right of way along the California coastline developed for non-motorized use. The Coastal Conservancy, along with State Parks, the Coastal Commission, and other agencies and groups, produced a document in 2003 entitled *Completing the California Coastal Trail*, which laid out the concept for the trail as well as benefits, costs, and challenges associated with trail development. While such a trail would be a great asset to the County and State, it is unfortunately largely unfunded at this time, although there have been some segments completed, particularly in the Fort Bragg area. Due to topographical and environmental constraints, the implementation of the trail may result in multi-use shoulders on Route 1 in some locations. While the primary purpose of the trail is recreation, implementation may blur the distinction between recreational and general-purpose travel as the route provides access for non-motorized users and connects the fragmented recreational segments.

The Pacific Coast Bike Route and California Coastal Trail Engineered Feasibility study was completed in 2013 in a joint effort between MCOG and Caltrans. The study examined current conditions and needed improvements for the Pacific Coast Bike Route (PCBR) within the Route 1 right of way through Mendocino County, as well as the California Coastal Trail where it is intended to share the right of way. The study included extensive public and stakeholder involvement, and resulted in recommended implementation segments. Costs of construction for the improvements in each segment are very high. Only two of the eleven segment improvements have a cost below \$10 million. The rest range in cost from \$11.7 million to \$51.8 million. Given the high costs associated with these improvements, it is unlikely that anything more than small sections of shoulder improvements will be made during the life of this plan unless a substantial funding source can be found. However, it may be possible to construct some portions of the route outside of the Route 1 right of way. The MacKerricher State Park Haul Road project identified in the short-range improvements of this plan would provide over 3 miles of bicycle route that would serve as the PCBR in that area.

Windy Hollow Road/Garcia River Flooding

The stretch of SR 1 between post mile 17.52 and 18.5 near Point Arena is subject to closure as a result of frequent flooding of the Garcia River. When the closures occurs, it leaves the City of Point Arena cut off from residences to the north, splits the Manchester-Point Arena Band of Pomo Indians tribal lands, and blocks emergency services. Addressing this issue was the biggest topic of concern expressed through public input collected in the South Coast area. Caltrans is currently exploring the feasibility of four possible alternatives to address the issue.

Alternative 1: Raise the grade of SR 1 over the flood-prone segments.

Alternative 2: Bypass SR 1 by using the existing Windy Hollow Road alignment. This would require construction of a new bridge on Windy Hollow Road across the Garcia River and improvements to Windy Hollow Road including widening.

Alternative 3: Bypass SR 1 using a combination of the Windy Hollow Road alignment and a new alignment between Hathaway Creek and the Garcia River, including a new bridge over the Garcia River which would reconnect with Windy Hollow Road.

Alternative 4: Raise the grade of the flood prone section of SR 1, as proposed in Alternative 1, then bypass the larger river bottoms on a new alignment starting at PM 17.82 climbing the hill-face above the Garcia River coastal plain, then crossing the Garcia River on a new bridge.

A project is included in the short-range improvement section to provide a non-motorized crossing over the Garcia River at Windy Hollow Road. That project is intended to be an interim measure to provide access during times of flooding. However, if the State pursues one of these solutions identified above, this may provide a solution for both vehicular and non-motorized travel during times of flooding.

FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES

The following are potential sources of funding which could be used for non-motorized infrastructure projects.

Active Transportation Program (ATP)

This is a grant program that combines several previous state and federal grant programs, including the Bicycle Transportation Account, state Safe Routes to Schools, and the Transportation Alternatives Program (federal program containing elements of former Transportation Enhancements program, Recreational Trail Program and federal Safe Routes to School from MAP-21). The purpose of ATP is to encourage increased use of active modes of transportation. The program funds both capital projects and planning/education programs. Projects that benefit communities that are considered economically disadvantaged do not require a match. In 2014, the program provided \$129.5 million statewide. Of the statewide total, 50% goes to a statewide competitive program, 40% goes to a competitive program for urban areas, and 10% to a competitive program for small urban and rural areas.

The passage of SB 1 has provided an additional infusion of funding to the Active Transportation Program. In the short term, this funding will provide for an “augmentation” application cycle in 2017 that will allow previous applications to be reconsidered for funding. In the future, the extra \$100 million annually in funding will allow additional projects to be funded in each regular ATP cycle. It is likely that projects funded through the SB 1 funding will be subject to additional reporting requirements.

Urban Greening

Urban Greening is a competitive program, which is anticipated to be annual, and is funded through the Cap and Trade Program. Funds are available for projects that reduce commute vehicle miles traveled by constructing bicycle paths, bicycle lanes, or pedestrian facilities that provide safe routes for travel between residences, workplaces, commercial centers, and schools. The program also funds projects that reduce green house gas emissions by reducing energy usage or tree planting. Approximately \$76 million is available annually through this program. The majority of the funds must be awarded for projects in areas that meet the CalEnviroScreen definition of a disadvantaged community, which excludes Mendocino County, but some of the funds can be used elsewhere.

State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

STIP funds are typically one of the main source of transportation related capital funding within the region. Eligible projects include improving state highways, local roads, public transit (including buses), pedestrian and bicycle facilities, grade separations, intermodal facilities, and safety projects. Unfortunately, in recent years, the State revenues that flow to this funding source have been drastically reduced, resulting in little or no money coming to the Mendocino County region. With so little funding available, it is unlikely that this will be a source of funding for active transportation projects in the near future.

Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP)

RSTP funds are distributed annually by MCOG to each local entity on a formula bases and may be used for bikeway, pedestrian or other local streets and roads projects.

Office of Traffic Safety (OTS)

The OTS offers grant funding to assist local agencies with bicycle and pedestrian safety and education programs. Grants are awarded on a statewide, competitive basis and are not available for construction of bikeway facilities.

Community Development Block Grants

The program is a flexible program that provides communities with resources to address a wide range of unique community development needs. The CDBG program is a U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) program administered by the State of California. Within the parameters of the program, one of a number of eligible project categories includes the construction or reconstruction of streets, including bike lanes and sidewalks. At the time of this plan, however, cuts have been proposed to this program. It is unknown if this will continue to be a viable source of funding for these types of infrastructure projects in the future.

Transportation Development Act (TDA)

The TDA provides funding for public transportation through the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and the State Transit Assistance Fund (STA). These funds come from sales tax and are allocated by the State based on population. MCOG allocates 2% of LTF funding for bicycle and

pedestrian projects. Because the total funding available is fairly small, these funds are often used by local agencies as a match for competitive grants.

PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

Implementation of the majority of the projects identified in this plan will be dependent on local agencies and Caltrans. Project completion will be based largely on funding availability. Projects that may be more competitive in certain grant programs will have better chance of funding than others, regardless of local priority.

Local agencies, Caltrans, and MCOG should continue to pursue grant funding for project implementation. Other stakeholders, such as Municipal Advisory Councils may be active in seeking funding as well but will require sponsor for project delivery. Typically, project sponsors will be the County of Mendocino or one of the incorporated cities. Caltrans is not an eligible applicant under the largest source of funding for active transportation projects, the Active Transportation Program, however, they can be implementing agencies. In cases where priority projects are on or adjacent to State routes, MCOG may need to consider being the project applicant.

Projects in this plan have not been presented in any order of priority. The order of implementation of projects will be based almost completely on availability of funding, which will be affected by the individual attributes of each plan. Projects which are more competitive in grant programs will likely be implemented first, regardless of local priority. In the case of the Active Transportation Program, safety is a primary criterion for receiving funding. Projects with a documented history of accidents will be more likely to receive funding.

Progress of implementation will be assessed and reported by the Mendocino Council of Governments when the Active Transportation Plan or the Regional Transportation Plan is updated. Following the 2017 update, the Regional Transportation Plan will be on a four year update cycle.

APPENDICES

- A. Required Plan Elements
- B. Project Location Maps – Short Range Priorities & Bike Facilities
- C. Land Use Maps
- D. Public Participation and Agency Coordination Documentation
- E. Accident Data
- F. Adopting/Accepting Resolutions

APPENDIX A

REQUIRED PLAN ELEMENTS

Appendix A

Active Transportation Plan Requirements

A city, county, county transportation commission, regional transportation planning agency, MPO, school district, or transit district may prepare an active transportation plan (bicycle, pedestrian, safe-routes-to-school, or comprehensive). An active transportation plan prepared by a city or county may be integrated into the circulation element of its general plan or a separate plan which is compliant or will be brought into compliance with the Complete Streets Act, Assembly Bill 1358 (Chapter 657, Statutes of 2008). An active transportation plan must include, but not be limited to, the following components or explain why the component is not applicable:

- The estimated number of existing bicycle trips and pedestrian trips in the plan area, both in absolute numbers and as a percentage of all trips, and the estimated increase in the number of bicycle trips and pedestrian trips resulting from implementation of the plan.

See Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Trips section on page 9.

- The number and location of collisions, serious injuries, and fatalities suffered by bicyclists and pedestrians in the plan area, both in absolute numbers and as a percentage of all collisions and injuries, and a goal for collision, serious injury, and fatality reduction after implementation of the plan.

See discussion on page 13 and Accident Data in Appendix D

- A map and description of existing and proposed land use and settlement patterns which must include, but not be limited to, locations of residential neighborhoods, schools, shopping centers, public buildings, major employment centers, and other destinations.

See Land Use/Zoning Maps in Appendix B

- A map and description of existing and proposed bicycle transportation facilities, including a description of bicycle facilities that serve public and private schools and, if appropriate, a description of how the five Es (Education, Encouragement, Enforcement, Engineering, and Evaluation) will be used to increase rates of bicycling to school.

See Action Plan section beginning on page 13.

- A map and description of existing and proposed end-of-trip bicycle parking facilities.

See discussion on page 8 and maps beginning on page 24.

- A description of existing and proposed policies related to bicycle parking in public locations, private parking garages and parking lots and in new commercial and residential developments.

See discussion on page 8.

- A map and description of existing and proposed bicycle transport and parking facilities for connections with and use of other transportation modes. These must include, but not be limited to, bicycle parking facilities at transit stops, rail and transit terminals, ferry docks and landings, park and ride lots, and provisions for transporting bicyclists and bicycles on transit or rail vehicles or ferry vessels.

See discussion on page 8 and maps beginning on page 24.

- A map and description of existing and proposed pedestrian facilities, including those at major transit hubs and those that serve public and private schools and, if appropriate, a description of how the five Es (Education, Encouragement, Enforcement, Engineering, and Evaluation) will be used to increase rates of walking to school. Major transit hubs must include, but are not limited to, rail and transit terminals, and ferry docks and landings.

See discussion on pages 7-8 and 13-15.

- A description of proposed signage providing wayfinding along bicycle and pedestrian networks to designated destinations.

See discussion on page 8.

- A description of the policies and procedures for maintaining existing and proposed bicycle and pedestrian facilities, including, but not limited to, the maintenance of smooth pavement, ADA level surfaces, freedom from encroaching vegetation, maintenance of traffic control devices including striping and other pavement markings, and lighting.

See policy regarding maintenance on page 5.

- A description of bicycle and pedestrian safety, education, and encouragement programs conducted in the area included within the plan, efforts by the law enforcement agency having primary traffic law enforcement responsibility in the area to enforce provisions of the law impacting bicycle and pedestrian safety, and the resulting effect on collisions involving bicyclists and pedestrians.

See discussion of the 5 Es on pages 14-16.

- A description of the extent of community involvement in development of the plan, including disadvantaged and underserved communities.

See Public Participation section beginning on page 2, Needs Assessment beginning on page 10, and Appendix C.

- A description of how the active transportation plan has been coordinated with neighboring jurisdictions, including school districts within the plan area, and is consistent with other local or regional transportation, air quality, or energy conservation plans, including, but not limited to, general plans and a Sustainable Community Strategy in a Regional Transportation Plan.

See Public Participation section beginning on page 2, Needs Assessment beginning on page 10, and Appendix C.

- A description of the projects and programs proposed in the plan and a listing of their priorities for implementation, including the methodology for project prioritization and a proposed timeline for implementation.

See Action Plan section beginning on page 14 and Plan Implementation beginning on page 29.

- A description of past expenditures for bicycle and pedestrian facilities and programs, and future financial needs for projects and programs that improve safety and convenience for bicyclists and pedestrians in the plan area. Include anticipated revenue sources and potential grant funding for bicycle and pedestrian uses.

See Existing Facilities and Usage section beginning on page 5 and Funding Opportunities section beginning on page 28.

- A description of steps necessary to implement the plan and the reporting process that will be used to keep the adopting agency and community informed of the progress being made in implementing the plan.

See Plan Implementation section beginning on page 29.


- A resolution showing adoption of the plan by the city, county or district. If the active transportation plan was prepared by a county transportation commission, regional transportation planning agency, MPO, school district or transit district, the plan should indicate the support via resolution of the city(s) or county(s) in which the proposed facilities would be located.

Following adoption of the plan by the MCOG Board, a resolution will be included in Appendix E. Local agency resolutions will be included in this Appendix as well if appropriate.


APPENDIX B

PROJECT LOCATION MAPS SHORT RANGE PRIORITIES & EXISTING BIKE FACILITIES

ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN LAYTONVILLE AREA



ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN COMMUNITY OF HOPLAND



ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN COMMUNITY OF GUALALA




Legend	
	Schools
	Business District
	Existing Services
	Shopping
	MTA Transit Stops
	Pedestrian Facilities
	Bicycle Facilities
	State Highways
	Local Roads




Sonoma County

ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN COVELO AREA




ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN CITY OF POINT ARENA



Short Range Priority Improvements

ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN CITY OF FORT BRAGG




Map Developed By:
A. Pedrotti
367 N. State Street, Ste 204
Ukiah, CA 95482

Short Range Priority Improvements




ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN COMMUNITY OF WILLITS






















ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

CITY OF UKIAH



Legend


-  Schools
-  Hospital
-  Business District
-  Government Buildings
-  Existing Services
-  Needed Parking
-  Other Attractors
-  Parks
-  Shopping
-  MTA Transit Stops
-  Intersection Improvements
-  Bicycle Facilities
-  Multi-Use Facility
-  Pedestrian Facilities
-  Existing Bike/Multi-Use Facilities
-  State Highways
-  Local Roads
-  Rail Roads
-  City of Ukiah

Map Developed By:
A. Pedrotti
367 N. State Street, Ste 204
Ukiah, CA 95482

Short Range Priority Improvements

0 0.15 0.3
Miles

ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN COMMUNITY OF BOONVILLE



Map Developed By:
A. Pedrotti
367 N. State Street, Ste 204
Ukiah, CA 95482


Short Range Priority Improvements

0 0.2 0.4
Miles


APPENDIX C

LAND USE MAPS


City of Ukiah Land Use




City of Willits Land Use




City of Point Arena
Land Use



County of Mendocino
Land Use



County of Mendocino
Land Use



North Ukiah Area



APPENDIX D

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND AGENCY COORDINATION DOCUMENTATION



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street ~ Ukiah ~ California ~ 95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AGENDA

Date: Wednesday, April 20, 2016

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: MCOG Conference Room - 367 N. State St., Suite 208 – Ukiah

PUBLIC EXPRESSION

The TAC welcomes participation in TAC meetings. Comments will be limited for items not on the agenda to three minutes per person, and not more than 10 minutes per subject, so that everyone may be heard. This time is limited to matters under TAC jurisdiction which have not already been considered by the TAC.

1. Call to Order/Introductions
2. Public Expression
3. Input from Native American Tribal Governments' Representatives (*Information*)
4. Approval of Minutes of 2/17/16 (*Action*)
5. Orr Creek Pathway (*Discussion*)
6. FY 2015/16 Overall Work Program
 - a. Second Quarter Report (*Information*)
 - b. Third Amendment – approved by MCOG 4/12/16 (*Information*)
7. FY 2016/17 Draft Overall Work Program – Review Caltrans' Comments (*Information*)
- 8. Active Transportation Plan Development (*Discussion*)
9. Active Transportation Program (ATP) (*Information*)
10. Staff Reports (*Information*)
 - a. 2016 Regional Transportation Improvement Program
 - b. County Road and City (Ukiah) Street Sales Tax Measure
 - c. Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) Grant Applications – due 4/29/16
 - d. SB 743 CEQA - Implementation
11. Miscellaneous (*Information*)
12. Adjournment

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) REQUESTS

To request disability-related modifications or accommodations for accessible locations or meeting materials in alternative formats (as allowed under Section 12132 of the ADA) please contact the MCOG office at (707) 463-1859, at least 72 hours before the meeting.



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

SOCIAL SERVICES TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COUNCIL

AGENDA

Wednesday, May 18, 2016

11:00 a.m.

UC Farm Advisor's Small Conference Room

890 North Bush Street, Ukiah

Located next to the County Administrative Center at 501 Low Gap Road

Wheelchair accessible through the front office entrance

1. Call to Order & Introductions
2. Public Expression – *No Action*
Participation is welcome in all Council meetings. “Public Expression” time is for matters under the SSTAC’s jurisdiction that are not on the agenda. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person and not more than ten minutes per subject, so that everyone can be heard.
3. Minutes – *No Action*
Due to the length of time between meetings, members have already been provided opportunity to provide comments and corrections, and the minutes have been finalized. They are provided here for information.
- 4. Input to Active Transportation Plan
5. Review of SSTAC Membership
6. Report/Discussion of FY 16/17 Unmet Transit Needs Recommendation
7. Miscellaneous
8. Information / Announcements
9. Adjournment

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) REQUESTS

To request disability-related modifications or accommodations for accessible locations or meeting materials in alternative formats (as allowed under Section 12132 of the ADA) please contact the MCOG office at (707) 463-1859, at least 72 hours before the meeting.



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AGENDA

Date: Wednesday, May 25, 2016

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: MCOG Conference Room - 367 N. State St., Suite 208 – Ukiah

Teleconference Locations

Caltrans District 1 - 1656 Union Street - Eureka

Point Arena City Hall - 451 S. School Street - Point Arena

Call-in Number: 877-216-1555; Passcode: 249893

PUBLIC EXPRESSION

The TAC welcomes participation in TAC meetings. Comments will be limited for items not on the agenda to three minutes per person, and not more than 10 minutes per subject, so that everyone may be heard. This time is limited to matters under TAC jurisdiction which have not already been considered by the TAC.

1. Call to Order/Introductions
2. Public Expression
3. Input from Native American Tribal Governments' Representatives (*Information*)
4. Approval of Minutes of 4/20/16 (*Action*)
5. Review of State Route 175 Draft Transportation Concept Report – Caltrans (*Information*)
6. FY 2016/17 Final Overall Work Program (*Review/Recommendation*)
- 7. Active Transportation Plan Development (*Discussion*)
8. Active Transportation Program (ATP) – Applications due 6/15/16 (*Information*)
9. Staff Reports (*Information*)
 - a. County Road and City (Ukiah) Street Sales Tax Measures
 - b. Transportation Funding Outlook
 - c. Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) - Applications due 8/12/16
 - d. SB 743 CEQA - Training
 - e. Caltrans' Complete Streets Training – Eureka (June 1-2, 2016)
10. Miscellaneous (*Information*)
11. Adjournment

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) REQUESTS

To request disability-related modifications or accommodations for accessible locations or meeting materials in alternative formats (as allowed under Section 12132 of the ADA) please contact the MCOG office at (707) 463-1859, at least 72 hours before the meeting.



MENDOCINO
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
AGENDA**

Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2016

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: MCOG Conference Room - 367 N. State St., Suite 208 – Ukiah

Teleconference Location: Point Arena City Hall - 451 S. School Street - Point Arena
Call-in Number: 877-216-1555; Passcode: 249893

PUBLIC EXPRESSION

The TAC welcomes participation in TAC meetings. Comments will be limited for items not on the agenda to three minutes per person, and not more than 10 minutes per subject, so that everyone may be heard. This time is limited to matters under TAC jurisdiction which have not already been considered by the TAC.

1. Call to Order/Introductions
2. Public Expression
3. Input from Native American Tribal Governments' Representatives (*Information*)
4. Approval of Minutes (*Action*)
 - a. 4/20/16 Minutes
 - b. 5/25/16 Minutes
5. FY 2015/16 Overall Work Program - Fourth Quarter (Year-End) Report (*Information*)
6. FY 2016/17 Overall Work Program – First Amendment (*Information*)
- 7. Active Transportation Plan Development (*Discussion*)
8. Regional Transportation Plan Update (*Discussion*)
9. LTF 2% Bike & Pedestrian Program – Open Projects (*Discussion*)
10. Traffic Control for Safer Work Zones - U.C. Berkeley Tech Transfer Program (*Select Training Date*)
11. Staff Reports (*Information*)
 - a. Willits Bypass Opening
 - b. County Road and City (Ukiah) Street Sales Tax Measures
 - c. Training - SB 743 CEQA
 - d. Transportation Funding Outlook
12. Miscellaneous (*Information*)
 - a. Next Meeting
13. Adjournment

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) REQUESTS

To request disability-related modifications or accommodations for accessible locations or meeting materials in alternative formats (as allowed under Section 12132 of the ADA) please contact the MCOG office at (707) 463-1859, at least 72 hours before the meeting.



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

Come have a say about the future of transportation in Mendocino County!

The Mendocino Council of Governments is in the process of preparing both an Active Transportation Plan and an update to the Regional Transportation Plan. The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

A series of public workshops is planned to provide the public opportunity to voice their concerns about transportation and help us to identify needs throughout the county.

PUBLIC WORKSHOPS

Fort Bragg Monday, October 17, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.
Town Hall, 363 North Main Street, Fort Bragg

Ukiah Monday, October 24, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.
UC Farm Advisor's Conference Room, 890 North Bush Street, Ukiah

Willits Monday, November 7, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.
Willits Community Center, 111 East Commercial Street, Willits

Point Arena Monday, November 14, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.
Point Arena City Hall, 451 School Street, Point Arena

Covelo Wednesday, November 16, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.
Covelo Fire Protection District, 75900 Hwy 162, Covelo

Each workshop will include interactive exercises and begin with a brief presentation by MCOG staff.

For additional information:

www.mendocinocog.org
707-463-1859
367 N. State Street, Suite 206, Ukiah

If you are unable to attend a workshop, please watch our website for updates and upcoming opportunities to participate, including a survey.



**MENDOCINO
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

TO: Mendocino County Radio Stations

PUBLIC SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENT

FOR RELEASE BETWEEN OCTOBER 6 AND NOVEMBER 14, 2016

Contact: Phil Dow or Nephela Barrett, 463-1859

**Come have a say about the future of
transportation in Mendocino County!**

The Mendocino Council of Governments is in the process of preparing an Active Transportation Plan and updating the Regional Transportation Plan. The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation.

A series of workshops is planned to give the public opportunity to voice their concerns about transportation.

Workshops are scheduled on October 17 in Fort Bragg, October 24 in Ukiah, November 7 in Willits, November 14 in Point Arena, and November 16 in Covelo. All workshops will be held from 5:30 to 7:00 and include a brief presentation as well as interactive exercises. For a detailed schedule and locations, please visit the MCOG website www.mendocinocog.org or call 463-1859.

Nephele Barrett

From: Charlene Parker [cparker@dbcteam.net]
Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2016 2:26 PM
To: Nephele Barrett
Subject: FW: PUBLIC SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENT
Attachments: Workshop PSA 100516.doc; Workshop PSA 100516.pdf

From: Charlene Parker [<mailto:cparker@dbcteam.net>]

Sent: Thursday, October 6, 2016 1:48 PM

To: KUNK FM - Fort Bragg <info@theskunkfm.com>; KOZT Radio Station <sam@kozt.com>; K-Wine Radio Station <psa@kwine.com>; KZYX & Z Radio <psa@kzyx.org>; KYBU - Round Valley Community Radio <mail@kyburadio.org>

Subject: PUBLIC SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENT

TO: Mendocino County Radio Stations

PUBLIC SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENT

FOR RELEASE BETWEEN OCTOBER 6 AND NOVEMBER 14, 2016

Contact: Phil Dow or Nephele Barrett, 463-1859

Come have a say about the future of transportation in Mendocino County!

The Mendocino Council of Governments is in the process of preparing an Active Transportation Plan and updating the Regional Transportation Plan.

The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation.

A series of workshops is planned to give the public opportunity to voice their concerns about transportation.

Workshops are scheduled on October 17 in Fort Bragg, October 24 in Ukiah, November 7 in Willits, November 14 in Point Arena, and November 16 in Covelo. All workshops will be held from 5:30 to 7:00 and include a brief presentation as well as interactive exercises. For a detailed schedule and locations, please visit the MCOG website www.mendocinocog.org or call 463-1859.

I have also attached word & pdf copy.

Thank you,

Charlene Parker, Office Assistant
Davey-Bates Consulting
Lake County/City Area Planning Council
Mendocino Council of Governments



**MENDOCINO
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

TO: Mendocino County News Media

PRESS RELEASE

FOR RELEASE BETWEEN OCTOBER 7 AND NOVEMBER 14, 2016

Contact: Phil Dow or Nephele Barrett, 707-463-1859

**Come have a say about the future of
transportation in Mendocino County!**

The Mendocino Council of Governments is in the process of preparing both an Active Transportation Plan and an update to the Regional Transportation Plan. The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

A series of workshops is planned to give the public opportunity to voice their concerns about transportation and help us to identify needs throughout the county.

PUBLIC WORKSHOPS

Fort Bragg Monday, October 17, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.

Town Hall, 363 N. Main Street, Fort Bragg

Ukiah Monday, October 24, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.

UC Farm Advisor's Conference Room, 890 North Bush Street, Ukiah

Willits Monday, November 7, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.

Willits Community Center, 111 East Commercial Street, Willits

Point Arena Monday, November 14, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.

Point Arena City Hall, 451 School Street, Point Arena

Covelo Wednesday, November 16, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.

Covelo Fire Protection District, 75900 Hwy 162, Covelo

If you are unable to attend a workshop, please watch our website for updates and upcoming opportunities to participate, including a survey.

www.mendocinocog.org

Or call 707-463-1859 for more information.

Nephele Barrett

From: Charlene Parker [cparker@dbcteam.net]
Sent: Friday, October 07, 2016 1:16 PM
To: Anderson Valley Advertiser; Mendocino County Observer; The Willits News; Ukiah Daily Journal; Fort Bragg Advocate News; Press Democrat; Independent Coast Observer; Willits Weekly
Cc: Nephele Barrett
Subject: PRESS RELEASE
Attachments: Workshop Press Release 100516.doc; Workshop Press Release 100516.pdf

TO: Mendocino County News Media

PRESS RELEASE

FOR RELEASE BETWEEN OCTOBER 7 AND NOVEMBER 14, 2016

Contact: Phil Dow or Nephele Barrett, 707-463-1859

Come have a say about the future of transportation in Mendocino County!

The Mendocino Council of Governments is in the process of preparing both an Active Transportation Plan and an update to the Regional Transportation Plan. The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

A series of workshops is planned to give the public opportunity to voice their concerns about transportation and help us to identify needs throughout the county.

PUBLIC WORKSHOPS

**Fort Bragg
Monday, October
17, 5:30 to 7:00
p.m.**

Town Hall,
363 N. Main
Street, Fort
Bragg

**Ukiah
Monday,
October 24,
5:30 to 7:00
p.m.**

UC Farm
Advisor's
Conference
Room, 890

North Bush
Street, Ukiah

Willits
Monday,
November 7,
5:30 to 7:00
p.m.

Willits
Community
Center, 111
East
Commercial
Street, Willits

Point
Arena
Monday,
November 14,
5:30 to 7:00
p.m.

Point Arena
City Hall, 451
School Street,
Point Arena

Covelo
Wednesday,
November 16,
5:30 to 7:00
p.m.

Covelo Fire
Protection
District, 75900
Hwy 162,
Covelo

If you are unable to attend a workshop, please watch our website for updates and upcoming opportunities to participate, including a survey.

www.mendocinocog.org

Or call 707-463-1859 for more information.

I have also attached word & pdf copy.

Thank you,

Charlene Parker, Office Assistant



**2017 Mendocino Regional Transportation Plan
Survey**

1. What is your main form of transportation on a typical WEEKDAY? Choose one.

- Auto/Motorcycle – drive alone
- Auto – travel with at least one other person
- Walk
- Bicycle
- Transit
- Assisted Mobility (wheelchair, electric scooter, etc.)
- Other

2. In an average week, what percentage of your trips do you make using the following modes of transportation?

Personal Vehicle % _____

Walk % _____

Bicycle % _____

Transit % _____

3. If you have school-age children in your household, what forms of transportation do they use to and from school? Mark all that apply.

- Auto
- Walk
- Bicycle
- School Bus
- Transit (non-school)

4. To what locations do you travel, and how often each week?

	1 time Per week	2 times per week	3 times per week	4-5 times per week	6-7 times per week
Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
School	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Shopping/errands	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medical appointments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senior/Community Center	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Recreation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. If you had \$100 for transportation, how would you spend it among the following types of projects? Dollars may be split between as many choices as you like or concentrated on just a few.

- Increase frequency/extend hours of existing transit service \$ _____
- Provide transit service to remote areas of the county \$ _____
- Maintaining/paving existing streets and roads & filling potholes \$ _____
- Street, road and highway projects to reduce congestion (e.g., roundabouts, turn lanes, widening) \$ _____
- Building new roads or road connectors (e.g. Brooktrails Second Access, Orchard Avenue Extension, Windy Hollow Bridge) \$ _____
- Improving safety and operations on local roads and highways (e.g., signals, traffic calming measures) \$ _____
- Improving/expanding bicycle routes and paths \$ _____
- Improving/increasing sidewalks and pedestrian walkways \$ _____
- Streetscape and landscape projects to improve aesthetics for travelers and beautify communities \$ _____
- Increasing non-automobile out of county travel options (e.g., interregional bus, train, air) \$ _____
- Improving airport facilities \$ _____
- Improving harbor facilities \$ _____

6. Sometimes money must be spent for specific types of projects. If you had \$50 that must be spent for active transportation projects how would you spend it? Dollars may be split between as many choices as you like.

- Sidewalk infill in populated areas \$ _____
- Bike lane infill in populated areas \$ _____
- Safe Routes to Schools projects (sidewalks, bike lanes, paths in school areas) \$ _____
- Pedestrian and bicycle facilities in commercial areas \$ _____
- Widening sidewalks, bulb-outs, pedestrian refuge islands \$ _____
- New bike or multi-use paths (e.g., Rail Trail, Noyo Harbor Access) \$ _____
- ADA compliant ramps at corners where none currently exist \$ _____
- Bicycle Parking \$ _____
- Recreational trails \$ _____

Bicycle improvements on State Highways (e.g., SR 1, SR 253, SR 162)

\$ _____

7. What is the one way distance you commute between your home and work or school?

Miles _____

8. How often do you travel out of the county and for what reasons? Select only those types of reasons that apply to you.

	1 trip per MONTH	2-3 trips per MONTH	4-5 trips per MONTH	1 trip per WEEK	2-3 trips per WEEK	4-5 trips per WEEK	6-7 trips per WEEK
Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
School	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Shopping/errands	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medical	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Recreational	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. The following is a list of issues people are sometimes concerned about. Please indicate your degree of concern about each item.

	Very serious problem	Somewhat serious problem	Not a serious problem	No opinion
Lack of parking in commercial areas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not enough bike paths and lanes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Condition of major highways in Mendocino County	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pavement condition of local streets and roads (i.e., potholes)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Too much traffic on local streets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unsafe streets, roads and highways	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The need for new streets, roads and highways	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not enough local bus service	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

10. The following is a list of common reasons people do not use walking or bicycling as a mode of transportation. Please indicate if these items are barriers to you or your household members using these active modes of transportation.

	Significant Barrier	Somewhat of a barrier	Not a barrier
Lack of sidewalks or bikelanes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Time constraints	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Traffic danger	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Crime danger	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Travel distances are too far	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other barriers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
No barriers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

11. In what area of the county do you live?

- Ukiah area
- Redwood Valley area
- Potter Valley area
- Anderson Valley
- Willits area
- Laytonville and North County area
- Covelo/Round Valley area
- Fort Bragg area
- Mendocino area
- Hopland area
- Point Arena
- Gualala area
- Comptche area
- North coast

12. Please tell us a little about yourself. Check all that apply.

- Work full-time
- Work part-time
- Full-time student
- Part-time student
- Retired
- Unemployed
- Disabled

13. Please select your age category.

- 15 years or under
- 16-25 years
- 26-40 years
- 41-60 years
- 61-80 years
- 81 and over

Please return survey to:
Mendocino Council of Governments
367 N. State Street, Ste. 206
Ukiah, CA 95482

For more information on MCOG or the Regional Transportation Plan, visit our website:

www.mendocinocog.org



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street ~ Ukiah ~ California ~ 95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859

Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Merlene Sanchez, Chairperson
Guidiville Indian Rancheria
P.O. Box 339
Talmage, CA 95481

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Sanchez:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director

Cc: Michael Derry, Transportation Planner



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Leona Williams, Chairperson
Pinoleville Pomo Nation
500 B Pinoleville Drive
Ukiah, CA 95482

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Williams:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the typed name.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director

Cc: Lenora Steele, Self-Governance Director



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street ~ Ukiah ~ California ~ 95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859

Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Salvador Rosales, Chairperson
Potter Valley Tribe
2251 South State Street
Ukiah, CA 95482

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Rosales:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director

Cc: Olivia Rosales, Transportation Tech.



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859

Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Mike Knight, Chairperson
Sherwood Valley Rancheria
190 Sherwood Hill Drive
Willits, CA 95490

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Knight:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the typed name.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director

Cc: Sharol McDavey, Tribal Administrator



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Jose Simon III, Chairperson
Middletown Band of Pomo Indians
P.O. Box 1035
Middletown, CA 95461

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Simon:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the typed name and title.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director

Cc: James Rivera, Housing Director



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Michael Hunter, Chairperson
Coyote Valley Tribal Council
P.O. Box 39
Redwood Valley, CA 95470

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Hunter:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street ~ Ukiah ~ California ~ 95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859

Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Aimie Lucas, Chairperson
Cahto Tribe of Laytonville
P.O. Box 1239
Laytonville, CA 95454

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Lucas:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

James Russ, President
Round Valley Indian Tribes
77826 Covelo Road
Covelo, CA 95428-9552

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear President Russ:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street ~ Ukiah ~ California ~ 95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859

Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Debra Ramirez, Chairperson
Redwood Valley Rancheria
3250 Road I
Redwood Valley, CA 95470

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Ramirez:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street ~ Ukiah ~ California ~ 95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859

Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Jaime Cobarrubia, Chairperson
Manchester - Point Arena Band of Pomo Indians
P.O. Box 623
Point Arena, CA 95468

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Cobarrubia:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the typed name and title.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859

Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

October 28, 2016

Sonny J Elliott Sr., Chairperson
Hopland Band of Pomo Indians
3000 Shanel Road
Hopland, CA 95449

Re: Mendocino County 2017 Regional Transportation Plan Update & Active Transportation Plan

Dear Chairperson Elliott:

The Mendocino Council of Governments is currently in the process of developing an Active Transportation Plan for the region and is in initial stages of updating Mendocino County's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The two plans together will identify transportation needs and projects for the next 20 years in all modes of transportation including bicycle, pedestrian, streets, highways, rail and transit.

MCOG initially began the RTP update in 2014, however, that effort was put on hold as a result of legislation that affected the RTP update schedule. The RTP includes a section to address Tribal transportation needs. Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tribal Transportation Element from the last RTP which was done in 2010. We ask that you review the document, paying particular attention to the section specific to your tribe.

Specific tribal information regarding existing transportation facilities, transportation needs, population, housing, etc., is based on information that our agency found in plans and documents and input from the tribes at the time of the last update. In many cases, the information is several years old and may no longer be accurate. Please provide us with any corrections and updates that should be made, as well as additional information or transportation needs, including future plans of the tribe that may increase transportation demands.

We would be happy to receive any other information that you would like to provide regarding transportation in Mendocino County and how it impacts the Tribal community. We would also be interested in receiving a copy of any recent transportation plan that may have been prepared for your Tribe.

If you would like us to provide direct consultation to your Tribal Council, please let us know. Other opportunities to inform us of concerns include our monthly Technical Advisory Committee meetings and a survey available on our website. We have also initiated a series of public workshops as part of this effort (schedule attached). Agendas for these meetings are regularly provided to each Tribal chairperson.

I realize that staff and time may be limited, however, we would appreciate a response from you by November 28, 2016. Comments may be submitted by mail to 367 N. State Street, Ste. 204, Ukiah, CA, 95482, or emailed to nbarrett@dbcteam.net.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Phillip J. Dow". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Phillip J. Dow
Executive Director

RTP/ATP Workshop – Summary of Comments
Fort Bragg, October 17, 2016

Caltrans has a standard that calls for 12' travel lanes with 8' shoulders. They should consider allowing a total 32' paved width, with flexibility as to the composition. This would be a benefit in areas with physical constraints. Consider 11' lanes on minor arterials.

Noyo Harbor needs bicycle/pedestrian access along North Harbor Drive. All of the bicycle/pedestrian options being considered as part of the city's plan should be developed. Sidewalk, gutter and curb along North and South Harbor Drives could be developed as a storm drain project.

The Harbor District is working on a sustainability plan. Connect the Coastal Trail with the Land Trust trail.

The easiest way to create bicycle/ped access to the harbor would be to create single piling with a cantilevered walkway, a technique that is commonly used in State parks.

NOAA has identified a need to raise the elevation at the harbor by 56 inches. The utilities are underground and need to be brought to the surface, which could be done in conjunction with a walkway along North Harbor Drive.

The harbor is developing a sustainability plan to invigorate the area., including educational facilities Transportation, including bike and ped access, will be integral to their plan.

The fishing industry is a \$90 million industry for the region, which should be supported through infrastructure.

RTP/ATP Workshop – Summary of Comments
Ukiah, October 24, 2016

Several people said they supported the Ukiah Downtown Streetscape project.

The area near Frank Zeek Elementary and Vinewood Park (Empire Gardens) has no curb ramps and poor stop signs. There is a potential new development planned for the Lover's Lane area near there. If this development goes in a traffic study for both vehicular and bike/ped traffic will be needed. It was suggested that these neighborhoods could benefit from striping in the short term.

Bicycle and pedestrian improvements are needed along the entire length of Talmage Road. The Buddhist school is expanding and people need access. This would also serve commercial and residential areas along and off of Talmage.

There was interest expressed in the Orr Creek Greenway, which would be a multi-use path running along Orr Creek, from Low Gap Park, along Brush Street, then ultimately under US 101 to the City of Ukiah softball field complex.

Concern was expressed about the feasibility of riding bicycles from Redwood Valley to Ukiah. A rail trail that connects Redwood Valley to Ukiah would be beneficial.

It was suggested that the railroad tracks be removed entirely and the right-of-way be used for a trails.

It was suggested that there is a need for smaller transit vehicles and transit alternatives such as ride share or bike share. A feasibility study to explore these options was suggested.

Concern was expressed about the amount of time it takes to get from one end of Ukiah to the other using transit—about one hour.

Interest was expressed in a walking path around Todd Grove Park in Ukiah. This project is included in the City's bike and pedestrian plan.

Bicycle and pedestrian facilities are needed along the entire length of Gobbi Street (existing on some parts of Gobbi). It is very dangerous for kids traveling to Riverside Park at the east end of Gobbi where the road gets narrow.

The need to walking/biking facilities along East and West Roads in Redwood Valley was discussed. People in the area like to walk and it is very dangerous, particularly where the road narrows.

Improvements are needed at the intersection of KUKI Lane and North State Street for both pedestrian and vehicular traffic. Crossing is difficult at this intersection for pedestrians and signal timing should be improved.

There was a concern expressed that in general, sidewalks in the Ukiah area are difficult to walk on, particularly after dark due to obstructions and uneven surfaces.

Additional comments received by phone 11/15/16 from Steve Henderson, Ukiah area resident:

The old Masonite property would be ideal to repurpose as a solar powered transit hub/mall. The rail trail could connect to the site as well.

The US 101 northbound onramp at North State Street in Ukiah is very dangerous due to its length and lack of room for vehicles to accelerate before merging with traffic. Through traffic is supposed to stay to the left, but they don't. A possible solution is to create a new northbound off ramp just north of the City of Ukiah softball fields that would connect to Ford Road. The existing northbound off ramp could then become the on-ramp. This would allow for improved visibility without widening the bridge.

Mendocino County should innovate to take advantage of energy opportunities provided by waves, wind and sun and utilize abandoned industrial sites. Transportation infrastructure is the key to bringing industry back to Mendocino County.

RTP/ATP Workshop – Summary of Comments
Willits, November 7, 2016

There is a very long line of traffic on Sherwood Road from Brooktrails in the morning.

Bicycle and pedestrian access is needed from Rancheria to Willits, along Sherwood.

It was suggested that the Senior Cruisers (senior center transportation) service could operate in the evening to correlate with events at the senior center or other community events.

A roundabout would be helpful at the intersection of Baechtel Road, Highway 20 and Muir Mill.

In Laytonville, pedestrian safety is a concern. Drivers speed along US 101 and ignore the crosswalks. Safe crossing is needed for school children across Branscomb Road. Sidewalks are also needed in the area.

The current population estimates for the Brooktrails area identify about 1200 children living in Brooktrails or along the Sherwood Road corridor. Safe pedestrian/bicycle access is needed from this area into Willits. Pedestrians walk along Sherwood Road which is very dangerous. Sidewalks and utility undergrounding are needed along Birch Street.

Second access is needed to Brooktrails. This is of particular concern for emergency evacuation purposes in the event of a fire.

Improved crossing is needed at the intersection of Blosser Lane and Highway 20, which links a residential area with an elementary school. Children at Blosser Lane Elementary have been instructed to not walk to bike to school because of the intersection. A local business owner was killed at this intersection many years ago.

It was suggested that a shoulder area near the Sherwood Road and Main Street intersection where drivers could pull over to pick up hitchhikers.

The intersection of Main Street (Route 20) and Baechtel Road needs operational improvements, such as a roundabout, to slow drivers. People turn at this intersection to access the new hospital and it is not highly visible.

The weeds need to be moved along US 101 between Willits and Ukiah.

The intersection of Sherwood Road and Birch has experienced multiple accidents. Further warning of an upcoming intersection would be helpful.

In the Leggett area there have been accidents at the intersection of Route 1 and US 101.

In order to plan for long term sea level rise, a viaduct should be considered from the end of the bypass to Oil Well Hill.

In Laytonville, improved lighting is needed along US 101. The existing lights often do not work. Flashing crosswalk lights should also be considered. A centerline pedestrian crossing sign was also suggested to improve safety for pedestrians crossing 101.

A second north-south arterial is needed through Willits. Railroad Avenue should be extended to connect with Baechtel Road. A roundabout at Baechtel Road and Main Street/Route 20 would enhance this alternative route.

RTP/ATP Workshop – Summary of Comments
Point Arena, November 14, 2016

Transit service to the ridge was identified as a need. This was included in the Redwood Coast Regional Transit Plan. Excerpts from the 2006 Redwood Coast community Transit Plan were submit as input. Other items that were identified from this plan included a van for specific activities serving Sea Ranch and Manchester and dial-a-ride.

The Pacific Coast Bike Route is dangerous for bicyclists and needs improvement. However, there was concern expressed that improving the route would encourage more bicyclists and increase the risks.

The Coastal Seniors senior center would like to have activities for seniors included as a need. They would also like increased transit from the South Coast to Fort Bragg and Ukiah.

The need for a bridge over the Garcia River on Windy Hollow Road impacts the senior center's meals on wheels program and prevents seniors from getting to the center's lunch program or getting needed prescriptions.

A bridge on Windy Hollow Road over the Garcia River was expressed by everyone present as a high priority need. The community is cut off during times of flooding, which happens often. Prior to the meeting MCOG also received communication from a representative of the Coast Life Support District who was unable to attend the meeting but wanted to express the need for this alternative crossing. During flooding, the district has to station people on either side of the river or emergency responders will not be able to reach people during emergencies.

The crosswalks in Point Arena could be improved with flashing lights.

There is no room for bicyclists along Highway 1.

Mountain View Road needs to be widened.

The possibility of building a viaduct through the Stornetta land was suggested as a way to avoid road closures due to flooding.

A bicycle and pedestrian bridge over the Garcia at Windy Hollow Road could be a low cost, interim alternative to provide emergency access across the river during times of flooding.

The Manchester tribe is very supportive of a bridge on Windy Hollow Road. It was reported that a tribal member died while trying to cross from one side to another on foot.

The community action plan identifies a need for a pedestrian trail from the cove to town. Such a trail could provide emergency access from the cove in times of evacuation.

RTP/ATP Workshop – Summary of Comments
Covelo, November 16, 2016

Maintenance on roads as well as bicycle/pedestrian facilities was reported to be a primary concern.

County roads that are most in need of repair are Mina Road and Mendocino Pass Road. The traffic on these roads has increased significantly over the last decade leading to worse road conditions. Previously there was not traffic on these in winter, but now

It was suggested that Mina and Mendocino Pass Roads should be paved to be all weather roads, with Mina Road being the highest priority. Mendocino Pass is paved starting at the Trinity County line.

Speeding and reckless driving have been a problem on Hwy 162 and County roads. Traffic calming and/or stop signs are needed throughout town. Cars travel through town on 162 at 60 mph in a 25 mph zone.

There are many underage and unlicensed drivers in the community adding to the dangerous driving problems.

When turning onto Hwy 162 from the County roads, it is often difficult to see oncoming traffic when trucks are parked along the highway.

The intersection of Howard and Hwy 162 is a particular safety concern for drivers and non-motorized traffic.

Crosswalks would be beneficial at Crawford and Foothill or Tabor to provide access from residential/Tribal housing areas.

Transit or transit alternative is needed from Covelo to Willits or Ukiah.

A partnership between the Indian Health Center and another agency such as Public Health or the college was suggested to fully utilize or expand upon their existing transportation program.

Additional Tribal housing is being built. Walking and biking facilities will be needed to serve these developments. The existing multi-use trails are deteriorating and in need of maintenance.

Pedestrian facilities are needed on Henderson, connecting with the trail, to serve the new housing.

Budget Game - Active Transportation

	Fort Bragg	Ukiah	Willits	Point Arena	Covelo					
Sidewalk Infill in populated areas	0	0%	60	20%	80	8%	130	13%	10	4%
Bike lane infill in populated areas	0	0%	40	13%	40	4%	40	4%	20	8%
Safe Routes to Schools projects (sidewalks, bike lanes, paths in school areas)	20	20%	50	17%	280	28%	130	13%	40	16%
Pedestrian and bicycle facilities in commercial areas	10	10%	0	0%	60	6%	100	10%	20	8%
Widening sidewalks, bulb-outs, pedestrian refuge islands	0	0%	30	10%	80	8%	60	6%	40	16%
New bike or multi-use paths (e.g., Noyo Harbor Access)	0	0%	0	0%	60	6%	50	5%	50	20%
ADA Compliant ramps at corners where none currently exist	30	30%	80	27%	160	16%	10	1%	0	0%
Bicycle Parking	10	10%	0	0%	0	0%	30	3%	0	0%
Recreational trails	0	0%	40	13%	160	16%	70	7%	10	4%
Bicycle improvements on State Highways (e.g., SR 1, SR 253, SR 162)	30	30%	0	0%	80	8%	380	38%	60	24%
	100		300		1000		1000		250	
Total Participants	2		6		20		20		5	
Total Dollars	100		300		1000		1000		250	



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AGENDA

Date: Tuesday, January 31, 2017

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: MCOG Conference Room - 367 N. State St., Suite 208 – Ukiah

PUBLIC EXPRESSION

The TAC welcomes participation in TAC meetings. Comments will be limited for items not on the agenda to three minutes per person, and not more than 10 minutes per subject, so that everyone may be heard. This time is limited to matters under TAC jurisdiction which have not already been considered by the TAC.

1. Call to Order/Introductions
2. Public Expression
3. Input from Native American Tribal Governments' Representatives (*Information*)
4. Approval of 9/28/16 Minutes (*Action*)
5. FY 2016/17 Overall Work Program
 - a. First Quarter Report (*Information*)
 - b. Third Amendment (*Review & Recommendation*)
6. FY 2017/18 Draft Overall Work Program – Summary of Applications Received & MCOG Planning Staff (Davey-Bates Consulting) Work Elements (*Review*)
- 7. Active Transportation Plan (*Discussion*)
8. Funding Request for Electric Vehicle Charging Station in Point Arena – Partnership Funding Program (*Review & Recommendation*)
9. Revise 2017 TAC Meeting Schedule to Avoid Conflicts with California Transportation Commission's 2017 Meeting Schedule (*Discussion/Action*)
10. Staff Reports (*Information*)
 - a. Regional Transportation Plan – Update
 - b. Active Transportation Program – Cycle 3 Project Awards
 - c. Environmental Mitigation Grants – Willits Bypass "Child" Projects
 - d. Transportation Funding Outlook – Assembly Bill 1 (Frazier) & Senate Bill 1 (Beall)
11. Miscellaneous (*Information*)
 - a. Next Meeting
12. Adjournment

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) REQUESTS

To request disability-related modifications or accommodations for accessible locations or meeting materials in alternative formats (as allowed under Section 12132 of the ADA) please contact the MCOG office at (707) 463-1859, at least 72 hours before the meeting.



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

AGENDA

Date: Wednesday, February 15, 2017

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: MCOG Conference Room - 367 N. State St., Suite 208 – Ukiah

Teleconference Locations

Caltrans District 1 - 1656 Union Street - Eureka

Point Arena City Hall - 451 S. School Street - Point Arena

Call-in Number: 877-216-1555; Passcode: 249893

PUBLIC EXPRESSION

The TAC welcomes participation in TAC meetings. Comments will be limited for items not on the agenda to three minutes per person, and not more than 10 minutes per subject, so that everyone may be heard. This time is limited to matters under TAC jurisdiction which have not already been considered by the TAC.

1. Call to Order/Introductions
2. Public Expression
3. Input from Native American Tribal Governments' Representatives (*Information*)
4. Approval of 1/31/17 Minutes (*Action*)
5. FY 2017/18 Draft Overall Work Program (*Review/Recommendation*)
- 6. Active Transportation Plan (*Discussion*)
7. Branscomb Road Pedestrian Bridge – Funding Options (*Discussion/Recommendation*)
8. Staff Reports (*Information*)
 - a. North State Super Region Project Proposals – Garcia River Bridge
9. Miscellaneous (*Information*)
 - a. Next Meeting
10. Adjournment

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) REQUESTS

To request disability-related modifications or accommodations for accessible locations or meeting materials in alternative formats (as allowed under Section 12132 of the ADA) please contact the MCOG office at (707) 463-1859, at least 72 hours before the meeting.



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AGENDA

Date: Wednesday, May 31, 2017

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: MCOG Conference Room - 367 N. State St., Suite 208 – Ukiah

Teleconference Location

Caltrans District 1 - 1656 Union Street - Eureka

Call-in Number: 877-216-1555; Passcode: 249893

PUBLIC EXPRESSION

The TAC welcomes participation in TAC meetings. Comments will be limited for items not on the agenda to three minutes per person, and not more than 10 minutes per subject, so that everyone may be heard. This time is limited to matters under TAC jurisdiction which have not already been considered by the TAC.

1. Call to Order/Introductions
2. Public Expression
3. Input from Native American Tribal Governments' Representatives (*Information*)
4. Approval of 2/15/17 Minutes (*Action*)
5. FY 2016/17 Overall Work Program – Third Quarter Report (*Information*)
6. FY 2017/18 Final Overall Work Program (*Review & Recommendation*)
- 7. 2017 Draft Active Transportation Plan (*Review & Recommendation*)
8. SB 1 – Road Repair & Accountability Act of 2017 (*Discussion*)
Includes Active Transportation Program; Local Partnership Program; Local Streets & Roads; State Highway Operation & Protection Program; State Transportation Improvement Program
9. Staff Reports (*Information*)
 - a. Local Agency Subrecipient Agreement
10. Miscellaneous (*Information*)
 - a. Next Meeting – August 9, 2017 (?)
11. Adjournment

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) REQUESTS

To request disability-related modifications or accommodations for accessible locations or meeting materials in alternative formats (as allowed under Section 12132 of the ADA) please contact the MCOG office at (707) 463-1859, at least 72 hours before the meeting.



MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

367 North State Street~Ukiah~California~95482
www.mendocinocog.org

PHILLIP J. DOW, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Administration: Suite 206
(707) 463-1859
Transportation Planning: Suite 204
(707) 234-3434

AGENDA

Monday, June 5, 2017 at 1:30 p.m.

Primary Location

County Administration Center, Board of Supervisors Chambers
Room 1070, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah

Audioconference Location

Caltrans District 1, 1656 Union St., Eureka

Additional Media

For live streaming and later viewing:

<https://www.youtube.com/>, search for Mendocino County Video, or
YouTube link at <http://www.mendocinocog.org> under Meetings

The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) meets as the Board of Directors of:

Mendocino Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) and
Mendocino County Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (SAFE)

NOTE: All items are considered for action unless otherwise noted.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call
2. Convene as SAFE
3. Adoption of Resolution #S2017-01 To Approve Agreement with State of California Department of California Highway Patrol
4. Recess as SAFE – Convene as RTPA
5. Recess as RTPA – Reconvene as Policy Advisory Committee

PUBLIC EXPRESSION

Participation is welcome in Council meetings. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person and not more than ten minutes per subject, so that everyone can be heard. "Public Expression" time is limited to matters under the Council's jurisdiction that may not have been considered by the Council previously and are not on the agenda. No action will be taken. Members of the public may comment also during specific agenda items when recognized by the Chair.

REGULAR CALENDAR

6. Fiscal Year 2017/18 RTPA Budget:
 - a. Adoption of Resolution #M2017-03 Finding That There Are Unmet Transit Needs That Are Reasonable To Meet for Fiscal Year 2017/18
 - b. Adoption of Resolution #M2017-04 Allocating Fiscal Year 2017/18 Funds and 2016/17 Carryover Funds for Administration, Bicycle & Pedestrian Facilities, Planning and Reserves
 - c. Adoption of Resolution #M2017-05 Allocating Fiscal Year 2017/18 Local Transportation Funds, State Transit Assistance, and Capital Reserve Funds and FY 2016/17 Carryover Funds to Mendocino Transit Authority
 - d. Adoption of Resolution #M2017-06 Allocating Regional Surface Transportation Program Funds for Fiscal Year 2017/18 MCOG Partnership Funding Program, Local Assistance, and Distribution By Formula To Member Agencies

7. Technical Advisory Committee Recommendations of May 31, 2017:
Adoption of Final Fiscal Year 2017/18 Planning Overall Work Program (OWP)
8. Review and Comment on Draft Active Transportation Plan (ATP)
9. Approval of Local Agency Sub-Recipient Funding Agreement Template
10. Approval of Two-Year Extension for Independent CPA Engagement for MCOG and Mendocino Transit Authority Fiscal Audits – R. J. Ricciardi, Inc.

CONSENT CALENDAR

The following items are considered for approval in accordance with Administrative Staff, Committee, and/or Directors' recommendations and will be enacted by a single motion. Items may be removed from the Consent Calendar for separate consideration, upon request by a Director or citizen.

11. Approval of May 1, 2017 Minutes
12. Acceptance of April 19, 2017 Transit Productivity Committee Minutes
13. Adoption of Resolution #M2017-____* Approving Mendocino Transit Authority's Grant Application for Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5311 Non-Urbanized Program - Annual Regional Apportionment for Transit Operating Assistance
14. Adoption of Resolution No. M2017-____* Approving the Programming of FTA Section 5311(f) Intercity Bus Program Funds for Mendocino Transit Authority's Project Proposal: Continuation of Route 65 Service – Operating Assistance
15. Adoption of Resolution No. M2017-____* Approving the Allocation of California Proposition 1B Funds, Fiscal Year 2014/15 (and Residual) Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA), for Mendocino Transit Authority's Eligible Project

RATIFY ACTION

16. Recess as Policy Advisory Committee - Reconvene as RTPA - Ratify Action of Policy Advisory Committee

REPORTS

17. Reports – Information
 - a. Mendocino Transit Authority
 - b. North Coast Railroad Authority
 - c. MCOG Staff – Summary of Meetings
 - d. MCOG Administration Staff
 1. Senate Bill 1 Implementation - Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017
 2. Miscellaneous
 - e. MCOG Planning Staff – *verbal reports*
 - f. MCOG Directors
 - g. California Association of Councils of Governments (CALCOG) Delegates

ADJOURNMENT

18. Adjourn

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) REQUESTS

To request disability-related modifications or accommodations for accessible locations or meeting materials in alternative formats (as allowed under Section 12132 of the ADA) please contact the MCOG office at (707) 463-1859, at least 72 hours before the meeting.

ADDITIONS TO AGENDA

The Brown Act, Section 54954.2, states that the Board may take action on off-agenda items when:

- a) a majority vote determines that an “emergency situation” exists as defined in Section 54956.5, **or**
- b) a two-thirds vote of the body, or a unanimous vote of those present, determines that there is a need to take immediate action and the need for action arose after the agenda was legally posted, **or**
- c) the item was continued from a prior, legally posted meeting not more than five calendar days before this meeting.

CLOSED SESSION

If agendaized, MCOG may adjourn to a closed session to consider litigation or personnel matters (i.e. contractor agreements). Discussion of litigation or pending litigation may be held in closed session by authority of Govt. Code Section 54956.9; discussion of personnel matters by authority of Govt. Code Section 54957.

POSTED 5/30/2017

* Next Resolution Number: M2017-07

APPENDIX E

ACCIDENT DATA

Ukiah

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Total Collisions</u>											Total:	%:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Fatal	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	5	0.88%
Severe Injury	3	2	2	6	5	3	2	3	4	7	4	41	7.23%
Other Visible Injury	16	21	16	16	12	14	10	8	11	11	17	152	26.81%
Complaint of Pain	56	42	36	41	33	34	28	24	34	24	17	369	65.08%
Total:	75	66	55	63	50	52	40	35	50	42	39	567	100.00%

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Bicycle Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2.00%	20.00%
Severe Injury	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	7	14.00%	17.07%
Other Visible Injury	1	4	5	4	0	3	3	1	0	1	0	22	44.00%	14.47%
Complaint of Pain	4	1	1	2	4	1	3	0	1	0	3	20	40.00%	5.42%
Total:	5	6	6	8	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	50	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	6.67%	9.09%	10.91%	12.70%	8.00%	9.62%	15.00%	2.86%	4.00%	7.14%	10.26%	8.82%		

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Pedestrian Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1.19%	20.00%
Severe Injury	0	0	1	3	1	1	2	0	1	4	0	13	15.48%	31.71%
Other Visible Injury	1	4	4	4	2	1	2	4	3	2	6	33	39.29%	21.71%
Complaint of Pain	5	6	5	3	2	2	3	5	3	1	2	37	44.05%	10.03%
Total:	6	10	10	10	5	5	7	9	7	7	8	84	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	8.00%	15.15%	18.18%	15.87%	10.00%	9.62%	17.50%	25.71%	14.00%	16.67%	20.51%	14.81%		

Willits

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Total Collisions</u>											Total:	%:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.33%
Severe Injury	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	7	7.78%
Other Visible Injury	2	1	6	4	5	1	1	2	0	0	0	22	24.44%
Complaint of Pain	9	9	8	7	2	1	4	4	4	6	4	58	64.44%
Total:	12	12	14	12	7	2	7	8	5	6	5	90	100.00%

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Bicycle Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	12.50%	33.33%
Severe Injury	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	12.50%	14.29%
Other Visible Injury	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	37.50%	13.64%
Complaint of Pain	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	37.50%	5.17%
Total:	1	1	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	8.33%	8.33%	14.29%	16.67%	0.00%	50.00%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.89%		

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Pedestrian Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	12.50%	66.67%
Severe Injury	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	12.50%	28.57%
Other Visible Injury	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	37.50%	27.27%
Complaint of Pain	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	6	37.50%	10.34%
Total:	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	1	0	1	16	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	33.33%	8.33%	7.14%	8.33%	14.29%	50.00%	14.29%	50.00%	20.00%	0.00%	20.00%	17.78%		

Fort Bragg

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Total Collisions</u>											Total:	%:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Fatal	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	1.28%
Severe Injury	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	14	5.96%
Other Visible Injury	5	10	5	1	6	7	8	6	6	3	2	59	25.11%
Complaint of Pain	21	20	12	12	12	13	23	9	12	8	17	159	67.66%
Total:	27	31	19	14	20	21	33	18	20	12	20	235	100.00%

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Bicycle Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Severe Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Visible Injury	1	2	1	0	1	2	3	1	2	2	0	15	65.22%	25.42%
Complaint of Pain	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	34.78%	5.03%
Total:	2	2	2	2	1	3	3	1	2	3	2	23	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	7.41%	6.45%	10.53%	14.29%	5.00%	14.29%	9.09%	5.56%	10.00%	25.00%	10.00%	9.79%		

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Pedestrian Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	8.33%	100.00%
Severe Injury	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	5	13.89%	35.71%
Other Visible Injury	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	6	16.67%	10.17%
Complaint of Pain	4	4	0	2	1	2	4	1	0	0	4	22	61.11%	13.84%
Total:	4	5	1	2	3	2	6	3	4	0	6	36	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	14.81%	16.13%	5.26%	14.29%	15.00%	9.52%	18.18%	16.67%	20.00%	0.00%	30.00%	15.32%		

Point Arena

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Total Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Severe Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Other Visible Injury	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	100.00%
Complaint of Pain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Total:	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	100.00%

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Bicycle Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014				
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Severe Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Other Visible Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Complaint of Pain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Total:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
% of Total Collisions:	0.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00%		

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Pedestrian Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014				
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Severe Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Other Visible Injury	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
Complaint of Pain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Total:	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-	
% of Total Collisions:	100.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.00%		

Unincorporated County (excluding State highways)

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Total Collisions</u>											Total:	%:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Fatal	1	6	3	0	5	2	0	3	3	3	1	27	3.11%
Severe Injury	15	10	11	13	7	12	5	10	11	17	12	123	14.19%
Other Visible Injury	31	35	32	30	37	42	28	34	35	29	27	360	41.52%
Complaint of Pain	29	37	33	41	42	26	40	28	34	26	21	357	41.18%
Total:	76	88	79	84	91	82	73	75	83	75	61	867	100.00%

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Bicycle Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Severe Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	8.70%	1.63%
Other Visible Injury	3	1	1	4	0	1	2	1	3	1	0	17	73.91%	4.72%
Complaint of Pain	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	17.39%	1.12%
Total:	3	2	1	4	1	1	3	2	4	1	1	23	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	3.95%	2.27%	1.27%	4.76%	1.10%	1.22%	4.11%	2.67%	4.82%	1.33%	1.64%	2.65%		

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Pedestrian Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.45%	7.41%
Severe Injury	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	6	19.35%	4.88%
Other Visible Injury	2	3	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	15	48.39%	4.17%
Complaint of Pain	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	2	8	25.81%	2.24%
Total:	2	4	2	4	3	4	2	2	0	4	4	31	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	2.63%	4.55%	2.53%	4.76%	3.30%	4.88%	2.74%	2.67%	0.00%	5.33%	6.56%	3.58%		

Unincorporated County (State highways only)

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Total Collisions</u>											Total:	%:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Fatal	12	20	11	12	16	19	16	11	14	9	14	154	5.84%
Severe Injury	53	40	40	34	39	44	31	37	40	25	20	403	15.28%

Other Visible Injury	126	116	123	119	102	94	95	101	87	65	55	1083	41.05%
Complaint of Pain	102	104	76	115	92	111	96	82	98	63	59	998	37.83%
Total:	293	280	250	280	249	268	238	231	239	162	148	2638	100.00%

Bicycle Collisions

<u>Collision Severity</u>	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.00%	0.65%
Severe Injury	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	5	20.00%	1.24%
Other Visible Injury	0	2	2	1	1	1	2	0	0	1	3	13	52.00%	1.20%
Complaint of Pain	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	6	24.00%	0.60%
Total:	2	2	3	2	2	1	5	1	2	2	3	25	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	0.68%	0.71%	1.20%	0.71%	0.80%	0.37%	2.10%	0.43%	0.84%	1.23%	2.03%	0.95%		

Pedestrian Collisions

<u>Collision Severity</u>	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
Fatal	1	3	0	1	1	2	0	2	2	1	1	14	29.79%	9.09%
Severe Injury	0	1	2	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	10	21.28%	2.48%
Other Visible Injury	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	10	21.28%	0.92%
Complaint of Pain	0	1	1	3	2	1	0	0	3	2	0	13	27.66%	1.30%
Total:	3	5	3	6	4	7	3	3	7	4	2	47	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	1.02%	1.79%	1.20%	2.14%	1.61%	2.61%	1.26%	1.30%	2.93%	2.47%	1.35%	1.78%		

County-Wide

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Total Collisions</u>											Total:	%:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Fatal	13	27	15	12	22	22	19	15	19	12	16	192	4.37%
Severe Injury	73	55	55	55	52	60	39	54	57	50	38	588	13.37%
Other Visible Injury	181	183	182	170	162	158	142	151	139	108	101	1677	38.13%
Complaint of Pain	217	212	165	216	181	185	191	147	182	127	118	1941	44.13%
Total:	484	477	417	453	417	425	391	367	397	297	273	4398	100.00%

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Bicycle Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3	2.33%	1.56%
Severe Injury	0	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	15	11.63%	2.55%
Other Visible Injury	5	9	10	10	2	8	10	3	5	5	3	70	54.26%	4.17%
Complaint of Pain	8	2	3	6	5	2	5	0	3	2	5	41	31.78%	2.11%
Total:	13	13	14	18	8	11	18	5	10	9	10	129	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	2.69%	2.73%	3.36%	3.97%	1.92%	2.59%	4.60%	1.36%	2.52%	3.03%	3.66%	2.93%		

<u>Collision Severity</u>	<u>Pedestrian Collisions</u>											Total:	%:	% of Total Collisions:
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
Fatal	1	4	1	1	2	3	2	3	3	1	1	22	10.23%	11.46%
Severe Injury	1	1	4	4	2	4	4	3	3	7	3	36	16.74%	6.12%
Other Visible Injury	7	9	6	9	5	4	6	7	6	4	8	71	33.02%	4.23%
Complaint of Pain	11	11	6	9	7	8	7	8	7	3	9	86	40.00%	4.43%
Total:	20	25	17	23	16	19	19	21	19	15	21	215	100.00%	
% of Total Collisions:	4.13%	5.24%	4.08%	5.08%	3.84%	4.47%	4.86%	5.72%	4.79%	5.05%	7.69%	4.89%		

APPENDIX F

ADOPTING/ACCEPTING RESOLUTIONS

MENDOCINO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

BOARD of DIRECTORS

RESOLUTION No. M2017-___

ADOPTING THE 2017 MENDOCINO COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

WHEREAS,

- The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) is the designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency for Mendocino County;
- The Active Transportation Program Guidelines adopted by the California Transportation Commission identify requirements for development of Active Transportation Plans;
- The Mendocino Council of Governments has prepared the 2017 Mendocino County Active Transportation Plan to meet the requirements of California's Streets and Highways Code Section 891.2 and the Active Transportation Program Guidelines, as well as provide regional guidance to bicycle and pedestrian facility development throughout Mendocino County;
- Development of the Active Transportation Plan included public input through workshops, surveys, and public meetings;
- Development of the Plan included coordination and consultation with local agencies, tribal governments, and Caltrans;
- The Active Transportation Plan has been prepared in a manner to serve as the Active Transportation Element of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP);
- As the Active Transportation Element of the RTP, the Active Transportation Plan will be subject to CEQA review through the approval process for the RTP;
- At their meeting of May 31, 2017, the Technical Advisory Committee recommended that MCOG adopt the 2017 Active Transportation Plan; and
- The MCOG Board reviewed a draft of the Active Transportation Plan and provided comment at their meeting of June 5, 2017; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, THAT:

The Mendocino Council of Governments hereby adopts the 2017 Active Transportation Plan and directs staff include the Plan as the Active Transportation Element of the Regional Transportation Plan and submit the adopted Plan to local agencies and Caltrans.

ADOPTION OF THIS RESOLUTION was moved by Director _____, seconded by Director _____, and approved on this 6th day of November, 2017, by the following roll call vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINING:

ABSENT:

WHEREUPON, the Chairman declared the resolution adopted, AND SO ORDERED.

ATTEST: Phillip J. Dow, Executive Director

Dan Gjerde, Chair